KAMALA D. HARRIS	
Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE CINCOTTA	
Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
NICOLE R. TRAMA Deputy Attorney General	
State Bar No. 263607 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
Attorneys for Complainant	
BEFORE T BOARD OF PHA	
DEPARTMENT OF CONS	SUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALL	T. OWITE
·	
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5373
SPECTRUM PHARMACY INC., DBA SPECTRUM PHARMACY – ANAHEIM;	
NINA THIEN-NG PHAM, CHIEF	ACCUSATION
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/PHARMACIST-IN- CHARGE;	
STEVEN DUNG TRUONG, PHARMACIST- IN-CHARGE	
1236 N. Magnolia Avenue Anaheim, CA 92801	
·	
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50751	
STEVEN DUNG TRUONG 18 Endeavor 100	
Irvine, CA 92618	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 52822	
and	
NINA THIEN-NGA TRAN	
1236 N. Magnolia Avenue Anaheim, CA 92801	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 55935	
Respondents.	
1	

.19

Complainant alleges:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about February 21, 2012, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50751 to Spectrum Pharmacy Inc., to do business as Spectrum Pharmacy Anaheim (Respondent Spectrum Anaheim). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on February 1, 2017, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about September 5, 2001, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 52822 to Steven Dung Truong (Respondent Truong). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on January 31, 2017, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about August 4, 2004, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 55935 to Nina Thien-Nga Tran (Respondent Nina Tran). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on December 31, 2017, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 7. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
  - 8. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or

proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

## 9. Section 4307(a) of the Code states:

- (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:
- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

#### 10. Section 4022 of the Code states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a \_\_\_\_\_," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
- 11. Section 4113, subdivision (c) of the Code states: "The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy."

///

#### 12. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

(c) Gross negligence.

. . .

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

. . .

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

. . . .

## 13. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in pertinent part:

(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

## REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 14. Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04 states in pertinent part:
- (a) A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual

course of his professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances.

. . . .

- 15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761 states:
  - (a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
  - (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.

#### COST RECOVERY

16. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

### **DRUGS**

17. At all times mentioned herein, Hydrocodone/APAP was a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. On October 6, 2014, Hydrocodone/APAP was reclassified as a Schedule II controlled substance.

///

26.

- 18. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 19. Promethazine with codeine is a Schedule V controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058, subdivision (c)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 20. From February 21, 2012 through October 1, 2012, Respondent Truong was Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) of Respondent Spectrum Anaheim. Prior to October 5, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran was a staff pharmacist at Respondent Spectrum Anaheim. On October 5, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran became the PIC of Respondent Spectrum Anaheim.
- 21. In October, 2012, during a Board inspection at Respondent Spectrum Anaheim, it was discovered that Respondents dispensed several prescriptions written by Dr. J.A.<sup>1</sup> in sequence for different out-of-the-area patients with the same or similar diagnosis,<sup>2</sup> for the same exact drug and dosage (oxycodone 30 mg), with similar directions for use, and on the same day. Examples are as follows:
- a. On August 2, 2012, Respondent Spectrum Anaheim dispensed RX No. 200014 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient L.C., whose address is in Los Angeles. That same day, Respondent Spectrum Anaheim dispensed RX No. 200015 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient M.R., whose address is in Los Angeles. The prescriptions were written in sequence and dispensed in sequence.
- b. On August 8, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200017 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient A.G., whose address is in Huntington Park. That same day, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200018 for oxycodone 30 mg for patient E.H., whose address is in Los Angeles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dr. J.A.'s practice, Pure Life Institute, specializes in hormone replacement and antiaging.

<sup>2</sup> The diagnoses were either osteoarthritis or back pain.

- c. On August 9, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200020 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient M.D.P., whose address is in Lynwood. That same day, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200023 for oxycodone 30 mg for patient R.S., whose address is in Koreatown.
- d. On August 21, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200029 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient J.M., whose address is in Vernon. That same day, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200030 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient E.C., whose address is in Los Angeles.
- e. On August 30, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200032 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient M.P., whose address is in Commerce. That same day, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200033 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient L.C., whose address is in Commerce. The prescriptions were written in sequence and dispensed in sequence.
- f. On September 11, 2012, Respondent Spectrum Anaheim dispensed RX No. 200040 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient A.G., who address is in Huntington Park. The next day, on September 12, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200041 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient R.S., whose address is in Koreatown. Also, on September 12, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200042 for oxycodone 30 mg to patient M.J., whose address is in Vernon.
- 22. When initially questioned, Respondent Nina Tran stated that Respondent Spectrum Anaheim no longer filled Dr. J.A.'s prescriptions because she did not trust the patients.
- 23. Review of Respondent Spectrum Anaheim's records revealed that Respondents dispensed controlled substances to the following nine out-of-area patients, who doctor-shopped and pharmacy-shopped:<sup>3</sup>

