1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GREGORY J. SALUTE		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 164015		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9431 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6201	
14	DIANA LEE TELAHUN	ACCUSATION	
15	1792 Garnet Avenue San Diego, CA 92109		
16	Pharmacist License No. RPH 60385		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PAR	TIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity as	
22	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about November 15, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH		
24	60385 to Diana Lee Telahun, formerly known as Diana Y. Lee (Respondent). The Pharmacist		
25	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
26	expire on May 31, 2019, unless renewed.		
27	111		
28	111		
		1	
		(DIANA LEE TELAHUN) ACCUSATION	

1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws.	
3	All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise	
4	indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be	
6	suspended or revoked."	
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
8	license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a license shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending	
9		
10	or revoking the license.	
11	6. Section 4301 of the Code states:	
12	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
13		
14		
15 16	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.	
17		
	(b) The educinistance to encode of any controlled substance or the use of any	
18	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous	
19 20	or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license	
21	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
22	7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
23	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license	
24	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his	
25		
26	license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
27	.111	
28	111	
	2	
	(DIANA LEE TELAHUN) ACCUSATION	

COSTS

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

On the evening of May 4, 2017, Respondent called 911 and reported that her husband 9. was pointing a gun at her face, and that he was injuring her and her two children. Respondent's report prompted a large response from the San Diego Police Department. Upon arrival at an apartment complex, Respondent's husband (ET) flagged down officers. ET stated he and Respondent had been arguing about alleged infidelity. When ET tried to leave their bedroom, Respondent blocked the exit with her arms and hands. Respondent began shouting that ET was hurting her, loud enough for the neighbors to think ET was battering Respondent. ET had to put his hands on Respondent's shoulders to move her out of the way so he could leave. Respondent ripped ET's t-shirt as he left. ET stated that Respondent had consumed almost a full bottle of wine. ET was worried about the welfare of their two young children and returned to the apartment. Because he was concerned about false claims of abuse, ET used his cellphone to videotape Respondent calling 911. ET told officers that he did not want Respondent to be arrested, and that he would not assist with prosecution.

10. A police officer interviewed Respondent. The officer observed that Respondent was intoxicated, and emanating a strong odor of alcohol. Respondent stated that she and ET had been having bad arguments over suspected infidelity, and ET wanted Respondent to move out of their apartment. Respondent refused to leave because of their two children. Respondent admitted to the officer that she exaggerated her police report. Her statement to the 911 operator that her husband was pointing a gun at her face, and that he was injuring her and her two children was false. Respondent told officers she knew that ET had a gun somewhere in the apartment, but he

1	never pointed it at her. Respondent also admitted she blocked ET to prevent him from leaving.	
2	Respondent was arrested and charged with false imprisonment (Pen. Code, § 236), and filing a	
3	false police report. The San Diego City Attorney subsequently rejected the case for prosecution.	
4	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
5	(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)	
6	11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the	
7	Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about May 4, 2017, Respondent used alcoholic	
8	beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself and others, as	
9	described in paragraph 10, above.	
10	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
11	(Act Involving Dishonesty & Fraud)	
12	12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f) of the	
13	Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about May 4, 2017, Respondent called 911 and	
14	knowingly made a fraudulent claim that her husband was pointing a gun at her face, and that he	
15	was injuring her and her two children, as described in paragraph 10, above. Respondent's false	
16	claim prompted a large response from the police department, which diverted law enforcement	
17	resources away from actual emergencies.	
18	DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS	
19	13. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,	
20	Complainant alleges that on or about March 26, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of	
21	the State of California v. Diana Lee, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating	
22	Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration of .08	
23	percent or more, a misdemeanor. As a result of the conviction, the Board issued a Letter of	
24	Admonishment to Respondent on May 20, 2010, alleging that the conviction was substantially	
25	related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacist (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4301(l)),	
26	and that she used alcohol to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous to oneself or others (Bus	
27	& Prof. Code, § 4301(h)).	
28	111	
	4	
	(DIANA LEE TELAHUN) ACCUSATION	

1	14. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,		
2	Complainant alleges that on or about August 16, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of		
3	the State of California v. Diana Lee, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating		
4	Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration of .08		
5	percent or more, a misdemeanor. As a result of the conviction, the Board issued Citation No. CI		
6	2010 47996, an Order of Abatement, and required payment of an administrative fine of \$5,000.		
7	Respondent complied with the citation.		
8	PRAYER		
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
10	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
11	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 60385, issued to Diana Lee		
12	Telahun;		
13	2. Ordering Diana Lee Telahun to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the		
14	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section		
15	125.3; and,		
16	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
17			
18	DATED: 10/16/17 Unginia Leile		
19	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy		
20	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
21	Complainant		
22	λ		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	SD2017705629		
28	81821200.docx		
	5		
	(DIANA LEE TELAHUN) ACCUSATION		