DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

sections 136 and 4100 and title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1704, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

31689 Canyon Estates Drive Lake Elsinore, CA 92532.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about January 7, 2011, the aforementioned documents sent via certified U.S. mail were signed for by Surindar Madahar. The aforementioned documents sent via first class U.S. Mail have not been returned.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3805.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3805, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3805, are separately and severally true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$2,895.00, as of January 31, 2011.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jessica Ann Blackmon has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 63102 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business & Professions Code section 4301 subdivision (h), in that she used a controlled substance, methamphetamine, on or about April 22, 2010.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business & Professions Code section 4301 subdivision (f), in that Respondent stole dangerous drugs, carisoprodol, citalopram, guaifenesin, and trazodone, from her employer, which constitutes dishonesty and deceit.
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business & Professions Code section 4301 subdivision (o), in that on or about April 22, 2010, Respondent furnished to herself a controlled substance, methamphetamine, and possessed dangerous drugs, carisoprodol, citalopram, guaifenesin, and trazodone, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 4059 and 4060.
- d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business & Professions Code section 4301 subdivision (j), in that on or about April 22, 2010, Respondent violated the California Uniformed Controlled Substances Act (Health & Safety Code sections 11000 et seq.) by possessing and furnishing a controlled substance, methamphetamine and possessing dangerous drugs, carisoprodol, citalopram, guaifenesin and trazodone to herself without prescriptions.
- e. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business & Professions Code sections 490 and 4301 subdivision (l), in that on or about July 16, 2010, in a criminal proceeding

J				
1	entitled The People of the State of California v. Jessica Ann Stitt aka Jessica Ann Blackmon, in			
2	Riverside County Superior Court, case no. SWF10000848, Respondent was convicted on her plea			
3	of guilty for violating Penal Code section 273a(a), a misdemeanor, child endangerment.			
4	<u>ORDER</u>			
5	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 63102, heretofore issued to Respondent			
6	Jessica Ann Blackmon, is revoked.			
7	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a			
8	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within			
9	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may			
10	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.			
11	This Decision shall become effective on May 11, 2011.			
12	It is so ORDERED April 11, 2011.			
13	O ((dun:			
14	La C. Wussi			
15	STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT			
16	FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
17				
18	70432240.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2010702107			
19	Attachment:			
20	Exhibit A: Accusation			
21	•			
22				
23				
24				
24 25				
-				
25				
25 26				

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

	11	•		
1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.			
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER			
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	Desiree I. Kellogg Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 126461 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100			
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266			
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266			
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2996 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	STATE OF C			
:	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3805		
12	JESSICA ANN BLACKMON			
13	31689 Canyon Estates Drive Lake Elsinore, CA 92532	ACCUSATION		
14				
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 63102			
16				
17	Respondent.			
18				
19				
20	Complainant alleges:			
21	PARTIES			
	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
23	2. On or about June 13, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician			
24	Registration Number TCH 63102 to Jessica Ann Blackmon (Respondent). The Pharmacy			
25				
26	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought			
27	herein and will expire on May 31, 2011, unless re	DIE WOU.		
28				
ĺ				

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board). Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record

pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

10. Section 4059 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a person may not furnish any dangerous drug except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

11. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

12. Section 4301 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

.13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

1

9

10

8

1112

13

14

16

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 19. <u>Trazodone.</u> also known as Deseryl, is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022 and is an anti-depressant.
- 20. <u>Guaifenesin</u>, also known as Mucinex, is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2022 and is an expectorant.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Use of a Controlled Substance)

