1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELENA L. ALMANZO	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131058	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-5524	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5878
12	CASEY SCOTT DUCKWALL	Case 140, 3676
13	4406 Charleston Place Circle	ACCUSATION
14	Nashville, TN 94122	ACCUSATION
15	Intern Pharmacist Registration No. INT 37089	
16	Respondent.	
17	Complainant alleges:	
18		DC
	PARTIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about October 15, 2015, the Board issued Intern Pharmacist Registration	
22	Number INT 37089 to Casey Scott Duckwall ("Respondent"). The intern pharmacist registration	
23	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on	
24	March 31, 2020, unless renewed.	
25	JURISDICTION/STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following	
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise	
28	indicated.	
I,		

1	4. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:	
2	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.	
3	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and	
4	found guilty, by any of the following methods:	
5	(1) Suspending judgment.	
6	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.	
7	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.	
8		
9	(4) Revoking his or her license.	
10	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper	
11	5. Code section 4300.1 states:	
12	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued	
13	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
14		
15		
16	6. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:	
17	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or	
18	misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
19	nov manded to, and to not vine,	
20	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use	
21	of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.	
22		
23		
24		
25	(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to	
26		
27		
28		

26

27

28

fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment . . .

## COST RECOVERY

7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Criminal Conviction)

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (1), in that on or about February 11, 2016, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People vs. Casey S. Duckwall* (San Francisco County Super. Ct., Case No. 16000959), Respondent was convicted by the Court on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving while having a 0.08 percent or higher blood alcohol), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of an intern pharmacist. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: On or about January 15. 2016, officers with the San Francisco Police Department responded to the intersection of Fillmore Street and Post Street regarding a drunk driver involved in a non-injury traffic collision. Upon arrival, the officers observed a male subject, later identified as Respondent, sitting in the driver seat of a vehicle with the engine still running. One of the officers approached Respondent and could immediately smell the odor of alcohol coming from his breath. The officer pulled Respondent out of the vehicle and walked him over to the sidewalk. Respondent was stumbling and had trouble keeping his balance as he walked. The officer observed that Respondent was slurring his words and was unable to remember any of his contact information or insurance