# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3527

JOSE VEGA

5830 Jones Ave. Riverside, CA 92505

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52827

Respondent.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on April 12, 2012.

It is so ORDERED on March 13, 2012.

e edicial racessori dagente e en ci es como e como

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

and one and are well are selected

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

- 1			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. COOK		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607		
	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:  Case No. 3527		
13	JOSE VEGA  STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
14	5830 Jones Ave		
15	Riverside, CA 92505		
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52827		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public		
20	interest and the responsibility of the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs,		
21	the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which wil		
22	be submitted to the Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the First Amended		
23	Accusation.		
24	PARTIES		
25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
26	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala		
27	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Nicole R. Cook, Deputy Attorney		
28	General.		

- 2. Jose Vega (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about January 2, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 52827 to Respondent Jose Vega. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3527 and will expire on January 31, 2012, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 3527 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The initial Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 15, 2011. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 29, 2011. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 3527 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 3527. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

-11 ,

JH JZ 13

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in First Amended Accusation No. 3527.
- 9. Respondent agrees that his Pharmacy Technician Registration is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### RESERVATION

10. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Pharmacy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

# CONTINGENCY OF CONTINGENCY

- understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including the signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

	STIPULATED SETTLEMEN(3 527)
	4.
28	$^{\prime\prime\prime}$
27	
26	
25	JOSE VEGA Respondent
24	DATED: 10/15/11 (2 Ve
23	
22	to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.
21	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, at agree
.20	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter ito this
19.	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understant the
18	ACCEPTANCE
17	
16	issuance of a new or reinstated license or registration from the Board.
15	its costs of investigation and enforcement of this matter in the amount of \$1,972.50 prio to
Statement of Paper and 14	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent Jose Vega shall pay the Board
13	proof of certification to the Board.
11	certified as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4202(a)(4) and provides stisfactor
10	the effective date of this decision. A condition of reinstatement shall be that the Respondent is
ter da ber ja têrîn	the Board within ten (10) days of the effective date of this decision. Respondent may not reapply or petition the Board for reinstatement of his revoked technician license for three (3) years from
8	issued to Respondent Jose Vega is revoked. Respondent shall relinquish his technician license to
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 52827
6	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
. 5	Disciplinary Order:
4	the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
. 3	14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
2	writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
1	Order may not be aftered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a

# **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Respectfully submitted, Dated: Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California James M. Ledakis Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. COOK, Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant NRC:mgs SD2009804964

# Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 3527

	·		
. 1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General of California  JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RON ESPINOZA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 176908		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2100 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	Case No. 3527		
12	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:		
13			
14	JOSE VEGA 5830 Jones Ave FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		
15	Riverside, CA 92505		
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52827		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
	Complamant aneges:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her		
22	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer		
23	Affairs.		
24	2. On or about January 2, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
25	Registration Number TCH 52827 to Jose Vega (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
26	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and		
27	will expire on January 31, 2012, unless renewed.		
28			

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
  Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
  references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

#### 9. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

#### 10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The

board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

## REGULATORY PROVISIONS

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
  - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
  - (2) Total criminal record.
  - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
  - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

#### COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### DRUG

14. Cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(6), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4022.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(April 30, 2009 Convictions- Importing Cocaine & Aiding & Abetting on January 14, 2009)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about April 30, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States of America v. Jose Luis Vega and Vivian Manzo*, in the United States District Court, Southern District of California, case number 09CR00324-H, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty for violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 952 and 960, knowingly and intentionally importing 5 kilograms or more of cocaine, a Schedule II Controlled Substance, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2, aiding and abetting.
- b. The facts that led to the convictions are that on or about January 14, 2009, at approximately 0400 hours, Respondent and his female passenger sought admission into the United States at the Calexico, California, West Port of Entry. Respondent was the driver and owner of the vehicle. While a Customs & Border Protection Officer conducted a pre-primary operation, a narcotic detection dog responded to the vehicle. Subsequent inspection revealed a total of 23 packages of a white powdery substance concealed within the dashboard of the vehicle. The 23 packages had a combined net weight of approximately 28.70 kilograms (63.14 pounds) and tested positive for cocaine.