1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY J. SALUTE	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 164015	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2617 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		RETHE
10	DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
11	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5711
14	JANET ANN GEDDES 704 S. Hayward Street, Unit B	ACCUSATION
15	Anaheim, CA 92804	
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 7916	
17	Respondent.	
18	Course being and all a surge	
19	Complainant alleges:	
20		TIES
21		s this Accusation solely in her official capacity as
22	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy,	•
23		d of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
24	Registration Number TCH 7916 to Janet Ann Ge	
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all time	es relevant to the charges brought herein and will
26	expire on November 30, 2016, unless renewed.	
27		
28		

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be
6	suspended or revoked."
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by
9	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not
10	deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending
11	or revoking the license.
12	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
13	6. Section 4022 of the Code states
14	"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for
15	self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:
16	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
17	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device
18	to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
19	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed
20	only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
21	7. Section 4059 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a person may not furnish any
22	dangerous drug except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist,
23	veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.
24	8. Section 4060 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any
25	controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician,
26	dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor.
27	
	JANET ANN GEDDES) ACCUSATION

1	9. Section 4063 of the Code states:	
2	No prescription for any dangerous drug or dangerous device may be refilled except	
3	upon authorization of the prescriber. The authorization may be given orally or at the time of giving the original prescription. No prescription for any dangerous drug that is a controlled substance may be designated refillable as needed.	
4	10. Section 4301 of the Code states:	ľ
5		
6	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of	
7	the following:	
8	••••	
9	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit,	
10	or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.	
11	(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.	
12	represents the existence of nonexistence of a state of facts.	
13		
14 15	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including	
	regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.	
16	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
17	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
18		
19	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications,	
20	functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his	
21	license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
22	COSTS	
23	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
24	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of	
25	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
26	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being	
27	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be	
28	included in a stipulated settlement.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		E

(JANET ANN GEDDES) ACCUSATION

.

1	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
2	13. On or about April 24, 2015, the Board received a letter from Sav-On Pharmacies that
3	Respondent was terminated from her employment at an Irvine Sav-On Pharmacy after it was
4.	alleged that she had been fraudulently authorizing her own prescriptions without a physician's
5	approval.
6	14. As a result of the Board's investigation into the allegations, it was learned that
7	Respondent had transferred expired prescriptions to the Sav-On where she was employed. On or
8	between October 4, 2012 and February 26, 2015, Respondent created electronic Authorization
9	Requests at the Sav-On using these expired prescriptions for Metformin, Lisinopril, Onglyza, and
10	Scopolomine. ¹ Respondent used the name and identifying information of a physician (hereinafter
11	"Dr. J") as the prescriber for the medications. Respondent also obtained a blood glucose meter
12	and three sets of blood glucose test strips using the physician's name. Respondent would then
13	hand-write the number of authorized refills for the prescriptions, and write the name "Irene" on the
14	Authorization Request as the person who telephonically authorized the prescription refills.
15	Respondent presented these Authorization Requests to the pharmacist-in-charge for verification
16	and approval. Respondent fraudulently created a total of 28 unauthorized prescriptions refills for
17	herself, and received 24 of the refills, using the information obtained from Dr. J.
18	15. The Board inspector contacted Dr. J who produced a written statement that
19	Respondent was never seen as a patient in his office, and that his employee "Irene" is not
20	authorized to approve prescriptions or prescription refills.
21	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Commission of Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit & Corruption)
23	16. Respondent has subjected her registration to discipline under section 4301, subdivision
24	(f) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent obtained prescription medications
25	using fraud, deceit, and dishonesty, as described in paragraphs 13-15, above.
26	
27	¹ Metformin, Lisinopril, Onglyza, and Scopolomine are not scheduled drugs, however, they are dangerous drugs as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022 in that they can be
28	dispensed only upon a valid prescription.

(JANET ANN GEDDES) ACCUSATION

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violating Federal & State Laws & Regulations Governing Pharmacy)
3	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o) of the
4	Code for unprofessional conduct in that she violated Business and Professions Code sections 4059
5	4060, and 4063, and Board of Pharmacy Regulations (California Code of Regulations, Title 16,
6	Section 1700, et seq.), when she obtained prescription medications using fraud and deceit, as
7	described in paragraphs 13-15, above.
8	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Knowingly Making a False Document)
10	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g) of the
11	Code for unprofessional conduct in that she knowingly created 28 Authorization Requests for
12	prescription medications and refills, knowing that these prescription refills had not been authorized
13	by a physician, as described in paragraphs 13-15, above.
14	PRAYER
15	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
16	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
17	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 7916, issued
18	to Janet Ann Geddes;
19	2. Ordering Janet Ann Geddes to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
20	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
21	125.3;
22	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
23	alala () - d d
24	DATED: 2/19/16 (/ligine Vecle/ VIRGINIA HEROLD
25	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
26	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
27	SD2015803394
	81247625.doc
e and an	