BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3809

GLORIA LUCY CARR 4226 W Kent Avenue Santa Ana, CA 92704

Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 18672

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Proposed Decision and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on May 25, 2012.

It is so ORDERED on April 25, 2012.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

& C. Wussi

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3809

GLORIA LUCY CARR, Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 18672 OAH No. 2011040344

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

Carla Nasoff, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard this matter on February14, 2012, in San Diego, California,

Antoinette Buzzell Cincotta, Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General, represented complainant Executive Officer, Virginia Herold, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

Gloria L. Carr represented herself and was present throughout the administrative proceeding.

The matter was submitted on February 14, 2012.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

Jurisdictional Matters

1. On November 29, 2010, Executive Officer Virginia Herold, Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, (Board) filed the Accusation Case No. 3809 in her official capacity.

The Accusation and other required jurisdictional documents were served on Gloria Carr, (Carr or respondent), who timely filed a Notice of Defense.

2. On February 14, 2012, the record in the administrative hearing was opened. Jurisdictional documents were presented, sworn testimony was received, documentary evidence was introduced and closing arguments were given.

License History

3. On March 13, 1996, the Board issued a Pharmacy Technician Registration number TCH 18672 to Gloria L. Carr to work as a pharmacy technician in California. The registration was in full force and effect until January 31, 2012.

Accusation

4. The Accusation alleged five Causes for Discipline and sought revocation or suspension of respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration.

- The first Cause for Discipline alleged that on October 21, 2004, respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivisions (a) and (b), driving under the influence of alcohol and driving with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of .08 percent or more, both misdemeanors.
- The second Cause for Discipline alleged that on June 26, 2007, respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152 subdivisions (a) and (b), driving under the influence of alcohol and driving with a BAC of .08 percent or more, both misdemeanors.
- The third Cause for Discipline alleged that on February 19, 2010, respondent plead nolo contendere and the court found her guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152 subdivision (b), driving with a BAC of .08 percent or more, a misdemeanor.
- The fourth Cause for Discipline alleged that on July 3, 2004, August 25, 2006, and September 17, 2009, respondent drove a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol which posed a serious risk of injury and/ or death to herself and to the public.
- The fifth Cause for Discipline alleged that on October 21, 2004, June 26, 2007, and February 19, 2010, respondent was convicted in three separate cases on charges involving the consumption of alcohol.

Convictions

5. Respondent's first offense occurred on July 3, 2004. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol. On October 21, 2004, respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152 subdivisions (a) and (b), (driving with a blood alcohol content of more than .08 percent), both misdemeanors. Respondent was placed on informal probation, ordered to pay fines and fees of \$727, complete a six month first offender's program and had her driver's license restricted for

ninety days. On June 26, 2007, respondent violated probation by failing to pay her fines and fees. She was ordered to serve sixty days in jail that was stayed.

6. The second offense occurred on August 25, 2006, while respondent was still on criminal probation for her first offense. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol. She drove her car over several curbs in front of a residential neighborhood. Respondent pled guilty to driving while under the influence and with a BAC over .08 percent. Respondent was placed on five (5) years probation, ordered to pay \$727 in fines and fees, completed an 18 month multiple offender program and participate in a 90 day outpatient program. The Orange County Superior Court consolidated her two cases and the conviction ran concurrently with the same orders as listed in Finding of Fact 5 above.

7. The third offense occurred on September 17, 2009, at 22:00 while respondent was still on criminal probation. Respondent was on her way to the shopping mall and had been drinking. "I had a problem and was not thinking." Respondent's car was weaving and swerving while respondent was using her cell phone. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol. On August 24, 2009, respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152 subdivision (b). Her driver's license was suspended for one year. She attended the MADD victim impact, paid fines and fees totaling \$1,886 and was placed on summary probation until February 19, 2015.

Gloria Carr's Testimony

8. Carr was born in 1951. In 1971 she graduated from high school. In 1996 she obtained her Pharmacy Technician Registration. From 2000 through 2004, she worked as a Pharmacy Technician at Garden Grove Hospital. From 2004 through 2006, she worked as a Pharmacy Technician at Grove Harbor Pharmacy. From November 2006 to the present, she works at United HealthCare Prescriptions Solutions call center. Her job title is a senior advocate and her duties include obtaining patient authorizations for pharmacies and medical offices. Her position requires a Pharmacy Technician Registration.

