1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 120482	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
	P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2095	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE
9		PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF O	CALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5775
13	MARK ALBERT DUMAS 624 San Juhn Street	ACCUSATION
14	Spring Valley, CA 91997	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 146620	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
10		
19	Complainant alleges:	
		TIES
19	PAR	CTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity
19 20	PAR	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity
19 20 21	PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity
19 20 21 22	PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity cy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. ard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration
19 20 21 22 23	PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about March 10, 2015, the Bo	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity cy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. ard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration espondent). The Pharmacy Technician
19 20 21 22 23 24	PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about March 10, 2015, the Bo Number TCH 146620 to Mark Albert Dumas (R	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity cy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. ard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration espondent). The Pharmacy Technician
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about March 10, 2015, the Bo Number TCH 146620 to Mark Albert Dumas (R Registration was in full force and effect at all tir	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity cy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. ard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration espondent). The Pharmacy Technician
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about March 10, 2015, the Bo Number TCH 146620 to Mark Albert Dumas (R Registration was in full force and effect at all tir will expire on March 31, 2017, unless renewed.	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity cy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. ard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration espondent). The Pharmacy Technician
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about March 10, 2015, the Bo Number TCH 146620 to Mark Albert Dumas (R Registration was in full force and effect at all tir will expire on March 31, 2017, unless renewed. ///	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity cy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. ard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration espondent). The Pharmacy Technician

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
3	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise
4	indicated.
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be
6	suspended or revoked."
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by
9	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of invited integration to compare the placement of a license o
10	deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
11	OT A THTODY DDOVICIONS
12	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
13	6. Section 482 of the Code states:
14 15	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
16	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
17	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
18	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.
19	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or
20	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially
21	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the
22	license was issued.
23	8. Section 493 of the Code states:
24	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board
25	within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a
26	license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in
27	question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the
28	circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of
	2
	(MARK ALBERT DUMAS) ACCUSATION

discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . . .

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. ...

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).

I	
1	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
2 3	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
4	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
5	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
6	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications,
7	functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health,
8	safety, or welfare.
9	COSTS
10	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
11	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
12	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
13	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not
14	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs
15	may be included in a stipulated settlement.
16	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(March 24, 2015 Criminal Conviction for Arson on January 8, 2015)
18	13. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301,
19	subdivision (1) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the
20	qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
21	a. On or about March 24, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People of the</i>
22	State of California v. Mark Albert Dumas, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number
23	CD260424, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 455,
24	arson, a felony, a lesser-included offense of the original charge of violating Penal Code section
25	451, subdivision (c), arson of an inhabited building, which was dismissed with a plea agreement.
26	b. As a result of the conviction, on May 27, 2015, Respondent was sentenced to
27	serve 365 days in jail, stayed pending successful completion of formal probation for three years.
28	Respondent was ordered to complete 10 days in the Public Service Program, pay fees, fines, and
	4
	(MARK ALBERT DUMAS) ACCUSATION

restitution to the victim, and comply with felony probation terms. Respondent is further required to register as an arsonist with law enforcement, and to stay away from all adult bookstores.

The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about 8:35 a.m. on January 8. 3 c. 2015, an unidentified person went to an adult bookstore and used a road flare to start a fire in one 4 5 of the viewing booths. After viewing video surveillance taken inside and outside of the bookstore, detectives observed that the unidentified suspect drove up in a silver truck and 6 retrieved something from the back area of the truck's cab. The suspect then entered the adult 7 bookstore, went to a viewing booth, and closed the door. Within approximately one minute, 8 smoke is seen coming from the top of the booth. The suspect is videotaped hurriedly leaving the 9 bookstore, getting into his truck, and leaving the area. Detectives circulated photos of the suspect 10 11 and the truck to the bookstore's employees, as well as other adult bookstores in the area. 12 Employees recognized Respondent as a frequent customer who would patronize the bookstores multiple times each week. Shortly after noon, on January 12, 2015, the San Diego Police 13 Department responded to the bookstore after an employee recognized Respondent and his 14 vehicle. Officers located Respondent in a viewing booth and placed him under arrest. While 15 being questioned by detectives with the Metro Arson Strike Team, Respondent confessed that he 16 could not stop his constant desire to go to adult bookstores. He set the fire in the booth hoping he 17 18 would be banned from the bookstore, thereby helping him change his habits.

19

20

24

1

2

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Commission of Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

14. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301,
subdivisions (a) and (f) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that his conduct, as described in
paragraph 13 above, involved moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud and/or deceit.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 146620,
 issued to Mark Albert Dumas;

2. Ordering Mark Albert Dumas to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. Juginia Herold 6/10/16 DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD **Executive Officer** Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2016700485 81359738.doc (MARK ALBERT DUMAS) ACCUSATION