1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEOFFREY S. ALLEN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 193338 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-4455 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Geoffrey.Allen@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12		No. 5421
13		
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant	TEMENT OF ISSUES
15	Respondent.	
16	<u> </u>	
16 17		
ļ	Complainant alleges:	
17	Complainant alleges: PARTIES	Statement of Issues solely in her official
17 18	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this	·
17 18 19	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm	macy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about June 23, 2014, the Board of Ph	macy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. On or about June 23, 2014, the Board of Pharmacy Technician Regions.	macy, Department of Consumer Affairs. harmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs gistration from Abel Woldegabriel
17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. On or about June 23, 2014, the Board of Pharmacy Technician Regions (Respondent). On or about June 19, 2014, Abel Wolder	macy, Department of Consumer Affairs. harmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs gistration from Abel Woldegabriel egabriel certified under penalty of perjury
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. On or about June 23, 2014, the Board of Pharmacy Technician Reg. (Respondent). On or about June 19, 2014, Abel Wolder to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations.	macy, Department of Consumer Affairs. harmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs gistration from Abel Woldegabriel egabriel certified under penalty of perjury
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. On or about June 23, 2014, the Board of Pharmacy Technician Reg. (Respondent). On or about June 19, 2014, Abel Wolder to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and repredented the application on February 13, 2015.	macy, Department of Consumer Affairs. harmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs gistration from Abel Woldegabriel egabriel certified under penalty of perjury
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. On or about June 23, 2014, the Board of Pharmacy Technician Reg. (Respondent). On or about June 19, 2014, Abel Wolder to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and repredented the application on February 13, 2015.	macy, Department of Consumer Affairs. harmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs gistration from Abel Woldegabriel egabriel certified under penalty of perjury

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 480 of the Code states:

- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis of a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal.

5. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

24

25

26

27

28

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty. or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

6. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Crimes)

7. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480, subdivision (a)(1), and 4301, subdivisions (k) and (l), in that Respondent was convicted of two alcohol-

related misdemeanors substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

- a. On or about August 23, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Abel Woldegabriel* in Alameda County Superior Court, Case Number 427730, Respondent was convicted by plea of nolo contendere in Vehicle Code 23152, subdivision (b) [driving with a blood alcohol content in excess of 0.08%], a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 36 months probation, complete a 6 month alcohol program, and serve 5 days in jail. The circumstances of his arrest were that on or about June 4, 2010, Respondent was involved in a vehicle collision. Officers from the San Leandro Police Department arrived and observed Respondent to be under the influence of alcohol. Respondent's blood alcohol content at the time of his arrest was 0.19%.
- b. On or about April 18, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Abel Woldegabriel* in Alameda County Superior Court, Case Number 577758, Respondent was convicted by plea of nolo contendere in Vehicle Code 23152, subdivision (b) [driving with a blood alcohol content in excess of 0.08%], a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 36 months probation, complete an 18 month alcohol program, and serve 20 days in jail. The circumstances of his arrest were that on or about March 3, 2012, Respondent was driving a motor vehicle in Oakland, CA. Officers from the California Highway Patrol observed Respondent to weave in and out of lanes while driving. The officers initiated a traffic stop of Respondent. The officers observed Respondent to be under the influence of alcohol. Respondent's blood alcohol content at the time of his arrest was 0.21%.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480, subdivision (a)(3) and 4301, subdivision (h), in that the Respondent used alcohol in a manner that was dangerous to the public. The circumstances are described above in paragraph 7.

///

///

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: Denying the application of Abel Woldegabriel for a Pharmacy Technician License; 1. 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 4/8/16 **Executive Officer** Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SF2015900285 90588164.doc