1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 154990 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-6292 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant  BEFOR BOARD OF I DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5549
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DRUG PHARM, INC., dba EL PORTAL PHARMACY BA VAN HUYNH, aka BA HUYNH, PRESIDENT/CEO, SECRETARY, TREASURER/CFO, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE 3377 G Street, Suite B Merced, CA 95340  Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50895  and  BA VAN HUYNH 2527 Deanville Circle Clovis, CA 93611  Pharmacist License No. RPH 55924  Respondents.	ACCUSATION
23	Complainant alleges:	
24	<u>PARTIES</u>	
25	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
26	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
27	2. Or about July 13, 2012, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50895 to	
28	Drug Pharm, Inc. ("Respondent Drug Pharm"), doing business as El Portal Pharmacy, with Ba	
	1	

### 7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct... Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs . . .

### 8. Section 4306.5 states, in pertinent part:

Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following:

(b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services . . .

### 9. Section 4113, subdivision (c), of the Code states:

The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.

### 10. Section 4307(a) of the Code states that:

Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:

- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

11. Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, subsection (a), states:

A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances.

12. Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), states:

A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

13. Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d), states:

For each prescription for a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, specifically Sections 1308.12, 1308.13, and 1308.14, respectively, of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the dispensing pharmacy, clinic, or other dispenser shall report the following information to the Department of Justice as soon as reasonably possible, but not more than seven days after the date a controlled substance is dispensed, in a format specified by the Department of Justice. . .

### COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

...

 ///

#### DRUG CLASSIFICATIONS

- 15. "Norco" is the brand name for a compound consisting of 10 mg hydrocodone bitartrate and 325 mg acetaminophen per tablet, and is used to treat pain. At all times relevant to the charges brought herein, Norco was classified as a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4). Norco is also a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 16. "Soma", a brand name for carisoprodol, is a Schedule IV Controlled Substance as designated by Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1308.14, subdivision (c)(6), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Soma is used to treat muscle spams.
- 17. "Oxycodone" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Oxycodone is used to treat pain.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

18. In or about June 2013, the Board received notification from Amerisource Bergen Company that they stopped sales of hydrocodone and/or oxycodone products to El Portal Pharmacy ("EPP"). The Board opened an investigation of EPP and obtained a CURES<sup>2</sup> report identifying Dr. Jose Luis Flores as a top prescriber of controlled substances dispensed at EPP (Dr. Flores surrendered his physician's and surgeon's certificate to the Medical Board of California effective April 16, 2014).

<sup>1</sup> Hydrocodone has been rescheduled from a Schedule III to Schedule II controlled substance via the Controlled Substances Act (21 CFR Part 1308 § 1308.12; 21 U.S.C. 812 (c)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System, CURES, is a database containing over 100 million entries of controlled substance drugs that were dispensed in California. CURES is part of a program developed by the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Narcotic Enforcement, which allows access to the Prescription Drug Monitoring (PDMP) system. The PDMP system allows pre-registered users, including licensed healthcare prescribers eligible to prescribe controlled substances, pharmacists authorized to dispense controlled substances, law enforcement, and regulatory boards, to access patient controlled substance history information.

- 19. On or about November 7, 2013, a Board inspector conducted an inspection of EPP and was assisted by Respondent Huynh ("Huynh"). The inspector asked Huynh to provide her with patient profiles for 37 patients she had selected based on the CURES data and to complete a questionnaire on each patient. The inspector also requested and obtained dispensing reports for carisoprodol 350 mg, hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg, and oxycodone 30 mg for the time period from July 13, 2012 to November 7, 2013, a dispensing report for Dr. Flores, and several hard copy prescriptions.
- 20. On or about November 14, 2013, the inspector received completed patient questionnaires and patient profiles from Huynh.
- 21. In and between October 2014 and March 2015, the inspector requested and obtained additional documentation, including various hardcopy prescriptions from Huynh, an updated CURES report for EPP for the time period from July 1, 2012 to November 7, 2013, and a dispensing report from EPP for all drugs dispensed between July 13, 2012 and December 4, 2012.
  - 22. The inspector determined based on a review of the above documentation that:
- a. From September 10, 2012 (EPP's first day of business) to December 4, 2012, EPP failed to transmit or report any CURES data to the Department of Justice ("DOJ") even though approximately 716 controlled substance prescriptions were dispensed by the pharmacy, including prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg, oxycodone 30 mg, and hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg. Further, approximately 303 (42%) of these controlled substances were prescribed by Dr. Flores.
- b. EPP dispensed 36 prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg (a total of approximately 3,660 tablets), 81 prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg (a total of approximately 11,200 tablets), and 93 prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg (a total of approximately 14,200 tablets).
  - c. 59% of the patients did not live in Merced or the surrounding area;
  - d. 54% of the patients had multiple addresses;
  - e. 100% of the patients paid cash for their prescriptions;
  - f. 95% of the patients' prescriptions were picked up by a "caregiver";
  - g. 95% of the patients were never seen by the pharmacy staff; and
  - h. 32% of the patients were under the age of 30 and 59% were under the age of 40.

