Attorn LINDA Senion JAMES Super State 1 600 San P.O. San Telepl Facsin	er Becerra ney General of California a K. Schneider r Assistant Attorney General s M. Ledakis vising Deputy Attorney General Bar No. 132645 West Broadway, Suite 1800 Diego, CA 92101 Box 85266 Diego, CA 92186-5266 hone: (619) 738-9409 mile: (619) 645-2061 neys for Complainant	
22,007.1		RE THE
:	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
In the	Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6023
 -	IUA MICHAEL UDELL	ACCUSATION
562 O Sprin	esage St. g Valley, CA 91977	
	nacy Technician Registration No. 86995	
	Respondent.	
	Complainant alleges:	
	PAR	TIES
	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacit	
as the	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
	2. On or about October 24, 2008, the B	pard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration
Numb	oer TCH 86995 to Joshua Michael Udell (R	espondent). The Pharmacy Technician
Regist	tration expired on February 29, 2016, and I	as not been renewed.
II ~		
///	•	
1//		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications,

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency...
- 13. United States Code, title 21, section 843 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally -
- (3) to acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge. . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (c) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.

to complete an anti-theft class through the court. Respondent was further ordered to stay away from the pharmacy where the theft occurred.

The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about January 13, 2015, an c. officer with the San Diego Police Department (SDPD) responded to a local hospital to investigate allegations of forgery and theft, which were reported by the hospital's pharmacy staff. The pharmacist-in-charge informed the SDPD officer that she received an email from a pharmacist explaining how a discrepancy was discovered, and that she believed Respondent committed the theft. The pharmacist-in-charge provided the SDPD officer with a copy of Invoice No. 749895548 showing that two types of medications were ordered, Tylenol #4 (4 bottles, 500-ct.) and Lorazepam (1 bottle, 100-ct.), and a copy of the same invoice which was altered by Respondent with white-out so that the Tylenol #4 was no longer legible. During the investigation, Respondent immediately admitted to hiding the medication in the building, and that he took approximately 60 Tylenol #4 pills out of one of the bottles home with him. Respondent told the SDPD officer he took the pills because he has a sick family member who was unable to get his medication. Respondent denied taking the medication for the purpose of self-administration or to sell. Respondent directed the SDPD officer to where he could locate the bottles of medication, and the SDPD officer retrieved approximately 1,940 Tylenol #4 pills. Respondent further admitted to taking medication from the pharmacy on a previous occasion. An audit conducted by the pharmacy confirmed an additional loss of 4,700 APAP/codeine #4 pills between January 16, 2014 and December 8, 2014, however, they were unable to track the pills at the time of the investigation.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Making a False Document)

19. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (g) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he altered an invoice using whiteout to conceal his theft of controlled substances from the pharmacy's inventory, as described in paragraph 18, above.

17

26

27

28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 86995, issued to Joshua Michael Udell;
- 2. Ordering Joshua Michael Udell to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 6/5/17

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2016703356 81569426.doc