#### 24. Patient LC

Patient L.C., who was born in 1940, resided in Los Angeles. Between January 2009 and February 2013, L.C. obtained controlled substances from ten different doctors from Los

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Most of the patients' diagnoses were the same. All nine of the patients received oxycodone prescriptions in the same strength (30 mg) with directions to take either 1 or 2 tablets every 8 hours. Although the patients' age range from 65-76 years old, all of the doses were the same without regard to age, renal, or hepatic function, in which doses would need to be titrated.

13

1

25.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Angeles, Encino, Panorama City, Monterey Park, Northridge, Tustin and Stockton, and she obtained controlled substances from eleven different pharmacies in Burbank, Los Angeles, Ontario, Arcadia, Monterey Park, Santa Ana, Pomona, and Pico Rivera. Respondent Spectrum -Anaheim dispensed two prescriptions to L.C. for oxycodone 30 mg, RX No. 200014 on August 2, 2012 and RX No. 200033 on August 30, 2012 (dispensed by Respondent Nina Tran). Both prescriptions were written by Dr. J.A., whose office is approximately 30 miles from L.C.'s address. Both prescriptions show that L.C. was taking 2 tablets of oxycodone every eight hours, for a total of six tablets per day. Respondent Anaheim - Spectrum's records showed that L.C. had orthoarthritis, but there were no other notes indicating that the pharmacist questioned or verified the large dose or frequency of the prescribed medication, or why L.C. was not taking a long-acting drug, such as Oxycontin, along with the shorter acting formulation for breakthrough pain.

# Patient MDP

Patient M.D.P.'s, who was born in 1936, resided in Lynwood. From May 2009 to September 2012, M.D.P. saw at least eleven physicians in Los Angeles, Tustin, Redondo Beach, Encino, Panorama City, Northridge, Stockton, and Culver City, who prescribed her controlled substances, and she obtained controlled substances from twelve different pharmacies in Newhall, Los Angeles, Gardena, Lynwood, Burbank, Ontario, Commerce, Baldwin Park, North Hollywood, and Santa Ana. Respondent Nina Tran dispensed two prescriptions to M.P., RX No. 200020 on August 9, 2012 and RX No. 200036 on September 6, 2012, for oxycodone 30 mg with instructions to take 1-2 tablets every eight hours. Both prescriptions were written by Dr. J.A., whose office is approximately 30 miles from M.D.P.'s address. Respondent Anaheim – Spectrum's records showed no indication that Respondents questioned or verified the prescriptions prior to dispensing them to M.D.P. Instead, the records show that Respondents received information from the prescriber about this patient's diagnosis on September 28, 2012, twenty-two days after they filled her prior prescription.

III

## 26. Patient A.G.

Patient A.G., who was born in 1944, resided in South Gate. The address that Patient A.G. provided to Respondents, which was in Huntington Park, actually belonged to a warehouse. From May 2009 to August, 2012, A.G. saw at least five physicians in Huntington Park, Inglewood, Northridge, Panorama City, Los Angeles, and Tustin who prescribed her controlled substances and, she obtained controlled substances from seven pharmacies in Huntington Park, Lynwood, Arcadia, Burbank, Woonsocket, and Santa Ana prior to receiving medications from Respondents. Respondents dispensed two prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg, RX No. 200017 on August 8, 2012 (dispensed by Respondent Nina Tran) and RX No. 200040 on September 11, 2012. Both prescriptions were written by Dr. J.A., whose office is approximately 32 miles from A.G.'s address. Respondent Anaheim – Spectrum's records showed that A.G. had osteoarthritis, but there were no other notes indicating that the pharmacist questioned the prescriber or patient about the length of time that A.G. had taken the drug or if the patient had tried any other therapies.

#### 27. Patient E.H.

Patient E.H., who was born in 1942, resided in Los Angeles. From November 2010 to August 2012, E.H. obtained controlled substances from at least six pharmacies prior to receiving medications from Respondents. On August 8, 2012, Respondent Nina Tran dispensed to E.H., RX No. 200018 for oxycodone 30 mg, written by Dr. J.A., whose office is approximately 35 miles from E.H.'s address. Respondents did not receive information from the prescriber about this patient's diagnosis until September 28, 2012, fifty-one days after they filled E.H.'s prescription. Respondent Anaheim – Spectrum's records showed no other indication that the pharmacist clarified the prescription with E.H. or the doctor, or that they questioned the other medications that E.H. was using or had tried.

