1	1
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 4946
13	DANA MARIE TELLEZ
14	Applicant for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician
15	
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about February 6, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
23	Affairs received an application for a Registration as a Pharmacy Technician from Dana Marie
24	Tellez (Respondent). On or about January 31, 2013, Dana Marie Tellez certified under penalty of
25	perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The
26	Board denied the application on June 19, 2013.
27	1/1
28	111
	1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references
 are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states "the board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

111

28 | ///

REGULATORY PROVISIONS 1 2 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states: 3 (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the 4 applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria: 5 (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as 6 grounds for denial. 7 (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions 8 Code. 9 (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2). 10 (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, 11 restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant. 12 (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant. 13 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states: 14 15 For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions 16 Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present 17 or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. 18 FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION 19 20 (September 1, 2010 Criminal Conviction for Driving With Alcohol Concentration of 0.08% or More on July 20, 2010) 21 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (a)(1) 22 and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the 23 qualifications, duties and functions of a registered pharmacy technician and would be a ground for 24 discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code. 25 111 26

III

III

27

- 13. On or about September 1, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Dana M. Tellez* in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case Number M114516, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more [0.20% BAC]), a misdemeanor. As a result of a plea bargain, a count for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (DUI), a misdemeanor, was dismissed.
- 14. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on five years summary probation and ordered her to pay various fines and fees, and serve 10 days in the Public Service Program (PSP), with one day credit for time served. The Court also ordered the standard alcohol conditions per Vehicle Code section 23600, and ordered her to enroll in and complete a 9-month First Offender Program and a MADD Impact Panel. On June 10, 2011, Respondent's probation was revoked for failure to complete the PSP and on June 16, 2011, a warrant was issued for her arrest. On June 28, Respondent appeared before the Court, her probation was reinstated, and Respondent was ordered to serve 30 days of additional time in the PSP, stayed pending successful completion of probation, and the Court ordered her to complete 7 remaining days in the PSP.
- approximately 10:50 p.m., San Diego Police Department Officers responded to a call of a collision. Upon the Officers' arrival, they determined one vehicle had collided with two parked vehicles. Officers made contact with the driver of the vehicle that caused the accident (Respondent) and smelled the odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from her breath and person. Officers had Respondent perform a series of field sobriety tests which she failed. Respondent was arrested and transported to Headquarters where she provided two breath samples at 11:58 p.m. and 12:00 a.m., which registered a blood alcohol concentration of 0.21% and 0.20%, respectively. Respondent was then transported to Las Colinas County Jail.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(August 1, 2012 Criminal Conviction for Driving With Blood Alcohol Concentration of 0.08% or More on February 3, 2012)

- 16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a registered pharmacy technician and would be a ground for discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code.
- 17. On or about August 1, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dana M. Tellez*, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, case number M149588, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more [0.25% BAC]), a misdemeanor. As a result of a plea bargain, a count for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (DUI), a misdemeanor, was dismissed.
- 18. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on five years summary probation and ordered her to serve 96 hours in the county jail, pay various fines and fees, serve 30 days in the Public Service Program, ordered the standard alcohol conditions per Vehicle Code section 23600, and ordered her to enroll in and complete a Multiple Conviction Program and a MADD Impact Panel.
- approximately 9:20 p.m., California Highway Patrol Officers were dispatched to the scene of a collision on I-805 north of Sorrento Valley Road in San Diego, California. Upon making contact with the driver (Respondent), Officers noticed an odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath, and noticed her eyes were red, glassy, watery, and that she had a rambling speech. Officers determined the Respondent had been driving at a high rate of speed and collided into the divider wall, causing the vehicle to roll onto its side. Respondent suffered injuries, including a broken elbow, and was transported to the hospital where she provided a blood sample. The blood sample registered a blood alcohol concentration of 0.25%.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous or Injurious to Self or Others)

20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she used alcohol in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself or others, which would be a ground for discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 12-19, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Convictions Involving the Use of Alcoholic Beverages)

21. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted in two separate cases on charges involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages, which would be a ground for discipline for a registered pharmacy technician under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 12-19, above, which are incorporated here by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Dana Marie Tellez for a Pharmacy Technician Registration;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/8/14

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2013705996 70799327.doc

2627

23

24

25