- 21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code in that she used a controlled substance, methamphetamine. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about April 22, 2010, police officers investigated a report of a family disturbance at a residence located in Lake Elsinore, California. When the police officers arrived at the residence where Respondent and her husband lived, they obtained permission to search the residence and searched the garage of the residence and located a small black container with a small ziplock baggy inside of it. The baggy contained 2.9 grams of a white crystal like substance. The white crystal like substance field tested positive for methamphetamine. When the police officer questioned Respondent about the methamphetamine he had located, she said, "you found it huh." A Police officer interviewed Respondent who admitted to using methamphetamine daily for the last four days and specifically to injecting methamphetamine. Respondent further told the police officer that the methamphetamine that he located in the garage tasted like aspirin and commented that "they don't make meth like they used to." A police officer evaluated Respondent and found that she displayed the objective signs of being under the influence of a central nervous system stimulant, methamphetamine. She also underwent a drug screen of her urine on April 22, 2010 and her urine tested positive for the presence of amphetamines. Further, in a written statement to the Board investigator, Respondent admitted to her drug use, writing that she "decided to try methamphetamine one weekend." After her arrest, she also tested positive for the presence of amphetamines/methamphetamines on April 27, 2010.
- b. The police officer also asked Respondent's husband if there were any other illicit drugs in the household besides methamphetamine and he answered in the affirmative.

 Respondent's husband then escorted the officer to the kitchen and opened a cabinet. He removed

eight 350 mg tablets of carisoprodol. fifty-four 350 mg tablets of citalopram, ten 150 mg tablets of trazodone and 5 tablets of guaifenesin from the cabinet and gave them to the officer. Respondent told the officer that his wife, Respondent, "stole these pills from her work." The police officer interviewed Respondent and she admitted that she had stolen the pills from her employer, UCSD Medical Center, and given the pills to her husband to sell to his friend.

c. Respondent was arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11377(a), Health and Safety Code section 11550 and Penal Code section 273a(a). As a result of the arrest, on or about July 16, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jessica Ann Stitt, aka Jessica Ann Blackmon*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number SWF10000848, Respondent pled guilty to a charge of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377(a), unlawful possession of a controlled substance, a misdemeanor, and was granted deferred entry of judgment. On or about August 23, 2010, Respondent filed proof of enrollment in a drug diversion program pursuant to Penal Code section 1000 with the Court.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Dishonesty and Dishonest)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(f) of the Code in that Respondent's theft from her employer constitutes dishonesty and deceit, as is more fully described in paragraph 21 above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Violations of the Chapter)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(o) of the Code for violation of the Pharmacy Act in that on or about April 22, 2010, Respondent furnished to herself and possessed a controlled substance, methamphetamine, and possessed dangerous drugs, carisoprodol, citalopram, guaifenesin and trazodone, in violation of Code sections 4059 and 4060 as is more fully described in paragraph 21, above.

2.6

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Violating Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) of the Code in that on or about April 22, 2010, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.) by possessing and furnishing controlled substances and dangerous drugs as is more fully described in paragraph 21, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 16, 2010 Criminal Conviction for Child Endangerment on April 18, 2010)

- 25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about July 16, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jessica Ann Stitt aka Jessica Ann Blackmon*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case no. SWF10000848, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violating Penal Code section 273a(a), a misdemeanor, child endangerment.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about July 16, 2010, Respondent was sentenced to 48 months summary probation, ordered to file proof of enrollment in Child Abuse Classes by August 2, 2010 and to be completed by September 2, 2011, and to pay all applicable fees and fines. Respondent filed proof of enrollment in the court-ordered Child Abuse Class on September 29, 2010.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that while under the influence of methamphetamine, Respondent allowed her nineteen month old child to stand on an approximately four and a half foot tall work bench in the garage. The child fell off the bench from an approximate height of fifty four inches, hit her head on the bumper of Respondent's car and then hit her head on the garage floor. Respondent and her husband took their daughter to the hospital while driving in excess of 100 miles per hour. When her daughter could not be seen in a timely manner, she removed her daughter from the hospital against the recommendation of medical staff that her daughter should remain under medical care.

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged. and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 63102, issued to Jessica Ann Blackmon; 2. Ordering Jessica Ann Blackmon to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2010702107 70373926.doc