1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant	(TYYY)	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	To all Matter Calls Associated Associated	Case No. 4813	
12		ase No. 4813	
13	YEN K. BUI 9689 Carnation Avenue Fountain Valley, CA 02708	IRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
14	Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Pharmacy Technician License No. 55428	IKST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her		
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs.		
23	2. On or about March 8, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
24	License Number 55428 to Yen K. Bui (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License was		
25	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the c	narges brought herein and expired on	
26	September 30, 2013.		
27	111		
28	1		
	1	Accusation	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board). Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - Section 493 of the Code states: 8.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in 2 auestion. 3 As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.' 4 9. Section 4301 of the Code states: 5 The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty 6 of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is 7 not limited to, any of the following: 8 9 (i) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. 10 11 (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a 12 violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this 13 state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall 14 be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may 15 inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled 16 substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo 17 contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of 18 conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 19 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or 20 dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. 21 22 (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this 23 chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or 24 federal regulatory agency. 25 111 26 27

28

111

Accusation

licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUGS

- 15. Ketamine Is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (g), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 16. Methylenedioxy amphetamine, commonly known as Ecstasy (MDMA), is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11554(d)(6) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 30, 2013 Criminal Conviction for Sale or Transportation of a Controlled Substance – Ecstasy – on October 11, 2012)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 18. On or about May 30, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Yen Kim Bui, aka Yen Thi Kim Bui,* in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, West Justice Center, Case No. 12WF3025, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Health and Safety Code section 11379(a) (sale or transportation of a controlled

substance – ecstasy (MDMA)), a felony. Pursuant to a plea bargain, counts for violating Health and Safety Code sections 11378 (possession for sale of ecstasy (MDMA)), and 11379.2 (possession for sale of ketamine), felonies, were dismissed.

- 19. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on three years formal probation, ordered her to serve 365 days in the Orange County Jail, and stayed the jail sentence pending successful completion of probation. The Court also ordered Respondent to obey all laws, pay various fines and fees, provide a DNA sample and prints for the State DNA Database, register pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11590, complete 45 days Cal Trans service as directed by the Probation Department, submit person and property to search and seizure, cooperate with probation or any mandatory supervision officer in any plan for psychological, psychiatric, alcohol and/or drug treatment probation, not own, use or possess any type of dangerous or deadly weapon, disclose terms and conditions of probation when asked by any law enforcement or probation officer, and pay costs of supervision.
- 20. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on October 11, 2012, Westminster Police Department Officers served a search warrant issued by an Orange County Superior Court judge commanding the search of Respondent's vehicle, a maroon Scion XB, and her place of residence in Stanton, California. At approximately 1051 hours, officers conducted a traffic stop of Respondent's vehicle in the area of Beach and Chapman in Westminster, California. Respondent was the passenger in the vehicle that was being driven by a male. Officers conducted a search of the vehicle and Respondent's person and property pursuant to the warrant and located the controlled substance ecstasy (MDMA) in various forms, including pills, capsules and white powder. Respondent and her companion were arrested and transported to the Westminster Police Department for processing.
- 21. Officers then proceeded to Respondent's residence located on Santa Barbara Avenue in Stanton, California, to conduct a search. Officers used keys obtained from the vehicle to gain entry into the residence, where officers found more contraband including pills packaged in 17 separate baggies, consistent with packaging for selling the suspected ecstasy, 33 vials of Ketamine 10 ml. each, more ecstasy pills contained in a clear glass bakeware type pan, and

1	various drug paraphernalia, including a package of 1000 empty clear gel capsules, and \$2,720 in		
2	cash.		
3	22. Officers conducted an interview of Respondent prior to booking and Respondent		
4	admitted to officers that she sold drugs in large quantities "at the club."		
5	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
6	(Violation of California Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)		
7	23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and		
8	(o) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about October 11, 2012, Respondent		
9	violated Health and Safety Code section 11379(a) and Business and Professions Code section		
10	4060, as detailed at paragraphs 17-22, above, which are incorporated here by reference.		
11	PRAYER		
12	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
13	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
14	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number 55428, issued to		
15	Yen K. Bui		
16	2. Ordering Yen K. Bui to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the		
17	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section		
18	125.3;		
19	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
20	DATED: 3/13/14 VIRGINIA MEROLD		
21	DATED: 3/13/14 Jugina Leidel VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer		
22	Board of Pharmacy		
23	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
24	Complainant		
25	SD2013705571		
26	70762540.docx		
27			
28			

