1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392	,
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3154 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	מונותי מונ
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 3457
12	Against:	Case No. 3437
13	KENNETH TYRONE PATTERSON 981 Dolphin Drive	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Riverside CA 92571	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
15	Respondent.	
16	Ttop ondon.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about April 21, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
23	Affairs, received an application for registration as a pharmacy technician from Kenneth Tyrone	
24	Patterson (Respondent). On or about March 21, 2005, Kenneth Tyrone Patterson certified under	
25	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the	
26	application. The Board denied the application on June 3, 2008.	
27		
28		
		1

JURISDICTION

^		
2	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),	
3	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300(c) of the Code states:	
6		
7	(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional	
8	conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:	
9		
10		
11	 (1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation. (2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment. (3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice. 	
12	(4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program. (5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.	
13	(6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs. (7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of	
14	pharmacy.	
15	5. Section 477 of the Code states:	
16	"As used in this division:	
17	As used in this division.	
18	"(a) "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."	
19	"(b) "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code."	
20	STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS	
21	6. Section 475 of the Code states:	
22		
23	(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:	
24	(2) Conviction of a crime.	
25	(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.	
26	(c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a	
27	lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.	
20		

28

of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

13

14

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

10

11

8

12 13

15

14

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(March 23, 2001 Conviction of Child Abuse on October 22, 2000)

- 15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(1) and 480(a)(3), in conjunction with section 4301(l), for conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered pharmacy technician in that on March 23, 2001 in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Kenneth Tyrone Patterson* in Riverside Superior Court, Case Number RIF094900, Respondent was convicted by plea of guilty of violation of Penal Code section 273(A), willfully causing injury to a child or endangering the person or health of a child, a misdemeanor.
- The circumstances leading to the conviction are as follows. On or about October 22, 2000, a deputy from Riverside County Sheriff's Department was dispatched to a residence regarding possible corporal injury to a child. When the deputy arrived at the scene, S.W. told the deputy that she believed Respondent, her ex-boyfriend and the father of K. P., struck K.P., with a belt. K.P. was one year old. S.W. explained to the deputy that as she was leaving for work at 1:15 p.m. on October 21, 2000., K.P. had been crying and Respondent yelled at K.P. to "Shut up." S.W. exited the house but returned inside when she realized she forgot something. When she returned, she saw Respondent walking out of the master bedroom with a black belt in his hands. Respondent was walking towards K.P.'s room but when Respondent saw S.W., he turned and walked towards the living room instead. S.W. left for work. S.W. returned home at 2:30 a.m. on October 22, 2000. When she arrived at home, she saw Respondent and several of his friends having a party and Respondent appeared intoxicated. S.W. went into K.P.'s room and began to change his diaper. As she removed K.P.'s diaper, she saw bruises on K.P.'s legs. The deputy noted that K.P. had two 3-inch bruises on the back of his left leg and one 3-inch bruise on the back of his right leg. Respondent was arrested and charged with violation of Penal Code section 273(A), a felony. Following a motion filed by Respondent's attorney, the charge was reduced to a misdemeanor.
- 17. As a result of Respondent's guilty plea, Respondent was sentenced to 3 years probation, committed to 180 days in custody, required to pay \$562.00 in fines and fees, and

26

27

28

required to attend parenting classes for 52 weeks and participate in a rehabilitation or treatment program.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(June 20, 2005 Conviction of Trespass on October 20, 2004)

- 18. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(1) and 480(a)(3), in conjunction with section 4301(l), for conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered pharmacy technician in that on June 20, 2005 in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Kenneth Tyrone Patterson* in Riverside Superior Court, Case Number RIM457764, Respondent was convicted by plea of guilty of violation of Penal Code section 602(m), entering and occupying real property or structures without the consent of the owner, the owner's agent or the person in lawful possession.
- 19. The circumstances that led to the conviction are as follows. On October 20, 2004, at about 2:00 a.m., a deputy of the Riverside County Sheriff's Department responded to a call from the Circle K located in Moreno Valley with regard to a burglary in progress. The Sheriff Department's dispatcher received a call from the store clerk, A.D. that three males forced their way into the store and were taking items. When the deputy arrived, he spoke with K.R., the other store clerk on duty that morning. K.R. told the deputy that at about 2:00 a.m. on October 20, 2004, K.R. and A.D. locked the front doors of the store and that they were in the cooler restocking it when they heard banging on the front door. K.R. stated that Respondent and another man, J.L., were banging on the glass front doors with both hands and were yelling that they wanted to come in. After a short period of time, K.R. exited the cooler to tell Respondent and the other man that they could not enter the store. When K.R. exited the cooler, he saw three males inside the store, including Respondent. Respondent told K.R., "You'd better fix your door. It's f---- up." Not wanting a confrontation with the men, K.R. walked behind the front counter and waited for them to select their items to purchase. Respondent wanted to purchase a beer but K.R. told him that since it was after 2:00 a.m., that K.R. could not sell him beer. All three men walked to the beer cooler and opened it. K.R. heard glass breaking but did not see what was happening

by the cooler. Respondent walked to the front counter and paid for a bag of chips and an energy drink. The other men exited the store without paying for any items. The deputy viewed the store's surveillance tape from the camera pointed towards the front doors. The tape showed Respondent and J.L. banging on the front doors with their hands. The tape showed Respondent grabbing the handle and pushing the doors in and then pulling the doors back out, causing the doors to flex enough so that the dead bolt popped out, allowing the doors to be opened. Respondent and J.L. were arrested for burglary. When the deputy asked Respondent if he forced the front doors open by pushing them in and then pulling them back out, Respondent told the deputy that he simply knocked on the door and it opened so he and the other men entered the store.

