1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2.	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336		
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141		
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
		RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4185	
12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
13	THUY VO-NGUYEN 15111 Bushard Street #9	ACCUSATION	
14	Westminster, CA 92683		
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 60854		
16	Respondent.		
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1.e Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacitye		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2.e On or about March 28, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Licensee		
22	Number RPH 60854 to Thuy Vo-Nguyen (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full		
23	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31,		
24	2013, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3.e This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department ofe		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.		
1	1		

- 17. Triazolam, sold commercially as Halcion, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(30), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 18. Olanzapine, sold commercially as Zyprexia, is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Respondent began employment as a pharmacist with a Visalia, California Wal-Mart on April 5, 2010. In a letter to the Board received on April 26, 2011, the pharmacist in charge (PIC) of the Wal-Mart advised the Board that Respondent's employment had been terminated for "gross misconduct/integrity" on March 29, 2011. Based on an internal investigation conducted by Wal-Mart, it was determined that Respondent forged "phoned-in" prescriptions and fraudulently obtained controlled substances over a five-month period. The circumstances are as follows:
- 20. On October 10, 2010, Respondent filled a "phoned-in" prescription for herself for 30 tablets of Restoril 30 mg. (It is Wal-Mart policy that pharmacists are not permitted to fill their own prescriptions.) Respondent prepared a Telephone Prescription form and entered the name of a Visalia physician as the authorizing prescriber. Respondent obtained four refills of the prescription on November 7, 2010, December 10, 2010, January 8, 2011, and February 21, 2011. Respondent diverted a total 150 tablets of Restoril 30 mg.
- 21. On November 7, 2010, Respondent filled a phoned-in prescription for herself for 30 tablets of Halcion .25 mg. Respondent prepared a Telephone Prescription form and used the name of the same Visalia physician to authorize the prescription. Respondent refilled the prescription on December 18, 2010. Respondent diverted a total 60 tablets of Halcion .25 mg.
- 22. On March 25, 2011, Respondent prepared a Telephone Prescription form for 30 tablets of Zyprexia 20 mg., and 60 tablets of Halcion .25 mg. and made an entry in the pharmacy computer for the order. A pharmacy technician who intercepted the order did not feel the prescription made sense and refused to fill it. The pharmacy technician notified the PIC of the

discrepancy. The PIC attempted to locate the suspect prescriptions, but found that the original prescription copy was missing and the computer entry had been deleted.

- 23. On March 28, 2011, the PIC spoke to the doctor whose name Respondent used to authorize her prescriptions. In a letter dated March 29, 2011, the doctor confirmed that he had never written or approved any prescriptions for Respondent, and that she was never a patient at his clinic.
- 24. On March 29, 2011, Respondent met with Wal-Mart management and she was questioned about the prescriptions. Respondent admitted she forged prescriptions to obtain controlled substances for her own use. Respondent also admitted that she had never been a patient of the physician whose name she used to authorize the prescriptions.
- 25. An investigator from the Board contacted Respondent by telephone on May 17, 2011, identified himself, and explained that he was conducting the interview as part of his investigation. Respondent denied doing anything illegal. Respondent stated that the prescriptions for herself were all authorized by the Visalia physician and that she was his legitimate patient. Respondent stated that she had been terminated based on false information. In a subsequent interview, Respondent recanted her earlier statement and told the Board investigator that she had created fraudulent prescriptions in order to obtain the controlled substances for sleep and stress.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Commission of Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud & Deceit)

26. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code in that on or about and between October 10, 2010 and March 25, 2011, Respondent diverted, or attempted to divert controlled substances and dangerous drugs from her employer using fraud, deceit, and dishonesty, as detailed in paragraphs 19-25, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Knowingly Making a False Document)

27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g) of the Code in that on or about and between October 10, 2010 and March 25, 2011, Respondent

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 60854, issued to Thuy Vo-1. Nguyen;
- 2. Ordering Thuy Vo-Nguyen to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	1/2/12	()irainia	Dud
		VIRGINIA/HEROLD	

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2011801276

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28