1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. TRAMA Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607	
4		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9441 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		 1
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6101
13	JOHN KENNETH WEBER 657 Palos Verde Drive	ACCUSATION
14	Satellite Beach, FL 32937	
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 72714	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about June 22, 2015, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 72714	
24	to John Kenneth Weber (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all	
25	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2018, unless	
26	renewed.	
27		
28	111	
		1
i	I	(JOHN KENNETH WEBER) ACCUSATION

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order

24

25

26

27

28

to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

7

10

11

12 13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted summary probation for five years, and ordered to complete 80 hours of community service, complete a three-month First Conviction Program and MADD Impact Panel session, pay fees, fines and restitution, and comply with the DUI probation terms. Respondent was permitted to complete his probation requirements in Florida.

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on the evening of February 11, 2016, a witness contacted the San Diego County Sheriff's Department to report that while he was on a rest break at a local business, he observed a gold Toyota Camry (driven by Respondent) collide with a closed gate at the end of a private driveway. He then observed Respondent ram the gate two more times until it opened. The witness watched Respondent make a U-turn and quickly flee the area. At approximately 10:34 p.m., a San Diego County Sheriff's Deputy was patrolling the area of Encinitas, California, when he observed Respondent driving erratically, including nearly backing into the deputy's patrol car while at an intersection. The deputy conducted a traffic stop and made contact with Respondent. The deputy observed that Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol emanating from his breath and person, he was unsteady on his feet, and he admitted to drinking prior to driving. Respondent refused to answer any further questions, and refused to submit to field sobriety tests. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. The deputy reminded Respondent that he was required to submit to either a breath or blood test. Respondent agreed that he would take a blood test. During processing, Respondent refused to allow the phlebotomist take a blood sample. The deputy obtained a search warrant nearly two hours after the initial traffic stop. The blood sample was subsequently analyzed with a BAC of 0.17 percent.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

14. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about February 11, 2016, as described in paragraph 13, above, Respondent operated a motor vehicle while impaired by alcohol, and caused a collision.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Q 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(More than One Misdemeanor Conviction Involving the Consumption of Alcohol)

15. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he was twice convicted of misdemeanor DUI as described in paragraphs 13, above, and 16, below.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

16. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges that on or about August 14, 2002, in Lake Havasu Consolidated Court (Arizona), case number JTR2002-00605, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to driving under the influence (Arizona Revised Statutes 28-1381A1), a Class I misdemeanor. Respondent was granted summary probation for one year, sentenced to serve 10 days in jail, and ordered to pay a \$650 fine.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 72714, issued to John Kenneth Weber;
- 2. Ordering John Kenneth Weber to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/24/17

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

State of California Complainant

SD2017704655 81659521.docx

24

25

26

27

28