20. As a result of his guilty plea, Respondent was ordered to pay fines and fees of \$120.00.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct-Commission of an Act Involving Dishonesty and Deceit)

21. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(2) and 480(a)(3), in conjunction with section 4301(f), for unprofessional conduct in that on October 20, 2004, Respondent entered the Circle K convenience store without the consent of its owner or its owner's agent, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 18-20, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(June 20, 2005 Conviction of DUI on March 21, 2005)

22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(1) and 480(a)(3), in conjunction with section 4301(l), for conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered pharmacy technician in that on June 20, 2005 in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Kenneth Tyrone Patterson* in Riverside Superior Court, Case Number RIM467742, Respondent was convicted by his guilty plea of violating of Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol content of 0.08% or greater.

28

The circumstances leading to the conviction are as follows. At 10:23 p.m. on March 23. 21, 2005, deputies of the Riverside Sheriff's Department responded to numerous calls regarding a fight taking place in an alley that involved weapons and the throwing of bottles. Upon arriving at the scene, the deputy observed Respondent standing in the alley and two men seated inside a white and blue Bronco with no license plates. Respondent told the deputy he was just "play fighting" with another male. There were no other persons in the alley. The deputy observed that Respondent's eyes were watery and his speech slurred and that Respondent's breath had the odor of alcohol. Respondent admitted to the deputy he had been drinking beers earlier and that the Bronco belonged to him. The deputy advised Respondent not to drive since he had been drinking. Respondent stated that he was not going to drive, but was going inside his friend's house. The deputy proceeded to leave the scene. Fifteen minutes later, as the deputy was driving east on Adrienne Avenue, he observed the Bronco driving west on Adrienne toward the patrol car and eventually passed it. When the Bronco passed the patrol car, the deputy observed Respondent behind the steering wheel. The deputy made a u-turn and conducted a traffic stop of the Bronco. The deputy observed that Respondent's eyes were still red and watery and his speech was slurred. The deputy smelled the odor of an alcoholic beverage on Respondent's breath. The deputy began to conduct field sobriety tests, but during the test, was advised of a shooting in the area. Since the deputy felt it was unsafe to continue with the field sobriety tests in the area where a shooting had just occurred, Respondent was transported to the Sheriff's Station to complete the tests. Respondent also completed a preliminary breath test that resulted in a blood alcohol content reading of 0.141%. Subsequent breath tests resulted in blood alcohol contents of 0.14% and 0.12%. Respondent was booked for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) and (b), DUI and DUI with a blood alcohol content of 0.08% or greater. He was released on a citation to appear in court.

24. As a result of Respondent's plea of guilty of violating of Vehicle Code section 23152(b), the other charge was dismissed and he was sentenced to 3 years probation; committed to 20 days in custody; required to pay \$1,888.00 in fines and fees; and required to complete a drinking driver program.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct-Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous to Oneself or the Public)

25. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(3), in conjunction with section 4301(h), for unprofessional conduct in that on March 21, 2005, while under the influence of alcohol, Respondent operated a motor vehicle, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 22-24, above.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(November 16, 2005 Conviction of Spousal Abuse on May 25, 2005)

- 26. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(a)(1) and 480(a)(3), in conjunction with section 4301(l), for conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered pharmacy technician in that on November 16, 2005 in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Kenneth Tyrone Patterson* in Riverside Superior Court, Case Number SWM041056, Respondent was convicted by a guilty verdict of inflicting a corporal injury on a spouse, or the parent of Respondent's child, in violation of Penal Code section 273.5.
- 27. The circumstances leading to the conviction are as follows. On May 25, 2005, a deputy of the Riverside County Sheriff's Department responded to a call at Respondent's residence about 1:42 a.m. When the deputy arrived, he made contact with S.W. who stated that she and Respondent had been living together for 10 years and had 4 children together. Respondent and S.W. got into an argument during which time Respondent grabbed S.W. by her shirt, scratching her neck. Respondent then punched S.W. in the lip with his closed fist. As the altercation continued downstairs, Respondent followed S.W. and punched her in the jaw with his closed fist. S.W. was found to have a laceration to her lip and a scratch on her lower neck. A warrant was issued for Respondent's arrest.
- 28. As a result of his conviction, Respondent was sentenced to 3 years probation, required to attend Alcoholic Anonymous meetings, required to attend and complete an Anger Management Program, ordered not to have any negative contact with S.W. and ordered to pay fines and fees in the sum of \$895.00.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Making a False Statement on License Application)

29. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480(c) in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement on his license application signed on March 21, 2005, when he disclosed only the conviction of March 21, 2001 for corporal injury to a child in violation of Penal Code section 273(A), but failed to disclose any of his other convictions as set forth above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Kenneth Tyrone Patterson for a Pharmacy Technician Registration;
 - 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 3/10/10

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2009804743 80403199.doc