| 1 | Kamala D. Harris | | |
|----|--|---------------|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General NANCY A. KAISER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 192083 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | Telephone: (213) 897-5794 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 | • | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE | | |
| 10 | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | | |
| 11 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 4376 | |
| 13 | BRANDI RENEE GUTIERREZ | ACCUSATION | |
| 14 | 1930 W. College Ave., #45 San Bernardino, CA 92407 | | |
| 15 | Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 81632 | | |
| 16 | Respondent. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | Complainant alleges: | | |
| 20 | <u>PARTIES</u> | | |
| 21 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity | | |
| 22 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. | | |
| 23 | 2. On or about March 5, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy | | |
| 24 | Technician Registration No. TCH 81632 to Brandi Renee Gutierrez (Respondent). The Pharmacy | | |
| 25 | Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought | | |
| 26 | herein and expired on September 30, 2012, was cancelled, and has not been renewed. | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| I | I . | | |

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300.1 states that:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 6. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code."

`28

7. Section 492 states, in pertinent part:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

- 8. Section 4300 provides that, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 9. Section 4301 of the states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

9

1213

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

. . . .

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a

licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

12. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

- a. "Hydrocodone/APAP," is a Schedule II controlled narcotic substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(5) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- b. "Methamphetamine," is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- c. "Tylenol with Codeine," is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(2) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (I), and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that, Respondent was convicted of crimes and substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about January 19, 2012, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 470, subdivision (d) [forgery] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Brandi Renee Gutierrez* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2012, No. FSB1101306). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 120 days in county jail and placed her on 36 months probation, with terms and conditions. On or

 about May 17, 2012, the Court revoked Respondent's probation for violation of probation term number 1 (serve 120 days in jail), and reinstated her probation and modified the sentence to serve 180 days in jail as probation term number 1.

- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 10, 2011, Respondent's co-conspirator, Lenny Garcia, entered Nader's Market, in Loma Linda, California, and attempted to cash a fraudulent payroll check at Respondent's direction. Respondent was found in possession of several of the fraudulent payroll checks that were in her name.
- c. On or about October 11, 2011, after pleading guilty, the Court entered a deferred entry of judgment under Penal Code section 1000 for one felony count of violating Health and Safety code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance, Methamphetamine] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Brandi Renee Gutierrez* (Super. Ct. Riverside County, 2011, No. BAF1100570). The Court placed Respondent on probation for 18 months and to complete a drug diversion program. On or about July 11, 2012, the Court terminated the deferred entry of judgment for Respondent's violation of the diversion program and resumed the criminal proceedings. The Court convicted Respondent for violating Health and Safety code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance, Methamphetamine], placed her on probation for 36 months and ordered her to complete a substance abuse program pursuant to Penal Code section 1210 (Prop 36).
- d. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 6, 2011, during an investigation by the Riverside County Sheriff's Department, Respondent was contacted. The officer conducted a records check and was advised that Respondent had an active felony arrest warrant issued. Respondent was arrested for the outstanding warrant. During the booking procedure, the officer asked Respondent if she had anything illegal on her person. Respondent stated she had a "pipe", and a bag of "dope", hidden in her bra. When asked what she had in her bra, she replied, "speed." When asked if by "speed" she meant Methamphetamine, Respondent replied, "yes." When asked how long she had been using methamphetamine, she stated she had been using it every day since approximately January 2010. Respondent was charged with violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

substance] and Health and Safety Code section 11364 [possession of controlled substance paraphernalia].

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use/Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance)

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) and (i), in that, Respondent used and/or was under the influence of a controlled substance, as follows:
- On or about May 4, 2011, during an investigation by the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department, Respondent was contacted. While speaking to Respondent, she appeared to be nervous, was very fidgety and could not keep her hands still. She was observed to have rapid eyelid tremors and her tongue had a white coating. The officer told Respondent that she appeared to be under the influence of a controlled substance and asked if she had ever used illegal narcotics. She indicated that she had used narcotics several years ago. Upon further investigation, Respondent stated, "okay, I smoked meth earlier in the day." When asked how much Methamphetamine she had smoked, she said "a twenty sack," which is approximately 0.2 grams. Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance]. During a search of her purse, the officer found a pink plastic container with numerous pills that included seven hydrocodone/APAP and two Tylenol 3 with Codeine pills and one Trazadone HCI pill without precriptions. Respondent told officers that they were prescribed to her for stomach pain. During the booking procedure, Respondent submitted to a blood test that tested positive for Amphetamines.
- Subsequently, on or about July 6, 2011, criminal charges were filed in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Brandi Renee Gutierrez (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2011, No. MSB1102038), for violating one misdemeanor count of Health and Safety Code section 11550(A) (under the influence of a controlled substance) and one misdemeanor count of Business and Professions Code section 4060 (unlawful possession of a controlled substance, hydrocodone/APAP, codeine, and Trazadone HCI, without a prescription.) On or about January 19, 2012, the case was dismissed due to plea negotiation on case FSB1101306.

3

8

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession of a Controlled Substance)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating statutes of this state regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as follows:
- a. On or about May 4, 2011, Respondent was found to be in unlawful possession of controlled substances, namely, Methamphetamine, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a), and hydrocodone/APAP, Tylenol with Codeine, and Trazadone HCI without a prescription, in violation of section 4060. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraphs (c) and (d), as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about October 6, 2011, Respondent was found to be in unlawful possession of a controlled substance, namely, Methamphetamine, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance] and Health and Safety Code section 11364 [possession of controlled substance paraphernalia]. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraphs (c) and (d), as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on or about February 10, 2011, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraphs (a) and (b), as though set forth fully.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Forged Checks)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g), in that on or about February 10, 2011, Respondent knowingly made or signed any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts when she

| 1 | committed forgery. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set fort | |
|----------|---|--|
| 2 | above in paragraph 13, subparagraphs (a) and (b), as though set forth fully. | |
| 3 | <u>PRAYER</u> | |
| 4 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | |
| 5 | and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision: | |
| 6 | 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 81632, issued | |
| 7 | to Brandi Renee Gutierrez; | |
| 8 | 2. Ordering Brandi Renee Gutierrez to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the | |
| 9 | investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and | |
| 10 | 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | DATED: 7/23/13 VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs | |
| 15 | State of California Complainant | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | LA2012507236 51265031_2.doc | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |