1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 91740 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5556 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480							
3								
4 5								
6	Attorneys for Complainant							
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS							
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4514							
11	JACOB GUILLERMO GONZALEZ							
12	Hayward, CA 94545 A C C U S A T I O N							
13	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 114244							
14	Respondent.							
15								
16								
17	Complainant alleges:							
18	PARTIES							
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capac	city						
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.							
21	2. On or about August 26, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician							
22	Registration Number TCH 114244 to Jacob Guillermo Gonzalez (Respondent). The Pharmacy							
23	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought							
24	herein and will expire on June 30, 2013, unless renewed.							
25	JURISDICTION							
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of							
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the							
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.							

4. Section 490 of the Business and Professions Code, in pertinent part, states:

"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

. . .

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

. .

5. Section 493 of the Business and Professions Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

6. Section 4300 of the Business and Professions Code, in pertinent part, provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked and provides that the Board shall

discipline a licensee whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:

- "(1) Suspending judgment.
- "(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
- "(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
- "(4) Revoking his or her license.
- "(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.

. .

7. Section 4301 of the Business and Professions Code, in pertinent part, states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. .

- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

1.5

to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order

8. Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code, in pertinent part, provides that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction of a Crime)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301(l) for unprofessional conduct in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances surrounding this conviction are as follows:
- a. On or about August 21, 2012, in Alameda County Superior Court Case No. 441967 entitled *People of the State of California v. Jacob Guillermo Gonzalez* respondent was convicted by his plea of no contest to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) for driving with a blood alcohol level of 0.08 percent or higher with a special enhancement for a Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) over 0.15%, a misdemeanor.

1	b. On or about April 1, 2012, at approximately 0156 hours, an Alameda County							
2	Sheriff's Deputy observed a vehicle stopped at the bottom of an off ramp 15 feet beyond the limit							
3	line at the bottom of the off ramp. Upon approaching the vehicle, the deputy discovered that the							
4	vehicle's motor was still running and he found the respondent in the driver seat, slouched back							
5	apparently unconscious until the deputy opened the driver's side door. When the deputy contacted							
6	respondent, he noticed that the vehicle was in gear and respondent's foot was on the brake. The							
7	deputy directed respondent to put the vehicle in park and turn off the ignition. The respondent							
8	released the brake and the vehicle began to roll onto the street but respondent was able to reapply							
9	the brake and the deputy assisted the respondent in turning off the vehicle. The deputy noticed							
0	that respondent's eyes were red and watery and his speech was slurred. The deputy could smell							
1	the odor of an alcoholic beverage on respondent's breath and person. The respondent admitted to							
2	the deputy that he had consumed alcoholic beverages few hours prior to this traffic stop. Based							
3	on these observations, the deputy conducted a series of Field Sobriety Tests (FSTs) which the							
4	respondent failed to perform satisfactory. Also, the deputy administered a Preliminary Alcohol							
5	Screening (PAS) Test, which resulted in a Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) of 0.180% at							
6	0220 hours and 0.175% at 0221 hours. Based on respondent's performance on the FSTs, the							
7	deputy formed the opinion that respondent was driving while under the influence of an alcoholic							
8	beverage. Respondent was subsequently arrested and transported to the county jail where he							
9	submitted to a blood test. Respondent was booked into the county jail for violating Vehicle Code							
0	section 23152(b) - Blood Alcohol Above .08%, a misdemeanor. Respondent's blood test reported							
1	a BAC of 0.20%.							
ີ	C On or about August 21, 2012, the respondent was placed on probation for three years.							

c. On or about August 21, 2012, the respondent was placed on probation for three years and was ordered to enroll and complete a nine month Driving Under the Influence School, ordered to serve five days in the county jail, and ordered to pay fines and fees totaling \$1,810.00.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction of a Crime)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301(1) for unprofessional conduct in that he was convicted of a crime substantially

related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances surrounding this conviction are as follows:

- a. On or about September 25, 2012, in Alameda County Superior Court Case No. 439491 entitled *People of the State of California v. Jacob Guillermo Gonzalez* respondent was convicted by his plea of no contest to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) for driving with a blood alcohol level of 0.08 percent or higher, a misdemeanor.
- b. On or about December 1, 2011, at approximately 0115 hours California Highway
 Patrol officers conducted an enforcement stop in Alameda County after they observed respondent
 stop his vehicle past the stop limit line approximately three feet into the bicycle lane. Upon
 making contact with respondent the one of the officers could smell the odor of an alcoholic
 beverage emitting from the interior of the vehicle, as well as from respondent's breath and person.
 The officer also noticed that the respondent's eyes were red and watery and his speech was
 slurred. The Respondent told the officer that he had consumed three beers earlier in the evening.

The officer administered a series of Field Sobriety Tests (FSTs), which respondent failed to perform as explained and demonstrated. Also, respondent submitted to a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) Test, which resulted in a Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) of 0.151% at 0130 hours and 0.144% at 0132 hours. Based on respondent's driving ability, his objective symptoms of intoxication, his performance on the FSTs and his results on the PAS test, the officer formed the opinion that respondent was driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage. Respondent was subsequently arrested and transported to the county jail where he submitted to a blood test. Respondent was booked into the county jail for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) – DUI Alcohol/Drugs, a misdemeanor. Respondent's blood test reported a BAC of 0.17%.

c. On or about September 25, 2012, the respondent was placed on court probation for three years and was ordered to enroll and complete a three month Driving Under the Influence School, ordered to serve two days in the county jail, and ordered to pay fines and fees totaling \$1,961.00.

1

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct- Use of Alcohol in a dangerous manner)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301(h) for unprofessional conduct in that on December 1, 2011 and on April 1, 2012 he used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to any other person or to the public, as set forth in paragraphs 9 and 10, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Alcohol Related Conviction)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301(k) for unprofessional conduct in that on August 21, 2012 and on September 25, 2012, he was convicted of one or more misdemeanors involving the use of alcoholic beverages, as set forth in paragraphs 9 and 10, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 114244, issued to Jacob Guillermo Gonzalez;
- Ordering Jacob Guillermo Gonzalez to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3, Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary, and proper.

DATED:	3	21	13		Wen	سم	,
				VII	CINA	HEROLD	

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SF2012403511 40629486.doc

28