9. Respondent admitted she violated her criminal probation on several occasions. She admitted she was convicted of her second DUI while on criminal probation for her first conviction. She admitted she was convicted of her third DUI while on criminal probation for her second conviction. Respondent admitted she initially failed to timely pay her fines and fees as court ordered, violated probation and as a result was sentenced to serve time in the county jail. Respondent spent a total of 45 days in county jail since her 2004 conviction.

10. Respondent testified that she had many stressors in her life from 2004 through 2009, including mounting credit card debt and dealing with the separation from her husband. She has three children, is a grandmother and lives alone. Her criminal probation ends on February 19, 2015.

11. Respondent testified that she regularly attends Alcoholic Anonymous (A.A.) meetings even though they were not court ordered. She testified that she has been sober for

the past one and a half years, but could not readily provide her sobriety date. She testified that she never went to work intoxicated and just wants a "second chance."

A.A. Attendance Logs, Employment Evaluations, DUI Certificate of Completion

12. Respondent submitted A.A. weekly attendance logs from September 8, 2010, through January 28, 2012. She also submitted her employment evaluations that reference she met employment expectations. Respondent provided the DMV certificate of completion for her court ordered DUI Program.

Rehabilitation

13. Since her last conviction in 2009, respondent has demonstrated steps toward rehabilitation. She regularly attends A.A. meetings, completed the court ordered DUI program, paid all her court fines and fees, attended the MADD victim impact panel and has been sober for the past one and a half years. She remains on criminal probation until February 19, 2015.

Respondent was emotional during her testimony and recognized the seriousness of her criminal convictions. She appeared ashamed of her past and had difficulty describing the events that lead to the convictions. She was sincere in her testimony regarding her commitment to sobriety, but was unable to readily provide her sobriety date. She did not make excuses for her multiple DUI's and has learned a very difficult and expensive lesson.

Evaluation

14. Respondent has committed repeated acts of driving under the influence and repeatedly violated her criminal probation.

Respondent's repeated crimes of driving under the influence of alcohol are substantially related to the qualification, functions and duties of a Pharmacy Technician. The nature and severity of the crimes involved placing herself and others at risk while driving impaired and demonstrated a lack of good judgment. Respondent's crimes involved unprofessional conduct as she ingested alcohol and then chose to drive her car. The three DUI convictions evidenced unfitness of a registrant to perform the functions authorized by her registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Her use and abuse of alcohol placed herself and others in grave danger. Furthermore, respondent's repeated violations of her criminal probation demonstrated her lack of respect for the law.

Respondent has demonstrated some steps toward rehabilitation since her last conviction. She has taken responsibility for her past mistakes. She exhibited shame and embarrassment for her past conduct. She has worked hard to overcome her past bad choices of drinking and driving. Although, she appeared to have learned a very difficult and extremely expensive lesson, not enough time has passed. She remains on court ordered probation until 2015. Her conditions of probation require that she must remain law abiding and obey all laws. Her past history has demonstrated that she has violated probation on

multiple occasions. Therefore, the test for determining whether respondent will remain law abiding will be measured when she is no longer on court ordered probation and personally chooses to remain law abiding. Although respondent is commended for her initial efforts, not enough time has passed to determine sufficient rehabilitation.

Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

15. A certificate of prosecution cost and attorney declaration was prepared and submitted. The total investigative and prosecution costs requesting \$2,737.50 were reasonable.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

1. Business and Professions Code section 482 provides in part:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480;

(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

2. Business and Professions Code section 490 provides in part that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualification, functions or duties of the business or professions for which the license was issued

3. Business and Professions Code section 493 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

4. Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (h)(k)(l), provides in part that the board shall take action against any holder of a license whose is guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include (h) the administration of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself or the public; (k) the conviction of more than one misdemeanor, or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverages, or any combination of those substances; and (l) the conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision.

5. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivisions (b) (1-5), outline the factors to be evaluated when considering the suspension or revocation of a personal license on the ground that the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person will consider (1) the nature and severity of the acts or offenses; (2) the total criminal record; (3) the time that has elapsed since commission of the acts or offenses; (4) whether the licensee has complied with all terms of probation and (5) evidence of rehabilitation.

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, provides in part that for purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license, a crime shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a registrant to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

7. Mere remorse does not demonstrate rehabilitation. A truer indication of rehabilitation is presented by sustained conduct over an extended period of time. (*In re Menna* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 975, 991.)

