BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3774

ALAN LEE DAY 21801 Roscoe Blvd., #335 Canoga Park, CA 91304

Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 25566

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the

Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on October 10, 2013.

It is so ORDERED on September 10, 2013.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Jusi

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIMBERLEE D. KING Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141813 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE			
MARC GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIMBERLEE D. KING Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141813 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant			
KIMBERLEE D. KING Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141813 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant			
State Bar No. 141813 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant			
Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant			
Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant			
Attorneys for Complainant			
BEFORE THE			
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3774			
ALAN LEE DAY 21801 Roscoe Blvd., #335			
Canoga Park, CA 91304 Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER			
Respondent.			
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this			
proceeding that the following matters are true:			
PARTIES			
1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.			
She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala			
D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Kimberlee D. King, Deputy Attorney			
General.			
2. Alan Lee Day (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen			
not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.			
3. On or about April 21, 1998, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician			
License No. TCH 25566 to Alan Lee Day (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License was			
in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3774 and			
will expire on September 30, 2011, unless renewed.			
1			

JURISDICTION

I	JURISDICTION			
2	4. Accusation No. 3774 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of			
3	Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other			
4	statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 15, 2011.			
5	Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation			
6	No. 3774 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.			
7	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS			
8	5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in			
9	Accusation No. 3774. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this			
10	Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.			
11	6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a			
12	hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at			
13	his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to			
14	present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel			
15	the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and			
16	court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California			
17	Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.			
18	7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and			
19	every right set forth above.			
20	CULPABILITY			
21	8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation			
22	No. 3774, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacy Technician			
23	License No. TCH 25566 for the Board's formal acceptance.			
24	9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue			
25	an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacy Technician License without further process.			
26	CONTINGENCY			
27	10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent			
28	understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may			
	2			
	Stipulated Surrender of License (Case No. 3774)			

communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

8 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of
9 License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as
10 the originals.

11 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an
integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.
13 It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,
negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order
may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing
executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

17 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
18 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 25566, issued to
 Respondent Alan Lee Day, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician License and the acceptance of
 the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against

Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of
Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

26 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacy technician in California
27 as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

28 ///

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13.Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was2issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in
the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must
comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in
effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in
Accusation No. 3774 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the
Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or
petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of
California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 3774 shall be deemed
to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any
other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

16

17

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the
stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician License. I enter into this
Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to
be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

Van Nay 223/1/13 DATED: 23 ALAN LEE Respondent 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111 28 111 4

,			
1	ENDORSEMENT		
2	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted		
3	for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
4	Dated: July 15, 2013	Respectfully submitted,	
5		KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
6	· · ·	MARC GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
7			
8		Empleilee Kyly	
9		KIMBERLEE D. KING Deputy Attorney General	
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant	
11			
12	LA2010600837		
13	Stipulation.rtf		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	· ·		
21			
22		· · · ·	
23			
24			
25		· · · · ·	
26			
27			
28			
ı.		5	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Exhibit A

• • •

Accusation No. 3774

:					
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3774			
12	ALAN LEE DAY	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION			
13	a.k.a., AL DAY a.k.a., ALLAN LEE DAY				
14	a.k.a., ALLEN DAY a.k.a., ALLEN LEE DAY 21801 Roscoe Blvd., #335				
15	Canoga Park, CA 91304				
16	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 25566				
17	Respondent.				
18		1			
19	Complainant alleges:	2000 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 - 1885 -			
20	PAR	TIES			
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this First Amended Accusation solely in her			
22	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer				
23	Affairs.				
24	2. On or about April 21, 1998, the Boa	d of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy			
25	Technician License No. TCH 25566 to Alan Le	e Day, also known as Al Day, Allan Lee Day,			
26	Allen Day, and Allen Lee Day (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License was in full for				
27	and effect at all times relevant to the charges bro	ught herein and expired on September 30, 2011.			
28	111				
		1			
		Accusation			

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:

"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a
board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a
crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business
or profession for which the license was issued.

"(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to
discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under
subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties
of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a
conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take
following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or
the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is
made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the
provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

24 6. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is
25 subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

26 || ///

- 27 || ///
- 28 ///

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

6 "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
7 corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
8 whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

9 "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely
10 represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
practice authorized by the license.

16

1

2

3

4

5

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 17 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 18 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 19 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 20dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 21 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 22 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 23 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 24 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 25 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 26 27 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 28

judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:
"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
enforcement of the case.

19

10.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

20 "Marijuana," is a schedule I controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code
21 section 11054, subdivision (d)(13) and categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

22

23

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (1) and
490, in conjunction of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent
was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
licensed pharmacy technician, as follows:

28 || ///

a. On or about February 28, 2012, Respondent was convicted by jury trial, of two felony counts of violating Penal Code section 459 [First Degree Burglary] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Allen Lee Day* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2012, No. LA067676). Respondent was denied probation and sentenced to a total of seven (7) years and four months in state prison with a total credit of 621 days for time in custody and good/work time.

The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 20, 2010,
Respondent entered victim's home and was discovered by victim. Respondent fled the scene and
the victim contacted the police. The police located Respondent later in the general area based
upon the victim's description and arrested him. Numerous items stolen from the victim's home
were recovered from Respondent's clothing pockets by the police. Respondent had a knife on his
person during the commission of the crime.

