II				
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California			
2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	DAVID E. HAUSFELD Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 110639 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800			
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266			
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9437			
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFOR			
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6231		
12	SKY PHARMA CORP.,	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		
13	DBA THE MEDICINE SHOPPE MICHAEL EDWARD MILAD TADROS,			
14	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PHARMACIST IN CHARGE			
15	FADY FAROUK ZAKI, TREASURER/ CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER			
16	10237 Magnolia Ave. Riverside, CA 92503			
17	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51646			
18	MICHAEL EDWARD MILAD TADROS			
19	1739 Spyglass Drive Corona, CA 92883			
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 68859			
21	I har maday thousand two art is voods			
22	Respondents.			
23				
24	Complainant alleges:			
25	PARTIES			
26	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her			
27	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer			
28	Affairs.	•		
		1		
	(SKY PHARMA CORP., DBA THE MEDICINE SHOPPE) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION			

- 2. On October 18, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646 to Sky Pharma Corp., dba The Medicine Shoppe; Michael Edward Milad Tadros, Chief Executive Officer and 50% Shareholder; Fady Farouk Zaki, Treasurer/ Chief Financial Officer and 50% Shareholder (Respondent Pharmacy). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 1, 2018, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about July 1, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859 to Michael Edward Milad Tadros (Respondent Tadros). Michael Edward Milad Tadros has been the Pharmacist-in-Charge of The Medicine Shoppe since October 18, 2013. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Code section 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code section 11000 et seq.].
- 6. Section 4032 defines "license" to include any license, permit, registration, certificate or exemption issued by the Board.
 - 7. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
 - (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

11			
1 2 3	professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.		
4	15. Health and Safety Code section 11162.1 states:		
5	(a) The prescription forms for controlled substances shall be printed with the		
6	following features:		
7	(1) A latent, repetitive "void" pattern shall be printed across the entire front of the prescription blank; if a prescription is scanned or photocopied, the word "void" shall appear in a pattern across the entire front of the prescription.		
8	(2) A watermark shall be printed on the backside of the prescription blank;		
9	the watermark shall consist of the words "California Security Prescription."		
10	(3) A chemical void protection that prevents alteration by chemical washing.		
11	(4) A feature printed in thermochromic ink.		
12	(5) An area of opaque writing so that the writing disappears if the prescription is lightened.		
13	(6) A description of the security features included on each prescription form.		
14 15	(7) (A) Six quantity check off boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may indicate the quantity by checking the applicable box where the		
16	following quantities shall appear: 1-24		
17	25-49 50-74		
18	75-100 101-150 151 and over.		
19			
20	(B) In conjunction with the quantity boxes, a space shall be provided to designate the units referenced in the quantity boxes when the drug is not in tablet or capsule form.		
21	(8) Prescription blanks shall contain a statement printed on the bottom of the		
22	prescription blank that the "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted."		
23			
24 ¹ 25	(9) The preprinted name, category of licensure, license number, federal controlled substance registration number, and address of the prescribing practitioner.		
26	(10) Check boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may indicate the number of refills ordered.		
27			
28	(11) The date of origin of the prescription.		

III

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

- 20. Alprazolam is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 21. Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen (APAP) was a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(5), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. On October 6, 2014, Hydrocodone/APAP was reclassified as a Schedule II controlled substance.
- 22. Hydromorphone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 23. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 24. Oxymorphone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(N), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 25. Promethazine with codeine is a Schedule V controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058, subdivision (c)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

26. Sky Pharma Corp. dba The Medicine Shoppe (Respondent Pharmacy), is an independent retail pharmacy located in Riverside, California. At all times mentioned herein and since October 18, 2013, Michael Edward Milad Tadros (Respondent Tadros) has been the Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) at Respondent Pharmacy.