8. The evidentiary significance of an applicant's misconduct is greatly diminished by the passage of time and by the absence of similar, more recent misconduct. (*Kwasnik v. State Bar* (1990) 50 Cal.3d 1061, 1070.)

9. Since persons under the direct supervision of judicial or correctional authorities are required to behave in exemplary fashion, little weight is generally placed on the fact that such an individual did not commit additional crimes or continue inappropriate behavior while under supervision. (*In re Gossage* (2000) 23 Cal.4th 1080, 1099.)

Cause Exist to Impose Discipline

10. Cause exists to impose discipline against the Pharmacy Technician Registration issued to Gloria L. Carr under Business and Professions Code sections 482, 490, 493, and 4301, subdivisions (h)(k), and (l), collectively, as established under Factual Findings 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 14. Cause exists to further impose discipline against respondent under California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1769, subdivisions (b)(1-5), and 1770 as established by Factual Findings 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 14. The prosecution costs were reasonable as established under Factual Findings 15.

The clear and convincing evidence established that respondent was convicted three times of driving while under the influence of alcohol, crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a Pharmacy Technician who must possess good moral character and good judgment as established in Factual Findings 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 14. Respondent's repeated crimes of driving under the influence of alcohol are substantially related to the qualification, functions and duties of a Pharmacy Technician. The nature and severity of the crimes involved placing herself and others at risk while driving impaired and demonstrated a lack of good judgment. Respondent's repeated violations of criminal probations demonstrated her lack of respect for the law as established in Factual Finding 14.

In addition, respondent's conduct was unprofessional as established in Factual Finding number 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 14. Not enough time has passed as respondent remains on criminal probation as established in Factual Findings 10, 13 and 14. The evidence of respondent's rehabilitation in Factual Finding 12 and 13 was insufficient to permit her to retain her Pharmacy Technician Registration, even on a probationary basis.

ORDER

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 18672 issued by the Board of Pharmacy to Gloria L. Carr is revoked.

Respondent is ordered to pay the reasonable investigation and prosecution costs of \$2,737.50.

DATED: March 12, 2012

CARLA NASOFF

Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Agency Case No. 3809
13	GLORIA L. CARR	ACCUSATION
14	12151 Bayport Street, Bld. 10, #204 Garden Grove, CA 92840	ACCUSATION .
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration	
16	No. TCH 18672	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about March 13, 1996, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
24	Registration Number TCH 18672 to Gloria L. Carr (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and	
26	will expire on January 31, 2012, unless renewed.	
. 27	111	
28	///	
		1
		Accusation

1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,	
6	surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a	
7	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued	
8	or reinstated.	
9	5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be	
10	suspended or revoked."	
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
12	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
13	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
14	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
15	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
16 17	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
18	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
19	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially	
20	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the	
21	license was issued.	
22	8. Section 493 of the Code states;	
23	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a	
24	board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who	
25	holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the	
26	licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board	
27	may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related	
28	to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.	
	2	
	Accusation	

As used in this section, 'license' includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

III

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

27 ///

28

1		
1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
2	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:	
3	· · · · · ·	
4	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:	
5		
6	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).	
7	(2) Total criminal record.	
8	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).	
9 10	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.	
11	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.	
12	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
13	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility	
14 15	license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree	
15	it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
17	COSTS	
18	12. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
19	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of	
20	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
21	enforcement of the case.	
22	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
23	(October 21, 2004 Criminal Convictions for Driving Under the Influence on July 3, 2004)	
24	13. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under	
25	sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is	
26	substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The	
27	circumstances are as follows:	
28	111	
	4	
	Accusation	

On or about October 21, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the a. 1 State of California v. Gloria Lucy Carr, aka Gloria Carr, aka Gloria L. Carr, aka Gloria L. 2 Hernandez, Orange County Superior Court (West Justice Center), case number 04WM09975, 3 Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, 4 subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol; and Vehicle Code section 23152, 5 subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.08% or more, misdemeanors. 6 The above convictions were enhanced by the special allegation that Respondent was driving with 7 8 a BAC of 0.20% or more, which she admitted.

b. As a result of the convictions, on or about October 21, 2004, Respondent was
sentenced to summary probation for three years, ordered to enroll in and attend a six-month Level
II First Offender Alcohol Program, pay \$1,432.50 in fees, fines, and restitution, and comply with
standard alcohol terms. Respondent's driver's license was restricted for 90 days. Respondent's
probation was revoked and reinstated three times for failure to pay fees and fines.

