1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
-6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266
. 7	Telephone: (619) 645-2610 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4719
13	RIAN ROBERT HOOVER 7235 Charmant Drive, #826
14	San Diego, CA 92122 A C C U S A T I O N
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 107183
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as
21	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about February 16, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration Number TCH 107183 to Rian Robert Hoover (Respondent). The Pharmacy
24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
25	herein and will expire on June 30, 2014, unless renewed.
26	111
27	111
28	1//
	1

Accusation

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20° 21°

22

2:3

24

25

2627

-,

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."

5. Section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490. Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

7. Section 490 of the Code states:

- (a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal,

or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

(d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this section has been made unclear by the holding in Petropoulos v. Department of Real Estate (2006) 142 Cal.App.4th 554, and that the holding in that case has placed a significant number of statutes and regulations in question, resulting in potential harm to the consumers of California from licensees who have been convicted of crimes. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that this section establishes an independent basis for a board to impose discipline upon a licensee, and that the amendments to this section made by Senate Bill 797 of the 2007-2008 Regular Session.

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(February 15, 2013 Criminal Conviction for Assault With a Semi-Automatic Firearm and for Drawing or Exhibiting Firearm in Presence of Motor Vehicle Occupant on September 8, 2012)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 14. On or about February 15, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Rian R. Hoover*, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Central Division, in Case No. SCD243175, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code sections 245(b) (assault with semi-automatic firearm) and 417.3 (drawing or exhibiting firearm in presence of motor vehicle occupant), felonies. As a result of a plea agreement, a count for violating Penal Code section 25850(a) (carrying a loaded firearm on one's person) was dismissed.
- 15. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was placed on two years probation and ordered to serve 90 days in a work furlough program. The plea agreement also included that after successful completion of the two years probation, the Court would allow Respondent to withdraw his plea as to count one (Penal Code section 245(b)) and that charge would be dismissed.
- 16. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on September 8, 2012, at approximately 12:00 a.m., San Diego Police Department Officers responded to a report of a road rage incident involving a silver Dodge and another vehicle in the area of 4300 La Jolla Village