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### \_\_\_

## 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Exercise Best Professional Judgment)

23. Respondents Drug Pharm (EPP) and Huynh are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to sections 4301 and 4306.5, subsection (b) for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondents failed to exercise or implement their best professional judgment with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as follows: On and between October 6, 2012 and September 23, 2013, Respondents dispensed numerous prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg, oxycodone 30 mg, and hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg that were issued by Dr. Flores for 37 different patients despite Dr. Flores' repetitive prescribing habits, the location of the patients' addresses in relation to EPP, the fact that all of the prescriptions were paid for in cash and were dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patients, the fact that the patients had multiple addresses, and the fact that almost 60% of the patients were under the age of 40, as set forth in paragraphs 18 to 22, above.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Clearly Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

24. Respondents Drug Pharm (EPP) and Huynh are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 4301, subdivisions (d), for unprofessional conduct, in that on and between October 6, 2012 and September 23, 2013, Respondents clearly excessively furnished controlled substances, including carisoprodol 350 mg, oxycodone 30 mg, and hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg, as set forth in paragraphs 18 to 22, above, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a).

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Unprofessional Conduct - Corresponding Responsibility)

25. Respondents Drug Pharm (EPP) and Huynh are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to sections 4301 and 4306.5, subsection (b) for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondents failed to exercise or implement their corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances and dangerous drugs for a legitimate medical purpose, as follows: On and between October 6, 2012 and September 23, 2013, Respondents

dispensed numerous prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg, oxycodone 30 mg, and hydrocodone/ APAP 10/325 mg that were issued by Dr. Flores for 37 different patients despite Dr. Flores' repetitive prescribing habits, the location of the patients' addresses in relation to EPP, the fact that all of the prescriptions were paid for in cash and were dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patients, the fact that the patients had multiple addresses, and the fact that almost 60% of the patients were under the age of 40, as set forth in paragraphs 18 to 22, above, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a) and Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1306.04, subsection (a).

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Violations of Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System)

26. Respondents Drug Pharm (EPP) and Huynh are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (j), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondents violated state laws regulating controlled substances, as set forth in paragraphs 21 and 22 above, and as follows: On and between September 10, 2012 and December 4, 2012, Respondents failed to report to the DOJ the required information for Schedule II, III, and IV controlled substances, including carisoprodol 350 mg, oxycodone 30 mg, and hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg dispensed by EPP, as set forth in paragraphs 18 through 22, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d).

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with State and Federal Laws)

27. Respondent Huynh is subject to disciplinary action under section 4113, subsection (c) for his failure to comply with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy based on the excessive furnishing of controlled substances, as alleged in paragraphs 18 through 22.

#### MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION

28. To determine the degree of discipline to be assessed against Respondent Huynh, if any, Complainant alleges as follows: On or about September 16, 2010, the Board issued Citation

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

No. CI 2010 45658 against Respondent for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (d) (inadequate pharmacy security).

#### OTHER MATTERS

- 29. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50895, issued to Drug Pharm, Inc., doing business as El Portal Pharmacy (EPP), then EPP shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for a period not to exceed five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50895 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50895 is reinstated, if the permit is revoked.
- 30. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50895, issued to Drug Pharm, Inc., doing business as El Portal Pharmacy (EPP), or if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License No. RPH 55924, issued to Ba Van Huynh, also known as Ba Huynh, for violations during the time that Ba Van Huynh acted as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner and Ba Van Huynh had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the permit or license was disciplined, then Ba Van Huynh shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner on a license or permit issued by the Board for a period not to exceed five years if discipline results in probation or until the license or permit is reinstated, if the license or permit is revoked.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50895, issued to Drug Pharm, Inc., doing business as El Portal Pharmacy;
- Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 55924, issued to Ba Van 2. Huynh, also known as Ba Huynh;
- 3. Prohibiting Drug Pharm, Inc., doing business as El Portal Pharmacy, from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for