II	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	DIANN ŠOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	KIM M. SETTLES Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 116945 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2138 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4290
12	Against: STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	VA EUNG
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration
15	Applicant
16	Respondent.
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about July 18, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs
22	received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Va Eung (Respondent). On
23	or about May 25, 2011, Va Eung certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all
24	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on
25	February 3, 2012.
26	///
27	
28	///
	1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states:
- "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - "(1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.
 - "(2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.
 - "(3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.
 - "(4) Continuing participation in a board approved rehabilitation program.
 - "(5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.
 - "(6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.
 - "(7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy.

STATUTORY/REGULATORY PROVISIONS

5. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to

5

10

11

14

15

18

17

19 20

21₂₂

23

2425

26

27 28 oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- "(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."

///

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 7. Respondent's application for licensure as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under Code sections 4300, as defined in Code sections 4301 subdivision (l) and 480 subdivision (a)(1) in that he was convicted of the following crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician:
- A. On or about December 28, 2009, in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. 02375200, entitled *People v. Va Eung*, Respondent was convicted by his plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol) and 23152, subdivision (b) (driving with a .08%, or higher blood alcohol content).

The circumstances of the crime are that on or about July 5, 2008, Respondent drove a vehicle while having a blood alcohol content of .08%, or higher. Respondent was sentenced to 120 days in County Jail; five years probation; required to pay fines, fees, restitution, and assessments; and required to complete the Multiple Offender's Drunk Driving Program.

B. On or about December 28, 2009, in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. 02379195, entitled *People v. Va Eung*, Respondent was convicted by his plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol); 23152, subdivision (b) (driving with a .08%, or higher blood alcohol content); and 22350 (unsafe speed).

The circumstances of the crime are that on or about August 1, 2008, Respondent drove a vehicle over the speed limit while having a blood alcohol content of .08%, or higher. Respondent was sentenced to five years probation; two days in County Jail; required to pay fines, assessments; and required to complete the Multiple Offender's Drunk Driving Program.

C. On or about December 28, 2009, in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. 02399195, entitled *People v. Va Eung*, Respondent was convicted by his plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol); 23152, subdivision (b) (driving with a .08%, or higher blood alcohol content); and 14601.5, subdivision (a) (driving with suspended/revoked license).

The circumstances of the crime are that on or about December 20, 2008, Respondent rearended a vehicle and a California Highway Patrol Officer who responded to the accident scene.

Respondent displayed objective symptoms of intoxication and failed the field sobriety tests.

Respondent had a blood alcohol content of .15%. Respondent was sentenced to five years
probation; 120 days in County Jail; required to pay fines, assessments, and restitution; and
required to complete the Multiple Offender's Drunk Driving Program.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct - Convictions Involving Alcohol)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 4300, as defined in section 4301, subdivision (k), and 480, subdivision (a)(1) in that he was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the consumption of alcohol, as set forth in paragraph 6, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 4300, in that Respondent engaged in "unprofessional conduct" not becoming the profession of pharmacy, as described in paragraph 6, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct - Act Involving Dishonesty)

- 10. Respondent was notified by letter dated February 3, 2012, that his Application for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician was denied because of his criminal convictions.

 Respondent falsely omitted and/or neglected to disclose two of his criminal convictions in answer to question six, regarding disclosure of convictions.
- 11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4300, as defined in section 4301, subdivision (g) and 480, subdivisions (a)(2) and (c) on the grounds of knowingly making a false statement on her Application for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician as set forth in paragraph 9, above, in that pursuant to a request in the application to disclose each conviction, Respondent falsely omitted two of his previous convictions.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct - Conduct Warranting Denial of Application)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 4301, subdivision (p), in that Respondent engaged in conduct that would have warranted denial of a license as described in paragraph 6, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct – Use of Alcohol)

13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that Respondent used alcohol to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or to the public, as described in paragraph 6, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Va Eung for a Pharmacy Technician Registration;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 8/14/12 VIRGINIAHEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2012900771 90240807.doc