11		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	DesiRee I. Kellogg Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 126461 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2996	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4327 Against:	
13		
ľ	ANIQA JAIGIRDAR STATEMENT OF ISSUES	-
14	Pharmacist License Applicant	
15	Respondent.	
. 16		
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about December 30, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
23	Affairs received an application for a Pharmacist License from Aniqa Jaigirdar (Respondent). On	
24	or about December 22, 2010, Aniqa Jaigirdar certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness	
25	of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the	
26	application on December 6, 2011.	
27		İ
28		
	1	

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
3	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 480 of the Code states:
6 7	(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
8	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
10	(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
11	•••
12	5. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states "The board may refuse a license to
13	any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct."
14	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
15	6. Section 475 of the Code states:
16 17	(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
18	n an
19	(3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
20	(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or
21	profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
22	7. Section 482 of the Code states:
23	
24	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
25	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
.26	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
27	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.
28	
	2

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:

(a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:

(1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.

(2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.

(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).

(4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.

(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

3

11. <u>Hydrocodone</u> is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and
 Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(i), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business ad Professions
 Code section 4022. Hydrocodone is a narcotic pain reliever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

DRUG

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Commission of Dishonest Acts)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(2) and 480(a)(3)(A) in that on or about August 19, 2009 and April 12, 2011, she committed dishonest acts which would also be grounds for suspension or revocation for a licensed pharmacist under Code section 4301(f). The circumstances are as follows.

On or about August 19, 2009 at approximately 12:00 p.m., a pharmacy technician at 13. 11 Walgreens Pharmacy located in Hemet, California received a telephone call from a female 12 identifying herself as Dr. H.A. and requesting that a prescription be filled in the name of Jason S. 13 for fifty tablets of hydrocodone with one refill. Approximately twenty minutes later, a nervous 14 15 sounding male telephoned the pharmacy staff inquiring about the status of that prescription. Since he appeared nervous, the pharmacist contacted Dr. H.A. to verify that the doctor had issued 16 the prescription to Jason S. Dr. H.A. told the pharmacist that she had been retired from the 17 practice of medicine since 1995, did not call in a prescription for Jason S. to Walgreens and that 18 the telephone call was the second telephone call she had received from Walgreens Pharmacy 19 20 inquiring about the validity of a prescription for hydrocodone on this day.

14. At approximately 12:44 p.m. on August 19, 2009, Respondent and her then boyfriend 21 stopped at the Walgreens Pharmacy "drive through" window to obtain Jason S.'s prescription for 22 hydrocodone. The pharmacy staff telephoned the Hemet Police Department who sent officers to 23 detain Respondent and her boyfriend. Respondent told the police officer that Jason S. was her 24 friend and that they were in the process of obtaining the hydrocodone from Walgreens for her 25boyfriend, not Jason S. The police officer searched Respondent's vehicle and located two 26 documents (torn yellow notes) with the names, Jason S. and Michael M. and birthdates, addresses 27and telephone numbers handwritten on them. These two yellow notes were written by 28

4

Respondent. The two yellow notes along with the prescription were booked into evidence and Respondent was arrested.

On April 12, 2011 at approximately 9:15 p.m., Respondent was shopping at a 15, 3 Walmart store in Lake Forest, California. A Walmart Asset Protection Officer observed 4 Respondent place a dog collar under her purse in a shopping cart and a mascara in her shopping 5 cart and conceal an empty package which contained toothbrush heads behind two tissue boxes on 6 7 a shelf (Respondent's companion was observed placing the toothbrush heads into Respondent's 8 purse). Respondent exited the store without purchasing the toothbrush heads, dog collar and mascara. The Walmart Asset Protection Officer confronted Respondent in the parking lot and 9 asked for the return of the stolen items. The Asset Protection Officer then obtained the 10 toothbrushes and dog collar from Respondent and her companion. She subsequently detained 11 Respondent in the Asset Protection Office to await the Sheriff's Department's arrival when the 12 Asset Protection Officer found the mascara in question under the seat where Respondent had been 13 sitting in the office. Respondent was cited for shoplifting by the Sheriff's Department and 14 released. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Violating Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(3)(A) in that on or about August 19, 2009, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances
Act (Health and Safety Code sections 11000, *et seq.*), which would be grounds for discipline for a licensed pharmacist under Code section 4301(j), as is more fully described in paragraphs 13-14.

PRAYER

5

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

25

26

27

28

1.

Denying the application of Aniqa Jaigirdar for a Pharmacist License;

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 2. 25/12 DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2012703569 70621755.doc