KAMALA D. HARRIS	
MARC D. GREENBAUM	
CHRISTINA THOMAS	
State Bar No. 171168	
Los Angeles, CA 90013	
Telephone: (213) 897-2557 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
Attorneys for Complainant	
BEFORE THE	
BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA
	Case No. 4889
]	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
Respondent.	·
Complainant alleges:	
<u>PARTIES</u>	
1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Statement of Issues solely in her official
capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs	
Board).	
2. On or about January 31, 2013, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy	
Technician Registration from Terrence Andre Rese	er (Respondent). On or about November 13,
2012, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers,	
and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on May 10, 2013.	
1//	
1	
	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINA THOMAS Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171168 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2557 Facsimile: (213) 897-25804 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORI BOARD OF P DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: TERRENCE ANDRE RESER Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant Respondent. Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of F (Board). 2. On or about January 31, 2013, the Boar Technician Registration from Terrence Andre Rese 2012, Respondent certified under penalty of perjur and representations in the application. The Board /// ///

JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

. . . .

- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482."

- "(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."
- 5. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued...
- 6. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Boards is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

- | |

- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or

dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

9. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE/DANGEROUS DRUGS

a. "Cocaine," is a Schedule I controlled substance, as designated in Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (f)(1), and a Schedule II controlled substance, as designated in Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(6). It is categorized as a dangerous drug according to section 4022

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
 - a. On or about May 4, 2006 Respondent was arrested for trespassing.
- b. On or about July 25, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of violating Municipal Code section 5711 [trespassing] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The City of Nevada v. Terence Andre Reser* (Mun. Ct. Las Vegas, Regional Justice Center, Clark County, 2006, No. C0652517A). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve four days in Clark County Jail.
- c. On or about November 5, 2005, Respondent was arrested for unlawful use/possession of drug paraphernalia
- d. On or about February 13, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of violating Municipal Code section 9527 [unlawful use/possession of drug paraphernalia] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The City of Nevada v. Terence Andre Reser* (Mun. Ct. Las Vegas, Clark County, 2006, No. C0636250A). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve two days in Clark County Jail.
- e. On or about September 7, 1999, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) [under the influence of a controlled substance] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Terence Reser* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 1999, No. 9PN03112). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 90 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed on 24 months probation, with terms and conditions.
- f. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about May 27, 1999, Respondent was observed by a Los Angeles Police officer crossing the street and nearly getting struck by a passing vehicle. As he walked towards the officer he appeared to be walking rigid, continuously looking around and over his shoulder, and seemed to be agitated. While speaking to

Respondent, the officer could observe sweat on his forehead, mood changes, a dry mouth, chapped lips, and burnt fingertips with discoloration. Based on the objective signs and symptoms of Respondent, it was determined that he was under the influence of Cocaine, a controlled substance, and was subsequently arrested.

- g. On or about February 22, 1999, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) [under the influence of a controlled substance] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Terrance Andre Reser* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1999, No. 9PN00966). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 90 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed on 24 months probation, with terms and conditions.
- h. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 15, 1999, Respondent was approached by a Los Angeles Police Officer. While speaking to Respondent, the officer observed him to be sweating heavily, had burnt fingertips, was speaking rapidly, and had a very dry mouth. Respondent was subsequently arrested for being under the influence of a controlled substance. During the booking procedure, Respondent admitted to "smoking a dime" earlier that morning.
- i. On or about September 1, 1998, respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 653F, subdivision (d) [soliciting narcotics] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Terrence Reser* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1998, No. 8PN01241). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 15 days in Los Angeles County jail and placed him on 24 months probation, with terms and conditions.
- j. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 20, 1998, Respondent attempted to purchase narcotics from an undercover police officer. He approached the officer and stated, "I want a twenty dollar rock." Respondent was subsequently arrested for soliciting narcotics.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (c), in that on or about November 13, 2012, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact by failing to disclose his convictions, on his application for licensure. In addition, Respondent signed under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing was true and correct, on his application for licensure. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a) through (j), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4301, subdivision (p) and 480, subdivisions (a)(3)A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of his license, as follows:
- a. Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 4301, subdivision (l), and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (a), (c), (e), (g), and (i), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- b. Respondent was found to be under the influence of Cocaine, a controlled substance in violation of section 4301, subdivisions (h) and (j). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, subparagraphs (f) and (h), inclusive, as though set forth full.
- c. Respondent has more than one conviction involving the use of a controlled substance in violation of section 4301, subdivisions (k) and (j). Complainant refers to, and by this reference

1	incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, subparagraph (e) and (g), inclusive,
2	as though set forth full.
3	<u>PRAYER</u>
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
6	1. Denying the application of Terrence Andre Reser for a Pharmacy Technician
7	Registration;
8	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
9	DATED: 5/3/14 Duninia Serd
10 VIRGINIA HEROLD	
11	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
12	State of California Complainant
13	Сотрынит
14	
15	
16	
17	LA2013510141 51416638.doc
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
28	
	8