///

- 27. On January 28, 2016, Board of Pharmacy inspectors conducted an inspection of Respondent Pharmacy. Respondent Tadros was present during the inspection. The inspectors found controlled substance prescriptions that were dispensed from prescribers whose forms lacked required security features.
- 28. The inspectors focused on three prescribers, (Dr. S.W, Dr. R.G. and Dr. K.O.). They found prescriptions that contained significant irregularities and "red flags" suggesting that the prescriptions were not written for a legitimate medical purpose. The prescribing profiles for all three prescribers contained numerous prescriptions for a limited number of commonly abused controlled substances, in high doses, and with similar combinations of controlled substances dispensed in sequence to multiple patients.
- 29. For Dr. S.W., from April 26, 2014 to September 2, 2015, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 1,527 prescriptions to 452 different patients. Over 85% of the prescriptions were for controlled substances. 442 of the 452 patients received promethazine/codeine, most in full pint sized bottles. In addition, almost all were to be taken concurrently with alprazolam and/or with an opioid such as hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone or oxymorphone. An Accusation was filed against Dr. S.W.'s medical license on October 6, 2014. His medical license was revoked on January 14, 2016.
- dispensed 1,268 prescriptions to 348 different patients. Over 80% of the prescriptions were for controlled substances. 335 of the 348 patients received promethazine/codeine, all in full pint sized bottles. In addition, almost all were to be taken concurrently with alprazolam and/or with an opioid such as hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone or oxymorphone. The inspectors reviewed 73 original prescription documents issued by Dr. R. G., for 217 dispensed prescriptions that were missing features required on controlled substance security prescription forms. These missing features included the required "California Security Prescription" watermark, a lot number, printing in thermochromic ink and discrepancies in the quantity check off boxes. Of the 348 patients 233 were previously dispensed prescriptions from Dr. S.W.

IH

- 31. For Dr. K.O., from December 8, 2015 to January 13, 2016, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 322 prescriptions to 112 different patients. All of the prescriptions were for controlled substances. All but one of the 112 patients received promethazine/codeine, all in full pint sized bottles. In addition, except for the one patient that did not receive promethazine/codeine, all were to be taken concurrently with alprazolam and/or with an opioid such as hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone or oxymorphone. The inspectors reviewed 76 original prescription documents issued by Dr. K.O., for dispensed prescriptions that were missing features required on controlled substance security prescription forms. These missing features included the required "California Security Prescription" watermark, a lot number and discrepancies in the quantity check off boxes. Of the 112 patients 51 were previously dispensed prescriptions from Dr. S.W or Dr. R.G.
- 32. In addition, almost all of the controlled substances from all three prescribers were purchased in cash, without the assistance of prescription insurance. In fact, all of the prescriptions for controlled substances written by Dr. R.G. and Dr. K.O. were paid for in cash at Respondent Pharmacy. With respect to the prescriptions for controlled substances written by Dr. S.W., 99.5 % of his prescriptions for controlled substances were paid for in cash at Respondent Pharmacy.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Failing to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility)

33. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a) and the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1306.04, subdivision (a), in that they failed to comply with their corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances were dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose when Respondents furnished prescriptions for controlled substances even though "red flags" were present to indicate those prescriptions were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Filling Erroneous or Uncertain Prescriptions)

34. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating CCR section 1761, in that Respondents dispensed prescriptions which contained significant irregularities, uncertainties, or ambiguities, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Gross Negligence)

35. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (c), in that Respondents were grossly negligent in dispensing controlled substances. The circumstances are that Respondents knew or should have known that the controlled substances dispensed to patients were likely to be used for other than a legitimate medical purpose and Respondent failed to take appropriate steps when presented with numerous controlled substance prescriptions by patients from the same doctor for the same drug and strength on the same day and who came into Respondent Pharmacy in sequence. Respondent failed to perform additional investigation to determine whether the prescriptions were issued for a legitimate medical purpose, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

36. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (d), for the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153 (a), as more particularly described in paragraphs 27 through 33, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Filling Prescriptions from Noncompliant Prescription Forms)

37. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11164

for filling and dispensing controlled substances from prescription forms that did not comply with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11162.1, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 38. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Pharmacy, Complainant alleges that on or about March 6, 2015, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2014 62748 to Respondent Pharmacy for violations of Code section 4049, subdivisions (a), allowing a non-pharmacist to sign for a delivery of controlled substances; Code section 4115, subdivision (a), allowing more than one pharmacy technician to package prescriptions simultaneously; CCR section 1735.3, subdivision (a)(6), dispensing compounded drug products without maintaining a proper compounding record; CCR section 1735.4, subdivisions (a) and (c), dispensing compounded drug products without proper labeling, and assessed a fine in the amount of \$3,625.00. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 39. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Tadros, Complainant alleges that on or about March 6, 2015, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2014 64237 to Respondent Tadros for violations of Code section 4049, subdivisions (a), allowing a non-pharmacist to sign for a delivery of controlled substances; Code section 4115, subdivision (a), allowing more than one pharmacy technician to package prescriptions simultaneously; CCR section 1735.3, subdivision (a)(6), dispensing compounded drug products without maintaining a proper compounding record; CCR section 1735.4, subdivisions (a) and (c), dispensing compounded drug products without proper labeling, and assessed a fine in the amount of \$3,625.00. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 40. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Pharmacy, Complainant alleges that on or about October 20, 2016, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2016 71153 to Respondent Pharmacy for a violation of Health & Safety Code section 111440 and 111395, selling misbranded drugs, and assessed a fine

in the amount of \$500.00. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

41. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Tadros, Complainant alleges that on or about July 19, 2016, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2016 71154 to Respondent Tadros for a violation of Health & Safety Code section 111440 and 111395, selling misbranded drugs. No fine was assessed for this violation.