Accusation

ŀ			
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4813		
13	YEN K. BUI		
14	9689 Carnation Avenue Fountain Valley, CA 92708 A C C U S A T I O N		
15	Pharmacy Technician License No. 55428		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19			
20	PARTIES 1. Virginia Harold (Complainant) beings this Assess is a little of the control of the c		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about March 8, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
23	of the state of th		
24	License Number 55428 to Yen K. Bui (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician License was		
25	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on		
26	September 30, 2013.		
l			
27			
28			
	1 Accusation		

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

111

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - Section 493 of the Code states: 8.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

///

27 | //

24

25

26

28 | //

Accusation

licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUGS

15. Methylenedioxy amphetamine, commonly known as Ecstasy (MDMA), is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11554(d)(6) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Illegal Possession of Controlled Substances -Ecstasy - on January 31, 2013)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 17. On or about May 30, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Brandon Abille Fulinara*, in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, North Justice Center, Case No. 13NF0710, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377(a) (possession of controlled substances ecstasy (MDMA)), a felony. Pursuant to a plea bargain, counts for violating Health and Safety Code sections 11378 (possession for sale of ecstasy (MDMA)), and 11379(a) (possession for sale of ecstasy (MDMA)), felonies, were dismissed.

- 3 4 5 6 10
- 13 14

12

16

15

18

17

- 19 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

111

- As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on three years formal probation, ordered her to serve 365 days in the Orange County Jail, and stayed the jail sentence pending successful completion of probation. The Court also ordered Respondent to obey all laws. pay various fines and fees, provide a DNA sample and prints for the State DNA Database, register pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11590, complete 45 days Cal Trans service as directed by the Probation Department, submit person and property to search and seizure, cooperate with probation or any mandatory supervision officer in any plan for psychological, psychiatric, alcohol and/or drug treatment probation, not own, use or possess any type of dangerous or deadly weapon, disclose terms and conditions of probation when asked by any law enforcement or probation officer, and pay costs of supervision.
- The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on October 11, 2012, Westminster Police Department Officers served a search warrant issued by an Orange County Superior Court judge commanding the search of Respondent's vehicle, a maroon Scion XB, and her place of residence in Stanton, California. At approximately 1051 hours, officers conducted a traffic stop of Respondent's vehicle in the area of Beach and Chapman in Westminster, California. Respondent was the passenger in the vehicle that was being driven by a male. Officers conducted a search of the vehicle and Respondent's person and property pursuant to the warrant and located the controlled substance ecstasy (MDMA) in various forms, including pills, capsules and white powder. Respondent and her companion were arrested and transported to the Westminster Police Department for processing.
- Officers then proceeded to Respondent's residence located on Santa Barbara Avenue in Stanton, California, to conduct a search. Officers used keys obtained from the vehicle to gain entry into the residence, where officers found more contraband including pills packaged in 17 separate baggies, consistent with packaging for selling the suspected ecstasy, 33 vials of Ketamine 10 ml. each, more ecstasy pills contained in a clear glass bakeware type pan, and various drug paraphernalia, including a package of 1000 empty clear gel capsules, and \$2,720 in cash.

1	21. Officers conducted an interview of Respondent prior to booking and Respondent	
2	admitted to officers that she sold drugs in large quantities "at the club."	
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
4	(Violation of California Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)	
5	22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and	
6	(o) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about October 11, 2012, Respondent	
7	violated Health and Safety Code section 11379(a) and Business and Professions Code section	
8	4060, as detailed at paragraphs 16-21, above, which are incorporated here by reference.	
9	PRAYER	
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
11	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
12	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number 55428, issued to	
13	Yen K. Bui	
14	2. Ordering Yen K. Bui to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the	
15	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
16	125.3;	
17	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
18	DATES 11/1/10 1)	
19	DATED: 11413 VIRGINIA NEROLD	
20	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
21	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
22	Complainant	
23	SD2013705571	
24	70762540.docx	
25		
26		
27		
28		