1 2	Attorney General of California	
ĺ	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	HELENE E. SWANSON Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 130426 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	·
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-3005	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA
11		Case No. 3463
12	Against:	
13		STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Granada Hills, CA 91344	
15	Pharmacy Technician Applicant	
- 1	I narmacy recharcian expendant	·
16	Respondent.	•
16 17		
17	Respondent.	<u>l'ies</u>
17 18	Respondent. Complainant alleges: PART	<u>FIES</u> s this Statement of Issues solely in her official
17 18 19	Respondent. Complainant alleges: PART	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official
17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official
17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. of Pharmacy (Board) received an application for
17 18 19 20 21 22	Respondent. Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about July 29, 2008, the Board	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. of Pharmacy (Board) received an application for mond Aros (Respondent). On or about July 21,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Respondent. Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about July 29, 2008, the Board a Pharmacy Technician License from Joseph Rays	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. of Pharmacy (Board) received an application for mond Aros (Respondent). On or about July 21, ary under the laws of the State of California the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Respondent. Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about July 29, 2008, the Board a Pharmacy Technician License from Joseph Rays 2008, Respondent certified under penalty of perjunctions.	Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. of Pharmacy (Board) received an application for mond Aros (Respondent). On or about July 21, ary under the laws of the State of California the ers, and representations in the application,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Respondent. Complainant alleges: 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about July 29, 2008, the Board a Pharmacy Technician License from Joseph Rays 2008, Respondent certified under penalty of perjutruthfulness and accuracy of all statements, answer	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. of Pharmacy (Board) received an application for mond Aros (Respondent). On or about July 21, ary under the laws of the State of California the ers, and representations in the application, riminal offense. The Board notified Respondent
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Respondent. Complainant alleges: PART 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of 2. On or about July 29, 2008, the Board a Pharmacy Technician License from Joseph Rays 2008, Respondent certified under penalty of perjutruthfulness and accuracy of all statements, answeincluding that he had never been convicted of a creating truthfulness.	s this Statement of Issues solely in her official Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. of Pharmacy (Board) received an application for mond Aros (Respondent). On or about July 21, ary under the laws of the State of California the ers, and representations in the application, riminal offense. The Board notified Respondent egistration as a pharmacy technician was denied

- 11	
1	(a)(2) and (a)(3). On or about September 9, 2009, the Board received a letter from Respondent
2	dated August 29, 2009, which set forth Respondent's request for an appeal of the Board's
3	decision denying him licensure as a pharmacy technician.
4	JURISDICTION
5	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the
6	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise
7	indicated.
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
9	4. Section 480 of the Code states:
10	"(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
11 12	(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo
13	contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is
made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order until the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.	made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under
16	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another; or
17 18	(3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
19	(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the
20	business or profession for which application is made.
21	(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he has been convicted of a felony if he has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with
22	Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he has met all applicable requirements of the
23 24	criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
25	(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the
26	application for such license."
27	5. Section 490 of the Code states:
28	"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that

the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

6. Section 4022 of the Code states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."

7. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 4052.1 or 4052.2. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

8. Section 4300 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation, and that the Board may refuse a

28

license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct.

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

DANGEROUS DRUG

11. "Methamphetamine," is a CNS (central nervous system) stimulant, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Convictions of Substantially-Related Crimes)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 4300, 4301, subdivision (I) and 480, subdivisions (a)(1), and (B) and 490, subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about December 10, 2005, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14604, subdivision (a) [knowingly allowing an unlicensed driver to drive one's vehicle], in the criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Joseph Raymond Aros* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. 5VN03059). The Court placed Respondent on probation for 24 months, with various terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that:
- b. On or about June 12, 2005, Respondent knowingly permitted an unlicensed driver to drive a vehicle which Respondent owned.
- c. On or about March 29, 2005, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a)

[possession of a controlled substance], in the criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Joseph Raymond Aros* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. PA047482). The Court placed Respondent on probation for 36 months, and ordered him to participate in a drug treatment and/or substance abuse counseling program, among other terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that:

d. On or about February 24, 2004, Respondent was stopped by Los Angeles Police

Department officers working Devonshire Patrol, after they observed that Respondent had failed to stop at a stop sign. During this traffic stop, Respondent was observed to have chapped lips, burnt lips, burnt fingertips, was sweating profusely, and was speaking rapidly. The officers formed the expert opinion that Respondent was likely under the influence of a CNS stimulant, probably methamphetamine. The officers conducted a search incident to arrest, and recovered from Respondent's front pocket a plastic bag containing a white crystalline substance resembling methamphetamine. Respondent was booked and arrested for possession of a controlled substance. After obtaining a search warrant, officers searched Respondent's residence, and recovered a handgun, ammunition, more methamphetamine, marijuana, a "pays and owes" document, scales, and several dangerous fire works, among other items.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of a License)

- 13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 4301, subdivision (p), and 480, subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of his license as follows:
- a. On or about March 29, 2005 and December 10, 2005, Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of section 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770.
 - b. On or about March 29, 2005, Respondent was convicted of a crime and committed

1	dishonest acts by having in his possession a cor
2	this reference incorporates, the allegations set f
3	(d), as though set forth in full here.
4	THIRD CAUSE FOR D
5	(Disho
6	14. Respondent's application is subject
7	(3)(A), and 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respo
8	dishonest acts. Furthermore, Respondent provi
9	denying under penalty of perjury that he had ev
10	forth in Paragraphs 2 and 12, subsections (a) th
11	though set forth in full here.
12	FOURTH CAUSE FOR I
13	(Possession of a Controlled
14	15. Respondent's application is subject
15	and (c) and 4060, 4300, and 4301, subdivisions
16	unprofessional conduct and was convicted of a
17	substance as set forth in Paragraph 12, subsecti
18	reference as though set forth in full here.
19	FIFTH CAUSE FOR D
20	(Providing False Information
21	16. Respondent's application is subject
22	(3)(A) and (c), and 4301, subdivision (g), in the
23	his application for licensure that he had not bee
24	untrue, as set forth above in Paragraphs 2 and 1
25	incorporated by reference as though set forth ir

dishonest acts by having in his possession a controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subdivisions (a) and (d), as though set forth in full here.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dishonest Acts)

14. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (2), (3)(A), and 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent was convicted of crimes that involved dishonest acts. Furthermore, Respondent provided false information on his application, by denying under penalty of perjury that he had ever been convicted of any criminal offense, as set forth in Paragraphs 2 and 12, subsections (a) through (d), which are incorporated by reference as though set forth in full here.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Possession of a Controlled Substance/Dangerous Drug)

15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4022, subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) and 4060, 4300, and 4301, subdivisions (h), (j), and (k), in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct and was convicted of a crime involving possession of a controlled substance as set forth in Paragraph 12, subsections (c) and (d), which are incorporated by reference as though set forth in full here.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Providing False Information on an Application for Licensure)

16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (2), (3)(A) and (c), and 4301, subdivision (g), in that Respondent certified under penalty of perjury in his application for licensure that he had not been convicted of any criminal offense, which was untrue, as set forth above in Paragraphs 2 and 12, subsections (a) through (d), which are incorporated by reference as though set forth in full here.

///

27 | /

26

28 | /

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Respondent for a Pharmacy Technician License; and
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 5/10/10

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2009604215 60532100.doc 4/12/10-IC