OTHER MATTERS

- 42. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646 issued to Sky Pharma Corp., dba The Medicine Shoppe, while Michael Edward Milad Tadros has been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Michael Edward Milad Tadros shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 43. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859, issued to Respondent Michael Edward Milad Tadros, Michael Edward Milad Tadros Patel shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646, issued to Sky
 Pharma Corp., dba The Medicine Shoppe;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 68859, issued to Michael Edward Milad Tadros;

1	V AND DUCKED A			
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California			
2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	DAVID E. HAUSFELD Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 110639 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800			
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266			
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266			
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9437 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	÷.		
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6231		
12	SKY PHARMA CORP.,	ACCUSATION		
. 13	DBA THE MEDICINE SHOP MICHAEL EDWARD MILAD TADROS,			
14	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PHARMACIST IN CHARGE			
1,5	FADY FAROUK ZAKI, TREASURER/			
16	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER 10237 Magnolia Ave.			
17	Riverside, CA 92503			
18	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51646 Sterile Compounding Pharmacy License No. LSC 99865			
19	MICHAEL EDWARD MILAD TADROS			
20	1739 Spyglass Drive Corona, CA 92883			
21	Pharmacist License No. RPH 68859			
22				
23	Respondents.			
24				
25	Complainant alleges:			
26	<u>PARTIES</u>			
27	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
28	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
	1			
	(SKY PHARMA CORP., DBA THE MEDICINE SHOP) ACCUSATION			

2. On October 18, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Numb	er PHY
51646 to Sky Pharma Corp., dba The Medicine Shoppe; Michael Edward Milad Tadros, 0	Chief
Executive Officer and 50% Shareholder; Fady Farouk Zaki, Treasurer/ Chief Financial O	fficer
and 50% Shareholder (Respondent Pharmacy). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force ar	ıd effec
at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 1, 2018, unl	ess
renewed.	

- 3. On or about October 21, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy issued Sterile Compounding Pharmacy License Number LSC 99865 to Respondent Pharmacy. The Sterile Compounding Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 1, 2018, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about July 1, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859 to Michael Edward Milad Tadros (Respondent Tadros). Michael Edward Milad Tadros has been the Pharmacist-in-Charge of The Medicine Shoppe since October 18, 2013. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Code section 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code section 11000 et seq.].
- 7. Section 4032 defines "license" to include any license, permit, registration, certificate or exemption issued by the Board.
 - 8. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
 - (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:

- (1) Suspending judgment.
- (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
- (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
- (e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

9. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

10. Section 4307, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

- (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a licensee as follows:
- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

III

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
- (a) "Prescription" means an oral, written, or electronic transmission order
- (1) Given individually for the person or persons for whom ordered that
 - (A) The name or names and address of the patient or patients.
- (B) The name and quantity of the drug or device prescribed and the
- (D) Either rubber stamped, typed, or printed by hand or typeset, the name, address, and telephone number of the prescriber, his or her license classification, and his or her federal registry number, if a controlled substance is prescribed.
- (E) A legible, clear notice of the condition or purpose for which the drug is
- (F) If in writing, signed by the prescriber issuing the order, or the certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, or naturopathic doctor who issues a drug order pursuant to Section 2746.51, 2836.1, 3502.1, or 3640.5, respectively, or the pharmacist who issues a drug order pursuant to Section 4052.1.
- (2) Issued by a physician, dentist, optometrist, podiatrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7 or, if a drug order is issued pursuant to Section 2746.51, 2836.1, 3502.1, or 3460.5, by a certified nursemidwife, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, or naturopathic doctor licensed in this state, or pursuant to Section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6 by a pharmacist
- (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), a written order of the prescriber for a dangerous drug, except for any Schedule II controlled substance, that contains at

15. Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a) states:

A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

16. Health and Safety Code section 11162.1 states:

- (a) The prescription forms for controlled substances shall be printed with the following features:
- (1) A latent, repetitive "void" pattern shall be printed across the entire front of the prescription blank; if a prescription is scanned or photocopied, the word "void" shall appear in a pattern across the entire front of the prescription.
- (2) A watermark shall be printed on the backside of the prescription blank; the watermark shall consist of the words "California Security Prescription."
 - (3) A chemical void protection that prevents alteration by chemical washing.
 - (4) A feature printed in thermochromic ink.
- (5) An area of opaque writing so that the writing disappears if the prescription is lightened.
 - (6) A description of the security features included on each prescription form.
- (7)(A) Six quantity check off boxes shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber may indicate the quantity by checking the applicable box where the following quantities shall appear:

1-24

25-49

50-74

75-100

101-150

151 and over.

- (B) In conjunction with the quantity boxes, a space shall be provided to designate the units referenced in the quantity boxes when the drug is not in tablet or capsule form.
- (8) Prescription blanks shall contain a statement printed on the bottom of the prescription blank that the "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted."

- (b)(1) Notwithstanding paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 11162.1, any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V may be dispensed upon an oral or electronically transmitted prescription, which shall be produced in hard copy form and signed and dated by the pharmacist filling the prescription or by any other person expressly authorized by provisions of the Business and Professions Code. Any person who transmits, maintains, or receives any electronically transmitted prescription shall ensure the security, integrity, authority, and confidentiality of the prescription.
- (2) The date of issue of the prescription and all the information required for a written prescription by subdivision (a) shall be included in the written record of the prescription; the pharmacist need not include the address, telephone number, license classification, or federal registry number of the prescriber or the address of the patient on the hard copy, if that information is readily retrievable in the pharmacy.
- (3) Pursuant to an authorization of the prescriber, any agent of the prescriber on behalf of the prescriber may orally or electronically transmit a prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, if in these cases the written record of the prescription required by this subdivision specifies the name of the agent of the prescriber transmitting the prescription.
- (c) The use of commonly used abbreviations shall not invalidate an otherwise valid prescription.
- (d) Notwithstanding any provision of subdivisions (a) and (b), prescriptions for a controlled substance classified in Schedule V may be for more than one person in the same family with the same medical need.
 - (e) This section shall become operative on January 1, 2005.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

18. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1306.04, subdivision (a) states:

A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances.

- 19. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, (CCR) section 1761, states:
 - (a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
 - (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 27. Sky Pharma Corp. dba The Medicine Shoppe (Respondent Pharmacy), is an independent retail pharmacy located in Riverside, California. At all times mentioned herein and since October 18, 2013, Michael Edward Milad Tadros (Respondent Tadros) has been the Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) at Respondent Pharmacy.
- 28. On January 28, 2016, Board of Pharmacy inspectors conducted an inspection of Respondent Pharmacy. Respondent Tadros was present during the inspection. The inspectors found controlled substance prescriptions that were dispensed from prescribers whose forms lacked required security features.
- 29. The inspectors focused on three prescribers, (Dr. S.W, Dr. R.G. and Dr. K.O.). They found prescriptions that contained significant irregularities and "red flags" suggesting that the prescriptions were not written for a legitimate medical purpose. The prescribing profiles for all three prescribers contained numerous prescriptions for a limited number of commonly abused controlled substances, in high doses, and with similar combinations of controlled substances dispensed in sequence to multiple patients.
- 30. For Dr. S.W., from April 26, 2014 to September 2, 2015, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 1,527 prescriptions to 452 different patients. Over 85% of the prescriptions were for controlled substances. 442 of the 452 patients received promethazine/codeine, most in full pint sized bottles. In addition, almost all were to be taken concurrently with alprazolam and/or with an opioid such as hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone or oxymorphone. An Accusation was filed against Dr. S.W.'s medical license on October 6, 2014. His medical license was revoked on January 14, 2016.
- 31. For Dr. R.G., from April 13, 2015 to January 6, 2016, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 1,268 prescriptions to 348 different patients. Over 80% of the prescriptions were for controlled substances. 335 of the 348 patients received promethazine/codeine, all in full pint sized bottles. In addition, almost all were to be taken concurrently with alprazolam and/or with an opioid such as hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone or oxymorphone. The inspectors reviewed 73 original prescription documents issued by Dr. R. G., for 217 dispensed prescriptions

that were missing features required on controlled substance security prescription forms. These missing features included the required "California Security Prescription" watermark, a lot number, printing in thermochromic ink and discrepancies in the quantity check off boxes. Of the 348 patients 233 were previously dispensed prescriptions from Dr. S.W.

