# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3632

MORGAN LEIGH DIAZ

7500 East Quincy Avenue, F105 Denver, CO 80237

Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72220

Respondent.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on March 9, 2011.

It is so ORDERED February 7, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wasi

Ву

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. COOK Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607		
3			
4			
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143		
1	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9			
10		CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3632	
12	MORGAN LEIGH DIAZ		
13	7500 East Quincy Avenue, F105	OAH No. 2010060047	
14	Denver, CO 80237	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72220		
16	Respondent.		
17		1	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this		
19	proceeding that the following matters are true:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
22	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund		
23	G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Nicole R. Cook, Deputy Attorney		
24	General.		
25	2. Morgan Leigh Diaz (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Nicol		
26	D. Tee, whose address is 110 West "C" Street, Ste. 2200, San Diego, CA 92101.		
27	3. On or about October 4, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
28	Registration No. TCH 72220 to Morgan Leigh Diaz (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		

Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3632 and will expire on July 31, 2012, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

4. Accusation No. 3632 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 11, 2010.

Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3632 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3632. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3632, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72220 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Pharmacy Technician Registration without further process.

#### CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

#### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72220, issued to Respondent Morgan Leigh Diaz, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

14. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

- 15. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a registered pharmacy technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 16. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board her wall license certificate and, if one was issued, her pocket license on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 17. Respondent may not reapply or petition the board for reinstatement of her surrendered technician license for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. If she ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 3632 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 18. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,372.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Nicole D. Tee. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:

MORGAN LEIGH DIAZ

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Morgan Leigh Diaz the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I

approve its form and content.

DATED: 10/22/2010

Attorney for Respondent

28

27

## **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 10/26/10 DATED: Respectfully submitted, EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICH R. LOUR NICOLE R. COOK Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2010800393 70365451.doc

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3632

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	Nicole R. Cook		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3632		
13	MORGAN LEIGH DIAZ		
14	409 Requeza Street, D1 Encinitas, CA 92024  A C C U S A T I O N		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72220		
16			
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about October 4, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
24	Registration Number TCH 72220 to Morgan Leigh Diaz (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
26	herein and will expire on July 31, 2010, unless renewed.		
27			
28			
	1		

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

28

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

#### 9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . . .

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a

subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
  - (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
    - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
    - (2) Total criminal record.
    - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
    - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
    - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

#### **COST RECOVERY**

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 6, 2007 Conviction for DUI on December 9, 2006)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that she was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about March 6, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz*, in San Diego Superior Court case number CN223306, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B), driving with a blood alcohol content of .08 percent or more with admission a prior DUI on August 25, 2003. Both case number CN223306 and case number CN223894 (as set forth below in the second Cause for Discipline) were heard at the same time.
- b. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation for five years with terms and conditions, including but not limited to, 96 days in custody on consecutive weekends, payment of fines, fees and restitution, 5 days in public service program/volunteer work, attendance and completion of a Multiple Offender DUI Program in concurrence with Case Number CN223894.
- c. The circumstances which led to the conviction were that on or about December 9, 2007, an officer from the California Highway Patrol observed a vehicle weaving from side to side while traveling eastbound on State Route 78 near Woodland Parkway. After the officer initiated a traffic stop, the driver of the weaving vehicle was identified as Respondent. The officer observed that Respondent's eyes were red and glassy, that she swayed in a circular motion while standing and that she had an odor of an alcoholic beverage on her breath. Respondent admitted that she should not have been driving because she drank too much. She admitted to consuming 3-4 drinks and feeling "buzzed." The officer attempted to explain and demonstrate the Field Sobriety Tests; however, Respondent attempted the tests before the officer was able to finish explaining the tests. Respondent stated, "I've been through this before, I know what's going to happen." Based on Respondent's inability to successfully perform the Field Sobriety Tests and her objective symptoms, the officer arrested Respondent for driving under the

