	·		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MANUEL ARAMBULA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 289718		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2098		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10			
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5181	
13 14	LUCERO MICHEL RAMOS 4162 Chamoune Avenue San Diego, CA 92105	ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 125641		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18 19	Complainant alleges:	•	
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23			
24	2. On or about August 23, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
25	Registration Number TCH 125641 to Lucero Michel Ramos (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
26	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
27	herein and will expire on August 31, 2014, unless renewed.		
28			
		1	

Accusation

5

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25 26

27

28

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states that "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
  - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a

subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

## 7. Section 490 of the Code states:

- (a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this section has been made unclear by the holding in *Petropoulos* v. *Department of Real Estate* (2006) 142 Cal.App.4th 554, and that the holding in that case has placed a significant number of statutes and regulations in question, resulting in potential harm to the consumers of California from licensees who have been convicted of crimes. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that this section establishes an independent basis for a board to impose discipline upon a licensee, and that the amendments to this section made by Senate Bill 797 of the 2007 -08 Regular Session do not constitute a change to, but rather are declaratory of, existing law.

#### 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in 1 auestion. "As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' 2 and 'registration.' 3 4 REGULATORY PROVISIONS 5 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states: 6 For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the 7 Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially 8 related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or 9 registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. 10 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states: 11 12 13 (c) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been 14 convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria: 15 (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s). 16 (2) Total criminal record. (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s). 17 (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee. 18 (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee. 19 COST RECOVERY 20 11. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the 21 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of 22 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and 23 enforcement of the case. 24 25 /// 26 111 27 28

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (December 10, 2013 Criminal Conviction for

# Presenting a False Insurance Claim on January 4, 2012)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1), of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about February 4, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Lucero Michel Ramos*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number SC248612, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 550, subdivision (A)(4), Insurance Present False Info Supporting Claim, a felony reduced to a misdemeanor as part of a plea agreement.
- b. As a result of the conviction on February 4, 2014, Respondent was sentenced to three years summary probation, ordered to work 15 days in the Public Service Program, and pay restitution of \$13,973.50 to the victim of this crime.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about January 4, 2012, Respondent reported her vehicle stolen to the San Diego Police Department. She filed a police report stating that her vehicle had been taken from the front of her house at some time after arriving home from work on January 3, 2014, at approximately 10:00 P.M. Shortly thereafter, Respondent filed a claim with her insurance carrier and was paid \$2,338.23 on May 2, 2012 based on that claim.
- d. A subsequent investigation revealed that Respondent's vehicle had been found in Mexico by the Tijuana Police Department at 8:00 P.M. on January 3, 2014, a time when Respondent claimed to have been in possession of the vehicle. The vehicle had been found beside a road and burned. This investigation also revealed that Respondent's boyfriend, and a third codefendant, had made a trip to Tijuana just before the vehicle was found and returned to the United States soon after the car was found. Further, cell phone records showed Respondent was in contact with her boyfriend during the time the car was burned and abandoned. The investigation of this case determined that Respondent had conspired with her boyfriend and a third co-

1	defendant to destroy her car and make a false claim on her insurance policy for the loss of her	
2	vehicle.	
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
4	(Unprofessional Conduct – Commission of Acts Involving Moral Turpitude,	
5	Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit & Corruption)	
6	13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 of the Code in that on	
7	or about January 4, 2012, Respondent falsely reported to the San Diego Police Department that	
8	her vehicle had been stolen and subsequently filed a false insurance claim based on that police	
9	report with her insurance carrier, as detailed in paragraph 12, above.	
10		
11	PRAYER	
12	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
13	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
14	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 125641,	
15	issued to Lucero Michel Ramos	
16		
17	2. Ordering Lucero Michel Ramos to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of	
18	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
19	125.3;	
20	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
21		
22		
23	DATED: 7/11/14 Ougina Skedd	
24	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
25	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
26	State of California  Complainant	
27	SD2014707092	
28	70881443.docx	
- 1	6	