| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst | |
|----|---|------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 | |
| 6 | P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 | |
| 7 | Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE | |
| 10 | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | |
| 11 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case | e No. 4466 |
| 13 | | CUSATION |
| 14 | 2287 Spring Oak Way San Diego, CA 92139 | |
| 15 | Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 45656 | |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | Kespondent. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 20 | PARTIES | |
| 21 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity | |
| 22 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. | |
| 23 | 2. On or about November 7, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician | |
| 24 | Registration Number TCH 45656 to Edgar Hernandez, also known as Edgar Aguirre Hernandez | |
| 25 | (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times | |
| 26 | relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2012, unless renewed. | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 1 | |

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

///

, || , ,

25

26

27 | //

28 || ///

number M124126, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 415, subdivision (1), unlawfully fighting in a public place, a misdemeanor, a lesser-included offense of the original count of vandalism under \$400 (Pen. Code, § 594(a)(b)(2)(A), which was dismissed by the court.

- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about February 4, 2011, Respondent was granted three years summary probation, ordered to pay fines, fees, and restitution, and to comply with probation terms.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about the early morning of December 24, 2010, a patrol officer with the San Diego Police Department responded to a report of a disturbance at a gas station. Officers on scene took statements from witnesses and learned that a group of college students had hired a driver to transport them from a downtown San Diego night club to their residences. Respondent, who was a passenger, started spitting in the van and refused to stop. No one knew who Respondent was. The driver stopped and told Respondent to get out and call a cab. Respondent became angry and began hitting and kicking the van. Another passenger attempted to stop Respondent, and was punched in the face by Respondent. Officers described Respondent as extremely intoxicated; he could barely stand or walk on his own. Respondent was arrested for being drunk in public and vandalism.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 12, 2012 Criminal Conviction for DUI with Two Prior DUI's on May 27, 2012)

- 14. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about July 12, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Edgar Aguirre Hernandez*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M152436, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more, a misdemeanor. The court found true the allegations that Respondent was previously convicted of the same offense on March 25, 2009, and November 13, 2007. The court dismissed an

additional count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol, pursuant to a plea agreement. The court certified Respondent's BAC as .09 percent.

- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about July 12, 2012, Respondent was granted five years summary probation, and ordered to serve 120 days in custody. Respondent was further ordered to complete a Multiple Conviction Program, attend a MADD Victim Impact Panel session, and pay fines, fees, and restitution. Respondent was required to install an ignition interlock device on his vehicle.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about 1:30 in the morning, Respondent was stopped at a DUI checkpoint conducted by the San Diego Police Department. Respondent told the officer he had not consumed any alcohol, however, the officer could smell the odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from Respondent. Respondent submitted to a series of field sobriety tests, none of which he was able to perform as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent provided two breath samples which were analyzed by the preliminary alcohol screening devices with a BAC of .09 percent. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

15. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about May 27, 2012, he was impaired by alcohol while operating a motor vehicle, as detailed in paragraph 14, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Alcohol-Related Convictions)

16. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he was convicted of three alcohol-related misdemeanors as described in paragraphs 14 and 17.

27 | ///

28 | ///

17. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges:

a. On or about November 13, 2007, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *People* of the State of California vs. Edgar Aguirre Hernandez, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M017808, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more, a misdemeanor.

b. On or about March 25, 2009, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Edgar Aguirre Hernandez*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number M071812, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more, a misdemeanor.

c. On or about July 16, 2010, the Board issued Citation No. CI 2008 39470 to Respondent and imposed an \$800 fine for violating Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (h), use of alcohol in a dangerous manner; and section 4301, subdivision (l), conviction of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee.

/// ///

·

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

PRAYER

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 45656, issued to Edgar Hernandez, also known as Edgar Aguirre Hernandez;
- 2. Ordering Edgar Hernandez to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 1/18/13 VIGINIAHEROLD

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

State of Cantornia Complainant

SD2012704359