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about July 3, 2004,
Respondent was arrested in Orange County for driving with a BAC of 0.20%.

16

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(June 26, 2007 Criminal Convictions for Driving Under the Influence on August 25, 2006)
 14. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
 sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is
 substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The
 circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about June 26, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State*of California v. Gloria Lucy Carr, aka Gloria Carr, aka Gloria L. Carr, aka Gloria L. *Hernandez*, Orange County Superior Court (West Justice Center), case number 07WM00636,
Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152,
subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol; and Vehicle Code section 23152,
subdivision (b), driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more, misdemeanors. The above convictions
were enhanced by the special allegation that Respondent was driving with a BAC of 0.15% or

more, and that the above convictions occurred within ten (10) years of a conviction for the same offense, which she admitted. (See paragraph 13, above.)

3

4

5

6

7

2

1

b. As a result of the convictions, on or about June 26, 2007, Respondent was sentenced to summary probation for five years, ordered to serve 60 days in the county jail (with credit for six days), enroll in and attend an 18-month Multiple Offender Alcohol Program, complete a 90-day outpatient program following jail, pay \$1,580.50 in fees, fines, and restitution, and comply with standard alcohol terms.

The facts that led to the convictions are that on or about the morning of August 8 c. 9 25, 2006, the Garden Grove Police Department dispatched an officer to investigate a report that a black Ford Explorer had driven over the curb and down the sidewalk in front of a house, then 10 drove down the street and disappeared. The reporting party left for work a short time later and ·11 saw the same black Explorer pull over to the side of the road with a flat right front tire. The 12 female driver of the Explorer (identified as Respondent) got out of her vehicle, inspected the tire, 13 then got back into her vehicle and continued driving down the street. The reporting party 14 continued to follow Respondent and called the police. Respondent parked her vehicle, got out 15 and departed on foot. Police officers intercepted Respondent as she was walking down the street. 16 Respondent denied driving on the sidewalk or hitting any object that flattened her tire. 17 Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol. 18

19 20

21

(February 19, 2010 Criminal Conviction for Driving Under the Influence

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

on September 17, 2009)

15. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is
substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The
circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about February 19, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Gloria Lucy Carr*, Orange County Superior Court, case number 9LT01613,
Respondent plead nolo contendere and the court found her guilty of violating Vehicle Code

6

Accusation

section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more, a misdemeanor.
Respondent further admitted two prior convictions for the same violation as described in paragraphs 13 and 14, above.

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about February 19, 2010, Respondent was
sentenced to summary probation for five years, ordered to serve 30 days in the county jail (with
credit for two days), complete a 30-month treatment or counseling program, pay \$1,886 in fees,
fines, and restitution, and comply with enhanced alcohol terms. Respondent's driver's license
was suspended for one (1) year, an ignition interlock device was directed, and she was required to
complete the Hospital and Morgue (HAM) Program, and MADD's Victim Impact Program.

The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about the evening of 10 c. September 17, 2009, an officer from the Long Beach Police Department observed Respondent 11 driving northbound on Interstate 405 and weaving from lane to lane. After conducting a traffic 12 stop, Respondent told the officer that she was weaving because she was using her phone. She 13 denied drinking alcohol. Based on his observations, the officer conducted a series of field 14 sobriety tests, which Respondent failed to perform as explained and demonstrated. The officer 15 noted that Respondent staggered and stumbled. Respondent submitted to an intoxilyzer with two 16 results of 0.12% BAC. 17

18

1

2

3

19

20

21

22

23

24

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous & Injurious to Oneself & the Public)

16. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that on or about July 3, 2004, August 25, 2006, and September 17, 2009, Respondent drove a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol, as detailed in paragraphs 13-15, above, which posed a serious risk of injury and/or death to herself and to the public.

25 26 <u>FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Multiple Convictions Involving the Use of Alcohol)

27 17. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to disciplinary action
28 under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code in that on or about October 21, 2004, June 26,

11	
1 2	2007, and February 19, 2010, Respondent was convicted in three separate cases on charges involving the consumption of alcohol, as detailed in paragraphs 13-15, above.
3	PRAYER
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5.	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
6	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 18672,
7	issued to Gloria L. Carr;
8	2. Ordering Gloria L. Carr to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
9	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
10	125.3;
11	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
12	
13	DATED: 11/29/10 Jugineer Herd
14	Executive-Officer Board of Pharmacy
15	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
16	Complainant
17	
. 18	SD2010702037
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	8