1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTONIO LOPEZ, JR. Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		י י ן	
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 4101	
12	CRISTINA GARCIA		
13	740 N. Pacific Avenue	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14	San Pedro, CA 90731		
15	Applicant for Pharmacy Technician		
16	Registration		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about June 16, 2010, the Boar	d of Pharmacy (Board), received an application	
24	for Pharmacy Technician Registration from Cris	tina Garcia (Respondent). On or about June 1,	
25	2010, Respondent certified under penalty of perj	ury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers,	
26	and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on March 1, 2011.		
27	///		
28	///		
		1 .	
		STATEMENT OF ISSUES	

. 1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the	
3	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise	
4	indicated.	
5	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
6	4. Section 480 provides, in pertinent part:	
7	"(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant	
8	has one of the following:	
9	"(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a	
10	plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a	
11	board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the	
12	time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when	
13	an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a	
14	subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.	
15	"(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially	
16	benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.	
17	"(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question,	
18	would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.	
19	(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act	
20	is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for	
21	which application is made.	
22		
23	"(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant	
24	knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the	
25	license."	
.26	5. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part:	
27	"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a	
28	board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a	
	2	
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	

crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

"(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a
conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take
following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or
the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is
made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the
provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

6. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is
subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7. Section 4301 provides, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

19

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

23

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
practice authorized by the license.

2 "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United
3 States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

1

4

5

6

24

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 7 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 8 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 9 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 10 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 11 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 12 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 13 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 14 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 15 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 16 17 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 18 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 19 20 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 21 22 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. 23

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the
violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable
federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by
the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

1	"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."		
2	REGULATORY PROVISIONS		
3	8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, provides, in pertinent part:		
4	"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license		
5	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a		
6	crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a		
7	licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a		
8	licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner		
9	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."		
10	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION		
11	(Conviction of Substantially-Related Crimes)		
12	9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(1), in		
13	conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was		
14	convicted of crimes as follows:		
15	a. On or about February 9, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was		
16	convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft],		
17	in the criminal proceedings entitled The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia		
18	(Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. 9BF00031). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve		
19	1 day in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her.		
20	b. On or about March 13, 2009, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was		
21	convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft];		
22	and one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 666, [petty theft with priors], in the		
23	criminal proceedings entitled The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia (Super.Ct.		
24	Los Angeles County, 2009, No. 9BF00942). The Court sentenced Respondent to 10 days in jail,		
25	placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. Respondent was previously		
26	convicted in the California of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft], and served		
27	a term in a penal institution and was imprisoned therein as a condition of probation. Respondent		
28	was subsequently convicted of violating Penal Code section 666, [petty theft with priors].		
	5		

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

On or about November 21, 2007, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was c. 1 convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a) 2 [driving a vehicle causing damage to any property]; and one misdemeanor count of violating 3 Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a) [driving while driver's license is suspended or 4 revoked], in the criminal proceedings entitled The People of the State of California v. Cristina 5 Garcia (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. 7WW01940). The Court sentenced 6 Respondent to serve 8 days total in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and 7 fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 10, 2007, 8 after investigating a vehicle collision, Respondent was identified as the driver who fled the scene 9 of an earlier collision. Respondent admitted to being the driver of the vehicle that fled the scene. 10 Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a) 11 [driving a vehicle causing damage to any property; and Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision 12 (a) [driving while driver's license is suspended or revoked.] 13

On or about August 11, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was 14 d. convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) 15 [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in her blood], in the criminal 16 17 proceedings entitled The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2006, No. 6DY04203). The Court sentenced Respondent to 2 days in Jail, 18 placed her on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding 19 20 the conviction are that on or about May 19, 2006, during a routine traffic stop by the Downey Police Department, Respondent was stopped because she had spun out of control making a left 21 22 turn. The officer observed Respondent to have bloodshot, watery eyes, and a strong smell of an alcoholic beverage coming from her breath. Respondent admitted to having four shots of tequila. 23 Respondent agreed to a series of field sobriety test which she failed to complete satisfactorily. 24 Respondent was subsequently given two alcohol breath tests, with results of .18 BAC and .19 25 BAC. 26

e. On or about April 27, 2005, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was
convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b)

[driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in her blood], in the criminal
 proceedings entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cristina Garcia* (Super.Ct. Los
 Angeles County, 2005, No. 5VN01517). The Court sentenced Respondent to 13 days in Jail,
 placed her probation for a period of 36 months and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the
 conviction are that on or about April 2, 2005, Respondent unlawfully drove a vehicle while
 having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in her blood.

7

8

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

9 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4301, subdivision (p) and
480, subdivision (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a
11 licentiate of the business and profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or
12 revocation of her license.

a. Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications,
functions or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence her present or
potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with
the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 4031, subdivision (1), and 490, in
conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to,
and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, subparagraphs
(a) and (e), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

b. On or about December 9, 2008 and December 7, 2008, Respondent committed acts
involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit in violation of section 4301, subdivision
(f). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in
paragraph 9, subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

c. Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous or
injurious to herself, another person, or the public, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (h).
Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in
paragraph 9, subparagraphs (d) and (e), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

28

d.

Respondent was convicted of crimes involving the consumption of alcoholic

1	beverages, in violation of section 4301, subdivision (k). Complainant refers to, and by this	
2	reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, subparagraphs (d) and (e),	
3	inclusive, as though set forth fully.	
4	PRAYER	
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
6	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:	
7	1. Denying the application of Cristina Garcia for registration as a Pharmacy Technician;	
8	and	
9	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
10		
11	DATED: 5/4/12 Juginia Leid	
12	Executive Officer	
13	Board Of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
14	Complainant	
15	LA2011601008	
16		
17		
18		
19	· · ·	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	8 STATEMENT OF ISSUES	