1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		0 2 4101
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 4181
13	KURTIS QUENTIN MITCHELL 59 Rue Chateau	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Aliso Viejo, CA 92656	
15	Respondent.	!
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	PARTIES	
18	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Statement of Issues solely in her official
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
20	2. On or about December 14, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
21	Affairs received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Kurtis Quentin	
22	Mitchell (Respondent). On or about December 4, 2010, Kurtis Quentin Mitchell certified under	
23	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the	
24	application. The Board denied the application on August 5, 2011.	
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),	
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 | ///

22 || ///

23 || ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 || ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

1 2 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states: 11. 3 (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation 4 of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria: 5 (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as 6 grounds for denial. 7 (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions 8 Code 9 (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2). 10 (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, 11 restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant. 12 (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant. 13 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states: 14 15 For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and 16 Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree 17 it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the 18 public health, safety, or welfare. 19 **DRUGS** 20 "Oxycontin" is a brand name for oxycodone, a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to 21 Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business 22 and Professions Code section 4022. 23 111 24 111 25 111

26

27

28

111

111

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(October 4, 2010 Criminal Conviction for Possession of Marijuana on October 26, 2008)

- 14. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1) and 4301(1) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 15. On or about October 4, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *State of California v. Kurtis Quentin Mitchell*, in the Orange County Superior Court, Case Number SH773361, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11357(b) (possession of marijuana, less than 1 oz.), a misdemeanor.
- 16. As a result of the conviction, the Court ordered that Respondent pay various fines and fees.
- 17. The facts and circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about October 26, 2008, at approximately 0313 hours, Newport Beach Police officers, working uniform patrol, observed a vehicle, California license plate #6AXG540, with an expired registration. Officers initiated a traffic stop of the vehicle and made contact with the driver, later identified as Respondent. Officers could smell a strong odor of burnt marijuana emanating from within the vehicle. Officers asked Respondent if there was anything illegal inside the vehicle, and Respondent hesitantly stated, "No." Respondent appeared to be excessively nervous and began to sweat profusely from his forehead. Officers asked Respondent if there was any marijuana inside the vehicle and Respondent responded, "No." Officers asked the passenger of the vehicle, identified as SAS, if there was anything illegal inside the vehicle and SAS replied, "No." Officers received a verbal consent from both Respondent and SAS to conduct a consensual search of the vehicle and their individual persons.
- 18. Officers asked Respondent to exit the vehicle and he complied. Officers asked Respondent if there was anything illegal inside his pockets, and Respondent replied, "Yes." Respondent told officers that in his front pocket he had an illegal Oxycontin pill. Officers asked Respondent if he possessed a valid prescription for Oxycontin and he replied, "No." Officers

asked Respondent how many pills he possessed, and he stated, "One." When officers searched Respondent's person, they located in Respondent's front left pocket a small plastic medication bottle with the label ripped off. Inside the bottle officers located a round green pill, later identified as an 80mg. tablet of Oxycontin, a schedule II narcotic analgesic. A search of Respondent's vehicle revealed a multicolored glass pipe, commonly used for smoking marijuana, with burnt marijuana residue inside the bowl end.

19. Officers asked Respondent where he purchased the Oxycontin pill and he told officers that he purchased the Oxycontin pill for \$50 from a friend named "Cameron" who lives in Aliso Viejo. Respondent told officers he had used Oxycontin several times in the past and that it was the most powerful medication he had ever taken. Respondent stated, "It is like Vicodin, but ten times stronger." Respondent told officers that he was aware that the mere possession of Oxycontin without a prescription was a criminal violation. Respondent was placed under arrest for violating Health and Safety Code section 11350(a) (possession of a controlled substance). Respondent's vehicle was towed from the scene.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Act Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

20. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(2) and (c) and 4301(f) and (g), of the Code in that he committed an act of dishonesty, fraud and/or deceit when, in response to the question on his application for registration as a pharmacy technician, "Have you ever been convicted of or pled no contest to a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States or any state laws or local ordinances? You must include all misdemeanor and felony convictions, regardless of the age of the conviction, including those which have been set aside under Penal Code section 1203.4. Traffic violations of \$500 or less need not be reported. If "yes," attach an explanation including the type of violation, the date, circumstances, location and the complete penalty received. In addition to this written explanation, please provide the Board of Pharmacy with certified copies of all pertinent court documents or arrest reports relating to this conviction." Respondent responded, "No," and failed

to disclosed the conviction for possession of marijuana, detailed at paragraph 15, above, which is THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Commission of Acts Which if Done by a Licensee Would be Grounds for Suspension or Revocation of License) Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he committed acts, that if done by a licensed pharmacy technician, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of the license. The circumstances are as follows: On or about October 26, 2008, as detailed in paragraphs 14 through 19, above, Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician, which would be grounds for discipline under section WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, Denying the application of Kurtis Quentin Mitchell for a Pharmacy Technician

26