1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst
	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 5193
13	MARKQUISTE NATHANIEL MORGAN STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14 15	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,
22	2. On or about October 18, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
23	Affairs received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Markquiste
24	Nathaniel Morgan (Respondent). On or about October 14, 2013, Markquiste Nathaniel Morgan
25	certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and
26	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on March 19, 2014.
27	
28	///
	1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states: "The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
 - (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

1///

- (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (3)(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- (c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license.

7. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:
- (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.

2·1 2·2

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(January 26, 2009 Criminal Conviction for Burglary)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 26, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Marquiste Nathaniel Morgan*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number CE278837, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 459, burglary, a felony reduced to a misdemeanor pursuant to Penal Code section 17b. As a result of the plea agreement, the court dismissed additional counts of violating Penal Code section 476, forgery of financial institution paper, and Penal Code section 475, subdivision (c), possessing a completed check/money order with the intent to defraud, felonies.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about January 26, 2009, the court granted Respondent summary probation for three years. Respondent was ordered to submit to a Fourth Amendment waiver, pay fees and fines, and stay away from the victims.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about December 17, 2007, the San Diego County Sheriff's Department received a complaint from the office manager of an electrical contractor in Lakeside. She reported that she had been notified by the contractor's bank on December 10, 2007 regarding possible check fraud involving the contractor's payroll account. The bank provided copies of four checks. Three checks were in the amount of \$837.42 each made payable to either Dawane Tousant or Dywane Tousant. The fourth check in the

amount of \$790.59 was made payable to Markquiste Morgan. The office manager stated the checks did not resemble the contractor's checks, however, they had the correct payroll account number. The office manager's signature had also been forged. On December 17, 2007, the office manager was contacted by the owner of a security company who stated he was also a victim of check fraud. He discovered that a fraudulent check for \$632.85 in the name of his company was cashed by "Dywane Tousant" at a check cashing shop. Upon contacting the former owner of the shop, it was learned that Dywane Tousant also went by the name "Markquis Morgan." In a subsequent investigation, Respondent stated that he obtained the checks from a friend whom he would not identify, and he admitted to cashing the checks because he needed the money.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Commission of a Dishonest Act)

13. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(2) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that in or around December 2007, Respondent committed acts of dishonesty, fraud and deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, as described in paragraph 12, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Markquiste Nathaniel Morgan for a Pharmacy Technician Registration;
 - 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

3 DATED: 8/8/14

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2014707202