BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3209

KEITH B. NISONOFF 10462 E. Prentice Avenue

Englewood, CO 80111

Pharmacist License No. RPH 43877

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on April 29, 2010.

It is so ORDERED on March 30, 2010.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Benneth H. Scheel

Ву

KENNETH H. SCHELL

Board President

1	
1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.
2	Attorney General of California ARTHUR TAGGART
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELENA L. ALMANZO
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131058
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-5524
	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Case No. 3209 Against:
12	OAH No. 2009080217
13	KEITH B. NISONOFF 10462 E. Prentice Avenue DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	Englewood, Colorado 80111 Pharmacist License No. RPH 43877
15	Respondent.
16	
17	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:
20	<u>PARTIES</u>
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.
22	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund
23	G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Elena L. Almanzo, Deputy Attorney
	General.
24	2. Respondent Keith B. Nisonoff (Respondent) is representing himself in this
25	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel
26	3. On or about September 7, 1990, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License
27	No. RPH 43877 to Keith B. Nisonoff (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force
28	

and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3209 and expired on November 30, 2009.

JURISDICTION

4. The First Amended Accusation; Case No. 3209 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 3, 2009. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of the First Amended Accusation; Case No. 3209 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3209. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits that as facutal basis exists for the purpose of imposing discipline based on the allegations set forth in the First Amended Accusation; Case No. 3209. He further agrees that in any future disciplinary proceeding before the Board all allegations set forth in the First Amended Accusation shall be deemed admitted.

12

13

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Respondent agrees that his Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board of Pharmacy (Board)'s imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 43877 issued to Respondent Keith B. Nisonoff (Respondent) is revoked.

The revocation of Respondent's Pharmacy license shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his license certificate(s), on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

Respondent may not apply, reapply, or petition for any licensure or registration of the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision and Order.

Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time an application or petition is filed, and all the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation; Case No. 3209 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.

Should Respondent ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation; Case No. 3209 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,821.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

ACCEPTANCE 1 I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the 2 stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated 3 Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be 4 bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. 5 6 DATED: 7 KEITH B. NISONOFF 8 Respondent 9 10 11 12 **ENDORSEMENT** 13 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 14 15 Dated: 1/4/2010. Respectfully Submitted, 16 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. 17 Attorney General of California ARTHUR TAGGART 18 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 19 20 Deputy Attorney General 21 Attorneys for Complainant 22 23 SA2008304261 24 10518575.doc 25 26 27

28

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation; Case No. 3209

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General
2	of the State of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELENA L. ALMANZO, State Bar No. 131058
4	Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
	Telephone: (916) 322-5524
6	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Case No. 3209
12	Against: KEITH B NISONOFF FIRST AMENDED
13	10462 E. Prentice Avenue ACCUSATION
14	Englewood, CO 80111
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 43877
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	<u>PARTIES</u>
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation
21	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of
22	Consumer Affairs.
23	2. On or about September 7, 1990, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist
24	License Number RPH 43877 to Keith B. Nisonoff (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in
25	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
26	November 30, 2009, unless renewed.
27	
28	

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

2.6

27

28

JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), 3. Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- "(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - "(1) Suspending judgment.
 - "(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - "(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - "(4) Revoking his or her license.
- "(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
 - Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part: 5.

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to

the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter.
- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
- 6. Section 4059 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that a person may not furnish any dangerous drug except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

7. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

28 ||Professions Code section 4022 in that it requires a prescription under federal law.

27

13.

"Carisoprodol" is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and

. 1 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Out of State Discipline) 2 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 (n) for 3 14. unprofessional conduct in that he was disciplined by the Colorado State Board of Pharmacy. The 4 circumstances are as follows: 5 On or about July 17, 2001, the Colorado State Board of Pharmacy in In the A. 6 Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings regarding the license to Practice Pharmacy in the State of 7 Colorado of Keith Nisonoff, P. Ph. License No. 13628; P-99-029, issued an order suspending 8 respondent's license for three months and imposed a three year probation. 9 On or about August 3, 2004, the Colorado State Board of Pharmacy in In В. 10 the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings regarding the license to Practice Pharmacy in the State of 11 Colorado of Keith Nisonoff, P. Ph. License No. 13628, Case No. P-030129, issued an order 12 suspending respondent's license for six months and imposed a five year probation. 13 C. On or about June 12, 2009, in Colorado Board of Pharmacy v. Keith B. 14 Nisonoff, License No. 13628, before the Board of Pharmacy State of Colorado, the Board 15 summarily suspended respondent's license to practice as a pharmacist pending further 16 17 proceedings. 18 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Furnishing) 19 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under for unprofessional 20 15. conduct pursuant to section 4301 (g) and section 4059 in that he in that he furnished controlled 21 substances without legal authorization. The circumstances are as follows: 22 In the Stipulation for the decision by the Colorado State Board of Pharmacy 16. 23 set forth in 9 A above, Respondent admitted that he dispensed three prescriptions after the 24

28 //

25

26

pharmacy's regular business hours without contacting the physicians listed on the prescription.

supply of 30 tablets of Soma, and a 30 day supply of 30 tablets of Procardia XL 30 mg. tablets.

The prescriptions were for a 5 day supply of 15 tablets for diazepam 5 mg. tablets, a 15 day

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD
Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant SA2008304261 10503446.wpd ġ