influence. Upon arrest, Respondent elected to take a breath test and her blood alcohol content registered at .16 by weight.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 6, 2007 Conviction for DUI on January 12, 2007)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code in that she was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about March 6, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz*, in San Diego Superior Court case number CN223894, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B), driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol content of .08 percent or greater with admission of the prior DUI on August 25, 2003. Sentencing was combined with the sentencing on her case Number 223306, as detailed in the First Cause for Discipline.
- b. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation for five years with terms and conditions, including but not limited to, 96 days in custody on consecutive weekends, payment of fines, fees and restitution, 5 days in public service program/volunteer work, attendance in completion of a Multiple Offender DUI Program in concurrence with Case Number CN223306.
- c. The circumstances which led to the conviction were that on or about January 12, 2007, an officer from the Oceanside Police Department initiated a traffic stop after observing a vehicle travelling at a high rate of speed in a residential area on South Tremont Street. The driver of the vehicle was identified as Respondent. Another officer, who responded to the scene, approached Respondent and attempted to ask her questions. The officer observed that Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol emitting from her breath and body. He also observed that she spoke with thick, slurred speech, she had blood-shot and watery eyes, and swayed side to side while she talked to the officer. Respondent failed to perform Field Sobriety Tests as explained and demonstrated. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. At the

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

26

27

28

station, Respondent was administered two breath tests and her blood alcohol content registered at .17 and .18 percent by weight.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(October 14, 2009 Conviction for Driving On a Suspended License on June 4, 2009)

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code in that she was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- On or about June 30, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz, in San Diego Superior Court case number CN263749, Respondent was charged with violations of Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a) driving when privilege suspended for prior DUI conviction, a misdemeanor. It was further alleged that Respondent had a prior conviction in Riverside County Superior Court Case number 028782 for the violation of Vehicle Code Section 14601.2(d)(2), driving on a suspended license. The criminal complaint also charged Respondent with driving a high rate of speed greater than 70 miles per hour in violation of Vehicle Code Section 22356(b), an infraction, and a violation of Vehicle Code Section 14601.5(a), driving when privilege suspended.
- On or about August 4, 2009, Respondent failed to appear in court for the b. arraignment on this case. As a result, a bench warrant was issued in the amount of \$15,000. The bench warrant was later rescinded on August 6, 2009 when Respondent's attorney appeared on her behalf.
- On or about October 14, 2009, Respondent pled guilty to the misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a), driving while her license was suspended for a prior DUI conviction. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation for a period of 3 years under terms and conditions including, 10 days in custody beginning on November 13, 2009, payment of all fines, fees, and restitution, and enrollment in a public service program or volunteer work which was ordered to be completed by November 4, 2010.

4 5

# 6 7

forth herein.

9 10

8

12.

11

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

complete a First Offender DUI Program, among other terms and conditions.

# influence of alcohol. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation for a period of 3 years. Respondent was ordered to obey all laws, sentenced to 15 days in jail, ordered to complete a sheriff's labor program commencing August 25, 2003, required to pay all fines and fees, ordered to abstain from the use of alcoholic beverages, required to attend and

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent.

People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz, in Riverside Superior Court case number

complainant alleges that on or about August 25, 2003, in a criminal proceeding entitled The

SWM019848, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violation of Vehicle Code

section 23152(A), driving under the influence of alcohol and Vehicle Code section 23152(B),

conviction were that on or about June 22, 2003, Respondent was arrested for driving under the

driving with a blood alcohol content of .08 or more. The facts and circumstances which led to the

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code in that

(Unprofessional Conduct – Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous to Self or Others)

Respondent used alcohol to an extent which was dangerous to herself or others, as is set forth

above in paragraphs 13 and 14, which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set

18. Complainant further alleges that on or about September 14, 2005, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz, in Riverside Superior Court case number SWM040469, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violation of Penal Code section 647(F), public intoxication, a misdemeanor. As a result of her plea, Respondent was ordered to pay fines, fees and restitution and the Court denied probation.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

28

Accusation