1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTONIO LOPEZ, JR. Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 · 12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4035
12	ARMANDO BANUELOS 2744 E. Brookside Street Optoria CA 01761
13	Ontario, CA 91761 A C C U S A T I O N
. 15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 98676
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about April 14, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 98676 to Armando Banuelos (Respondent). The Pharmacy
_ 24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
25	herein and will expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed.
26	///
27	///
28	
	1
	Accusation

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender,
cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed
with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored,
reissued or reinstated.

10

1

2

3

4

5

5. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part:

"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a
board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a
crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business
or profession for which the license was issued.

15 "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to
16 discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under
17 subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties
18 of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a
conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take
following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or
the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is
made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the
provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

25 6. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is
26 subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

7. Section 4301 provides, in pertinent part:

28

27

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional

conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and "(1) 8 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 9 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 10 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 11 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 12 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 13 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 14 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 15 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 16 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 17 18 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 19 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 20 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 21 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 22 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 23 indictment. 24

•

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the
violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable
federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by

3

Accusation

1	the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."
2	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
3	8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, provides, in pertinent part:
4	"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
5	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
6	crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
7	licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
8	licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
9	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."
10	COST RECOVERY
11	9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
12	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
13	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
14	enforcement of the case.
15	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Conviction of a Substantially-Related Crime)
1	
17	10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, and 4301, subdivision
17 18	 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent
18	(l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent
18 19	(l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
18 19 20	(l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
18 19 20 21	 (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows: a. On or about November 09, 2010, after pleading <i>nolo contendere</i>, Respondent was
18 19 20 21 22	 (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows: a. On or about November 09, 2010, after pleading <i>nolo contendere</i>, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 236 [false imprisonment by
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows: a. On or about November 09, 2010, after pleading <i>nolo contendere</i>, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 236 [false imprisonment by violence], in the criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the State of California v. Armando</i>
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows: a. On or about November 09, 2010, after pleading <i>nolo contendere</i>, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 236 [false imprisonment by violence], in the criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the State of California v. Armando Banuelos</i> (Super. Ct. Fresno County, 2010, No. F10903181). The court sentenced Respondent to
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows: a. On or about November 09, 2010, after pleading <i>nolo contendere</i>, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 236 [false imprisonment by violence], in the criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the State of California v. Armando Banuelos</i> (Super. Ct. Fresno County, 2010, No. F10903181). The court sentenced Respondent to 90 days in jail, placed him on probation for a period of 2 years, and fined him. The circumstances
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows: a. On or about November 09, 2010, after pleading <i>nolo contendere</i>, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 236 [false imprisonment by violence], in the criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the State of California v. Armando Banuelos</i> (Super. Ct. Fresno County, 2010, No. F10903181). The court sentenced Respondent to 90 days in jail, placed him on probation for a period of 2 years, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 10, 2009, a Fresno State Police Officer,

	ъ.
1	Respondent was later identified by the victim, as being the individual male who, while inside an
2	elevator, touched her breasts, buttocks, and vaginal area against her will for sexual gratification
3	and arousal. Respondent physically pushed the victim and held her inside the elevator against her
4	will. Respondent was subsequently convicted of violating Penal Code section 236 [false
5	imprisonment by violence.]
6	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit)
8	11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in
9	that Respondent was convicted of a crime involving a dishonest act on or about November 09,
10	2010. Complainant refers to and incorporates all the allegations contained in paragraph 10 above,
11	subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
12	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Unprofessional Conduct)
14	12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301,
15	subdivision (0), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed an act
16	and was convicted of a crime on or about November 09, 2010, that violated the pharmacy act.
17	Complainant refers to and incorporates all the allegations contained in paragraph 10 above,
18	subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
19	
20	
21	111
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5

,

ļ	
. 1	PRAYER
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
3	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
4	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 98676,
5	issued to Armando Banuelos;
6	2. Ordering Armando Banuelos to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
7	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
8	125.3; and
. 9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
10	
11	DATED: 7/10/12 Ungine Head
12	VIRGINIA/HEROLD Executive Officer
13	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
14	State of California Complainant
15	LA2011600562
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6
	Accusation