On or about May 7, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted b. 13 of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 666 [petty theft with prior jail term] in the 14 criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Allen Lee Day (Super. Ct. 15 Los Angeles County, 2009, No. SA070559). The Court sentenced Respondent to 180 days in Los 16 Angeles County Jail and placed him on 3 years formal probation, with terms and conditions. The 17 circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 7, 2009, Respondent entered 18 a Rite Aid Store, in Culver City, CA, selected six cans of beer, put them in his short pockets, and 19 walked past the cash register without paying for the beer. He was subsequently arrested for 20 violating Penal Code section 211 [robbery]. 21

c. On or about March 2, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was
convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 242-243, subdivision (e) (1)
[battery] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Alan Lee Day*(Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. 9SR01044). The Court sentenced Respondent to 45
days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions.
The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 1, 2009, Respondent

28

1

2

3

4

5

and the victim had a verbal argument. He grabbed the victim, hit her on top of her head, approximately 3 to 4 times with a closed fist, and walked out of the motel.

1

2

On or about September 10, 2008, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was d. 3 convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 243 subdivision (e)(1)4 [battery] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Alan Lee Day 5 (Super, Ct. Los Angeles County, 2008, No. 8PS03923). The Court sentenced Respondent to 7 6 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions. 7 The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 1, 2008, 8 Respondent and the victim had a verbal argument. Respondent pushed the victim, hit her 9 numerous times, slammed her head multiple times into a sofa backing, and threw things around 10 the house at her. 11

e. On or about April 27, 2007, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was
convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a)
[driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Alan Lee Day* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. 7VY00655).
The Court sentenced Respondent to 192 hours in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on
probation for a period of 48 months, with terms and conditions.

The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 11, 2007, 18 Respondent drove a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or drugs, collided with a 19 Department of Water and Power power pole, and fled the scene of the accident on foot. While 20 21 speaking to Respondent, the Los Angles Police Department Officer detected an alcoholic odor emitting from his mouth and person. He was observed to have red, bloodshot, watery eyes, loud 22 speech, and an unsteady gait. When asked if he had been drinking, Respondent admitted to 23 drinking one beer which he claimed that he bought from a liquor after the collision before he was 24 detained. He submitted to a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) Test that resulted in a blood-25 alcohol content of 0.15% on the first reading and 0.16% on the second reading. During a search 26 of Respondent's vehicle, the officer found a sunglass case on the passenger floorboard that 27 28 contained a green leafy substance resembling that of marijuana. He was subsequently arrested for

violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving while under the influence of alcohol or drugs], Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood], Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a) [hit and run: property damage], and Vehicle Code section 23222, subdivision (b) [possession of 1 ounce or less of marijuana while driving]. During the booking procedure, Respondent repeatedly yelled obscenities at the officers and refused to submit to a breath or blood chemical test.

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

9 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), in
10 that on or about February 11, 2007, Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a
11 manner dangerous or injurious to himself, any person, or the public, when he drove a vehicle
12 while under the influence of alcohol or drugs and while having approximately 0.16% of alcohol
13 in his blood. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth
14 above in paragraph 11, subparagraph (d), as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on or about September 8, 2008, March 1, 2009, and March 7, 2009, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (a) through (c), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

22

23

15

16

17

18

··· 19

20

21

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact to Licensing Authority)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g), in
that on or about September 15, 2009, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact to the
Board of Pharmacy, by failing to disclose 3 conviction cases against him on his pharmacy
technician license renewal application. Respondent marked "No" to the question asking if he had
been convicted of any crime since his last renewal in 2007. Complainant refers to, and by this

reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (a) through (c), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

|| | | |

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

14. To determine the degree of discipline, if any to be imposed on Respondent,Complainant alleges the following:

On or about February 22, 2000, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count 8 a. of violating Vehicle Code section 23103 [reckless driving] in the criminal proceeding entitled The 9 People of the State of California v. Alan Lee Day (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. 10 0WL00396). The Court sentenced Respondent to 5 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed 11 him on probation for a period of 36 months, with terms and conditions. The circumstances 12 surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 30, 2000, Respondent drove a vehicle 13 upon a highway in a willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property. He was 14 arrested for violating Vehicle Code section 23153, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of 15 alcohol or drugs, resulting in injuries to another]. On or about January 30, 2000, the Board 16 conducted a complaint investigation, CI 2000 20034, regarding Respondent's 2000 driving under 17the influence arrest. The investigation was mediated and closed on July 19, 2001. 18

On or about August 30, 1999, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count b. 19 of violating Penal Code section 508 [embezzlement by employee] in the criminal proceeding 20 entitled The People of the State of California v. Alan Lee Day (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 21 2000, No. 9SM02651). The Court sentenced Respondent to 1 day in Los Angeles County Jail 22 and placed him on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding 23 the conviction are that on or about August 30, 1999, Respondent a clerk, agent, or servant 24 fraudulently appropriated for his own use the property of his employer. On or about January 30, 25 2000, the Board conducted a complaint investigation, CI 1999 18839, regarding Respondent's 26 27 1999 embezzlement by employee arrest. The investigation was mediated and closed on July 19. 2001. 28

:				
. 1	c. On or about October 28, 1982, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of			
2	violating Penal Code section 487.1 [grand theft of property] in the criminal proceeding entitled			
3	The People of the State of California v. Alan Lee Day (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1982, No.			
4	A902616). The Court sentenced Respondent to 2 years in State Prison and denied probation. The			
5	circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 29, 1982, Respondent			
6	stole, took, or carried away the personal property of another.			
7	PRAYER			
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,			
9	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:			
10	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 25566, issued to			
11	Respondent;			
12	2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and			
13	enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and			
14	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary/and proper.			
15	s. Taking such care and ratifier action as decined necessary failed proper.			
16	DATED: 1/8/13 Juginia HEROLD			
17	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy			
18	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California			
19	Complainant			
20				
21	LA2010600837			
22	50847080.docx jz(3-9-11)-rev (4/12/11)			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	9			