1	Xavier Becerra
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORRIE M. YOST
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 119088
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-2271
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
- 9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 6014
12	LYDIA ANN CASAREZ
13	3934 Arden Dr. N. Fresno, CA 93703 A C C U S A T I O N
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 93912
15	
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:
19	<u>PARTIES</u>
20	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive
21	Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about May 4, 2010, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration
23	Number TCH 93912 to Lydia Ann Casarez ("Respondent"). The license was in full force and
24	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2017,
25	unless renewed.
26	JURISDICTION
27	3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that
28	every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
	1

4. Code section 4300.1 states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

5. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

COST RECOVERY

6. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

28

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

7. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4301(l), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about September 27, 2016, in the case of *People v. Lydia Ann Casarez*, (Super. Ct. Fresno County, 2016, Case No. M16926472), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol level of .08% or higher), a misdemeanor, with a prior conviction of the same on June 3, 2013, as set forth below in paragraph 10, and an enhancement of Vehicle Code section 23578 (having a blood alcohol level of .15% or higher). The circumstances of the crime were that on or about July 2, 2016, Respondent drove a vehicle while having a blood alcohol level of 0.19% and was swerving in her lane, crossing the fog line and onto the shoulder. The crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

8. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4301(h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent consumed alcohol to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself and to the public, in that on or about July 2, 2016, Respondent drove a vehicle with a blood alcohol level of .19%, as more particularly set forth above in paragraph 7.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions Involving the Use of Alcohol)

9. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4301(k), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent has been convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the use, consumption, and self administration of alcoholic, as more particularly set forth above in paragraph 7 and below in paragraph 10.

MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION

Respondent for violating Code sections 4301(h) (administering to oneself, any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself); 4301(k) (conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage); and 4301(l) (conviction of a crime substantially related to the practice of pharmacy), in that on or about June 3, 2013, in the case of *People v. Lydia Ann Casarez*, (Super. Ct. Fresno County, 2013, Case No. M13918020), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol level of .08% or higher), a misdemeanor, with a prior conviction of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol) on September 28, 2005¹. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about March 9, 2013, Respondent drove a vehicle while having a blood alcohol level of .14%. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$750.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 93912, issued to Lydia Ann Casarez;
- 2. Ordering Lydia Ann Casarez to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

|| ///

///

24 II

″ ||

On or about September 28, 2005, in the case of *People v. Lydia Ann Casarez*, (Super. Ct. Fresno County, 2005, Case No. CT05907430), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of no contest of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about July 31, 2005, Respondent drove a vehicle with a blood alcohol level of .13%.

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2		
3	DATED: 5/11/17 Virgina Xeld	
4	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6	State of California Complainant	
7		
8	SA2016104488 12576150.doc	
9		٠
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
I	5	