## 28. Patient M.J.

Patient M.J., who was born in 1947, resided in Los Angeles. From May 2010 to August 2012, M.J. saw at least five different physicians in Los Angeles, Northridge, and Stockton, who prescribed her controlled substances. Between that time period, M.J. obtained controlled

 $\cdot 17$ 

substances from at least seven pharmacies in Huntington Park, Ontario, Los Angeles, Lynwood, Burbank, Hacienda Heights, and Santa Ana, prior to receiving medications from Respondents. Respondent Nina Tran dispensed two prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg to M.J., RX No. 200026 on August 17, 2012 and RX No. 200042 on September 12, 2012. Both prescriptions were written by Dr. J.A., whose address is approximately 33 miles from M.J.'s address. Respondents did not receive information from the prescriber about this patient's diagnosis until September 28, 2012, sixteen days after M.J.'s last prescription was filled by Respondents.

#### 29. Patient J.M.

Patient J.M., who was born in 1940, resides in Los Angeles. From October 2009 to August 2012, J.M. saw at least nine different physicians in Los Angeles, Encino, Panorama City, Northridge, Stockton, and Tustin, who prescribed him controlled substances, and he obtained controlled substances from at least six different pharmacies in Burbank, Los Angeles, Lynwood, Oxnard, and Santa Ana, prior to receiving medications from Respondents. Respondent Nina Tran dispensed RX No. 200029 for oxycodone 30 mg on August 21, 2012, written by Dr. J.A., whose address is approximately 37 miles from J.M.'s address. Respondents did not receive information from the prescriber about this patient's diagnosis until September 28, 2012, thirty-eight days after the prescription was filled by Respondents.

#### 30. Patient M.P.

Patient M.P., who was born in 1941, resided in Los Angeles. Between October 2009 and August 2012, M.P. saw nine physicians in Los Angeles, Encino, Panorama City, Northridge, Glendale, Stockton, and Tustin, who prescribed him controlled substances, and he obtained controlled substances from at least nine pharmacies in Burbank, Reseda, Lynwood, Van Nuys, Ontario, Los Angeles, Arcadia, Santa Ana, and Pico Rivera, prior to receiving medications from Respondents. Respondents dispensed two prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg to M.P., RX No. 200015 on August 2, 2012 and RX No. 200032 (dispensed by Respondent Nina Tran) on August 30, 2012. Both prescriptions were written by Dr. J.A., whose office is approximately 30 miles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Patient M.P. had the same address and phone number as Patient L.C.

5. 

from M.P.'s address. Both prescriptions also had "Dx: back pain" written on them; however, there is no other documentation or records showing that the pharmacist spoke to the doctor or M.P. about M.P.'s previous or current therapies, or that either prescription had been verified.

## 31. Patient R.S.

Patient R.S., who was born in 1938, resided in Los Angeles. Between May 2009 and August 2012, R.S. saw fourteen physicians who prescribed him controlled substances. During that same timeframe, he obtained controlled substances from at least nineteen pharmacies in Stanton, Fountain Valley, Mission Viejo, Huntington Beach, South Pasadena, Redlands, Palm Desert, Apple Valley, Ontario, Gardena, Rancho Palos Verdes, Alhambra, Reseda, Burbank, Orange, Woonsocket, and Santa Ana, prior to receiving medications from Respondents.

Respondent Nina Tran dispensed two prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg to R.S., RX 200023 on August 14, 2012 and RX No. 200041 on September 12, 2012. Both prescriptions were written by Dr. J.A., whose office is approximately 38 miles from R.S.'s address. Both prescriptions have a note that states, "chronic intractibal [sic] pain lower lumbar," however, there is not other documentation or records showing that the pharmacist spoke to the doctor or R.S. about the prescriptions.

## 32. Patient E.C.

Patient E.C., who was born in 1936, resided in Los Angeles. From July 2010 to August 2012, E.C. saw at least five different physicians in Northridge, Stockton, Los Angeles and Tustin, who prescribed her controlled substances and she obtained controlled substances from at least six pharmacies in Lynwood, Burbank, Alhambra, Los Angeles, Santa Ana, and Pico Rivera, prior to receiving medications from Respondents. Respondent Nina Tran dispensed to E.C. RX No. 200030 for oxycodone 30 mg on August 21, 2012, written by Dr. J.A., whose office is approximately 37 miles from E.C.'s address. The diagnosis written in the upper left corner of the prescription states that the patient had a lower lumbar fracture. On August 23, 2012, Respondents also dispensed RX No. 400156 for Zolpidem 10 mg written by Dr. J.A. Respondents refilled that prescription on September 17, 2012.