- 32. For Dr. K.O., from December 8, 2015 to January 13, 2016, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 322 prescriptions to 112 different patients. All of the prescriptions were for controlled substances. All but one of the 112 patients received promethazine/codeine, all in full pint sized bottles. In addition, except for the one patient that did not receive promethazine/codeine, all were to be taken concurrently with alprazolam and/or with an opioid such as hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone or oxymorphone. The inspectors reviewed 76 original prescription documents issued by Dr. K.O., for dispensed prescriptions that were missing features required on controlled substance security prescription forms. These missing features included the required "California Security Prescription" watermark, a lot number and discrepancies in the quantity check off boxes. Of the 112 patients 51 were previously dispensed prescriptions from Dr. S.W or Dr. R.G.
- 33. In addition, almost all of the controlled substances from all three prescribers were purchased in cash, without the assistance of prescription insurance. In fact, all of the prescriptions for controlled substances written by Dr. R.G. and Dr. K.O. were paid for in cash at Respondent Pharmacy. With respect to the prescriptions for controlled substances written by Dr. S.W., 99.5 % of his prescriptions for controlled substances were paid for in cash at Respondent Pharmacy.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Failing to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility)

34. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a) and the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1306.04, subdivision (a), in that they failed to comply with their corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances were dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose when Respondents furnished

prescriptions for controlled substances even though "red flags" were present to indicate those prescriptions were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Filling Erroneous or Uncertain Prescriptions)

35. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating CCR section 1761, in that Respondents dispensed prescriptions which contained significant irregularities, uncertainties, or ambiguities, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Gross Negligence)

36. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (c), in that Respondents were grossly negligent in dispensing controlled substances. The circumstances are that Respondents knew or should have known that the controlled substances dispensed to patients were likely to be used for other than a legitimate medical purpose and Respondent failed to take appropriate steps when presented with numerous controlled substance prescriptions by patients from the same doctor for the same drug and strength on the same day and who came into Respondent Pharmacy in sequence. Respondent failed to perform additional investigation to determine whether the prescriptions were issued for a legitimate medical purpose, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

37. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (d), for the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153 (a), as more particularly described in paragraphs 27 through 33, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Filling Prescriptions from Noncompliant Prescription Forms)

38. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Tadros are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11164 for filling and dispensing controlled substances from prescription forms that did not comply with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11162.1, as set forth in paragraphs 27 through 33, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 39. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Pharmacy, Complainant alleges that on or about March 6, 2015, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2014 62748 to Respondent Pharmacy for violations of Code section 4049, subdivisions (a), allowing a non-pharmacist to sign for a delivery of controlled substances; Code section 4115, subdivision (a), allowing more than one pharmacy technician to package prescriptions simultaneously; CCR section 1735.3, subdivision (a)(6), dispensing compounded drug products without maintaining a proper compounding record; CCR section 1735.4, subdivisions (a) and (c), dispensing compounded drug products without proper labeling, and assessed a fine in the amount of \$3,625.00. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 40. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Tadros, Complainant alleges that on or about March 6, 2015, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2014 64237 to Respondent Tadros for violations of Code section 4049, subdivisions (a), allowing a non-pharmacist to sign for a delivery of controlled substances; Code section 4115, subdivision (a), allowing more than one pharmacy technician to package prescriptions simultaneously; CCR section 1735.3, subdivision (a)(6), dispensing compounded drug products without maintaining a proper compounding record; CCR section 1735.4, subdivisions (a) and (c), dispensing compounded drug products without proper labeling, and assessed a fine in the amount of \$3,625.00. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

- 41. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Pharmacy, Complainant alleges that on or about October 20, 2016, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2016 71153 to Respondent Pharmacy for a violation of Health & Safety Code section 111440 and 111395, selling misbranded drugs, and assessed a fine in the amount of \$500.00. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 42. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Tadros, Complainant alleges that on or about July 19, 2016, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2016 71154 to Respondent Tadros for a violation of Health & Safety Code section 111440 and 111395, selling misbranded drugs. No fine was assessed for this violation.

OTHER MATTERS

- 43. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646 issued to Sky Pharma Corp., dba The Medicine Shop, while Michael Edward Milad Tadros has been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Michael Edward Milad Tadros shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51646 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 44. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859, issued to Respondent Michael Edward Milad Tadros, Michael Edward Milad Tadros Patel shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH 68859 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: