1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. RIGLEY State Bar No. 131800 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
4			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 620-2558		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALID	FORNIA	
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4400	
12	ANTONIO MADRID	ACCUSATION	
13	403 Ruby Ave Redlands, CA 92374		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 79877		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about January 7, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
22	Technician Registration No. TCH 79877 to Antonio Madrid (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
23	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
24	herein and will expire on June 30, 2013, unless renewed.		
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
28	111		
	1		

///

4. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b) provides, in pertinent part that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
  - 6. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 7. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

5

7

9

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts,

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances

1 | 0 | q | q | 3 | a | 4 | 0 | 5 | ju | 5 | 6 | s | 7 | tl | 8 | g | g | ii |

. . . .

III

or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

# REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

#### COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

# 

# 

#### 

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Convictions of Substantially Related Crime)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician. On or about April 16, 1996, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [petty theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Antonio Madrid* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1996, No. 6CR00215). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 5 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 12 months probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 15, 1996, Respondent entered a Thrifty's Store in Los Angeles, CA. He was observed taking an item and attempting to leave the store without paying for it. Respondent was detained and a bottle of whiskey that he had concealed in his front waistband was recovered.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on or about April 15, 1996, Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, as though set forth fully.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), in that Respondent used alcoholic beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself, another person, or the public, as follows:
- a. On or about June 11, 2011, during an investigation by the California Highway Patrol, Respondent was contacted. While speaking to Respondent the officer detected a strong odor of

an alcoholic beverage emitting from his breath. He was observed to have red watery eyes. When asked if he had consumed alcohol, Respondent admitted to having consumed a pint of beer earlier that night. While at the scene, Respondent submitted to two Preliminary Alcohol Screening Tests that resulted in a breath alcohol content level of 0.17% on both readings. On or about October 12, 2011, a criminal case was filed against Respondent, charging him with one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs], one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood], and one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a) [driving while driving privileges are suspended or revoked with knowledge] in the criminal proceeding *The People of the State of California v. Antonio Madrid* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1GN03794). Respondent failed to appear on November 1, 2011 and the Court issued an arrest warrant for \$26,000. As of January 10, 2013, the warrant is still outstanding.

#### **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

# (Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact to Licensing Authority)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g), in that on or about October 23, 2007, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact to the Board by failing to disclose his 1996 conviction on his initial application for licensure. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, as though set forth fully.

#### **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

- 14. In Order to determine the degree of discipline, if any to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges, as follows:
- a. On or about February 8, 2011, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Antonio Madrid (*Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2011, No. TWV1002562). The Court ordered pronouncement of judgment withheld and conditional and