- 33. In addition to Dr. J.A., many patients also regularly obtained controlled substances from the same prescribers, including Drs. G.H., B.O., J.G., K.G., E.C., and Physician Assistants (PA) D.N. and B.E. For example, of the nine patients described above, eight obtained controlled substances from to Dr. B.O.,<sup>5</sup> seven obtained controlled substances from Dr. J.G., six obtained controlled substances from PA B.E.,<sup>6</sup> five obtained controlled substances from Dr. K.G.,<sup>7</sup> four obtained controlled substances from Drs. G.H. and E.C.,<sup>8</sup> and three obtained controlled substances from PA D.N.<sup>9</sup>
- 34. Patients also obtained controlled substances from the same pharmacies, including Respondent Spectrum Anaheim. For example, of the nine patients that obtained controlled substances from Respondent Spectrum Anaheim, all nine obtained controlled substances from Assured Pharmacy and Harmony RX Drugs, and seven obtained controlled substances from W&P Pharmacy.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct - Failure to Implement Corresponding Responsibility)

35. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in that Respondents failed to comply with their corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances are dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose. The circumstances are that Respondents failed to evaluate the totality of the circumstances (information from the patient, physician, CURES and other sources) to determine the prescriptions' were issued for a legitimate medical purpose in light of information showing that several patients demonstrated drug seeking

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Dr. B.O.'s medical license was revoked by the Medical Board of California, effective November 14, 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> On December 19, 2014, the Physician Assistant Board filed an Accusation against PA B.E.'s physician assistant license, alleging twelve causes for discipline, including prescribing dangerous drugs and controlled substances without an appropriate prior examination and violating state statutes regulating controlled substances.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Dr. K.G.'s medical license was disciplined by the Medical Board of California, effective December 16, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Dr. E.C.'s medical license was surrendered effective November 6, 2015, following the Medical Board's filing of an Accusation against him.

PA D.N.'s physician assistant license was disciplined by the Physician Assistant Board effective April 11, 2005 after committing repeated acts of negligence.

behaviors such as doctor and pharmacy shopping, numerous patients had addresses outside Respondents' normal trade area, and several patients came into Respondent Pharmacy in sequence from the same doctor with prescriptions for the same drug and strength on the same day, among other things, as set forth in paragraphs 20 through 34, which are incorporated herein by reference.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct – Filling Erroneous or Uncertain Prescriptions)

36. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761 for filling erroneous or uncertain prescriptions in that Respondents dispensed prescriptions containing errors, irregularities, or uncertainties to patients, as set forth in paragraphs 20 through 34, which are incorporated herein by reference.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct - Gross Negligence)

37. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (c), in that Respondents were grossly negligent in dispensing controlled substances. The circumstances are that Respondents knew or should have known that the controlled substances dispensed to patients were likely to be used for other than a legitimate medical purpose and Respondent failed to take appropriate steps when presented with numerous controlled substance prescriptions by patients from the same doctor for the same drug and strength on the same day and who came into Respondent Pharmacy in sequence. Respondent failed to perform additional investigation to determine whether the prescriptions were issued for a legitimate medical purpose, as set forth in paragraphs 20 through 34, which are incorporated herein by reference.

#### DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

38. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Truong, Complainant alleges that on or about July 25, 2013, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2011 52553 to Respondent Truong for violation of Business and

Professions Code section 4301, subdivisions (f), unprofessional conduct: acts of moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud deceit or corruption, and subdivision (g), knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a fact; and Business and Professions Code section 4342 for drugs lacking quality and strength, and assessed a fine in the amount of \$2,500.00. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

39. The circumstances that led to the citation are that in January and February 2012, Respondent Truong was the pharmacist-in-charge at Santa Elena Pharmacy. On or about February 10, 2012, during a Board inspection, it was discovered that Santa Elena Pharmacy failed to reverse insurance claims for a patient who did not receive the medication the patient was charged for receiving. In addition, Santa Elena Pharmacy had several medications that were in repackaged bottles and vials with improper labels.

## OTHER MATTERS

40. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50751 issued to Spectrum Pharmacy Inc., DBA Spectrum – Anaheim, and Steven Dung Truong and/or Nina Thien-Nga Tran, while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of Spectrum Pharmacy Inc., DBA Spectrum – Anaheim, had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50751 issued to Spectrum Pharmacy Inc., DBA Spectrum – Anaheim was revoked, suspended or placed on probation, Steven Dung Truong, and/or Nina Thien-Nga Tran shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50751 issued to Spectrum Pharmacy Inc., DBA Spectrum – Anaheim is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50751 issued to Spectrum Pharmacy Inc., DBA Spectrum – Anaheim is reinstated if it is revoked.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: