1	Edmund G. Brown Jr.
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. COOK
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
13	ANTHONY J. KAMEL
14	A C C U S A T I O N Irvine, CA 92615
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH
16	62157
17	Respondent.
18	
19	Complainant alleges:
20	PARTIES
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
23	2. On or about April 27, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
24	Registration Number TCH 62157 to Anthony J. Kamel (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
26	will expire on February 28, 2011, unless renewed.
27	
28	
	1
ĺ	Accusation

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
6	surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a
7	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued
8	or reinstated.
9	5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be
10	suspended or revoked."
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
12	6. Section 482 of the Code states:
13	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to
14	evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
15	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
16	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
17	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.
18	
19	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or
20	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially
21	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the
22	license was issued.
23	8. Section 493 of the Code states:
24	
25	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or
26	to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has
27	been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be
28	conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,
	2
	Accusation

.

Accusation

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4060 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

·18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . . .

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
9	11. Health and Safety Code section 11350 provides that every person who possesses a
10	controlled substance, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or
11	veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state
12	prison.
13	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
14	<u>KEGULAIOKI IKOVISIONS</u>
15	12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
16	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business
17	and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to
18	the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to
19	perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
20	
21	13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
22	
23	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been
24	convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
25	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
26	(2) Total criminal record.
27	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
28	
ļ	4
	Accusatio

Accusation

1	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
2	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
3	COST RECOVERY
4	14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request
5	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
6	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
7	and enforcement of the case.
8	DRUGS
9	15. Oxycontin, the controlled-release oral formulation of Oxycodone, is a Schedule II
10	controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision
11	(b)(1)(N), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
12	16. Hydrocodone is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and
13	Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(J), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions
14	Code section 4022. Hydrocodone is a narcotic pain reliever.
15	17. Diazepam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety
16	Code section 11057, and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
17	4022.
18	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(October 2, 2009 Conviction for Possession of Oxycontin on December 17, 2008)
20	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section under sections 490 and
21	4301(1) of the Code in that he was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the
22	qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
23	a. On or about April 7, 2009, in a six count felony complaint, in a criminal
24	proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. Anthony Joe Kamel, in Orange County
25	Superior Court case number 09HF0612, Respondent was charged with 3 counts of violation of
26	Health and Safety Code section 11351, possession for sale of a controlled substance, 2 counts of
27	violation of Health and Safety Code section 11352, sale or transportation of a controlled
28	
	5

substance, and 1 count of violation of Health and Safety Code section 11378, possession for sale
 of a controlled substance. On or about October 2, 2009, Respondent pled guilty to an added
 count 7, violation of Health and Safety Code section 11350, possession of controlled substances
 or narcotics and the other counts were dismissed. In his guilty plea, Respondent admitted that he
 "willfully and unlawfully possessed a useable amount of Oxycontin."

6

7

8

9

10

11

b. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about December 17, 2008, officers from the Orange County Sheriff's Department narcotic detail served a search warrant on Respondent's business, vehicles, residence, and person. Upon serving the search warrant, a Police Investigator asked Respondent if there were any illegal narcotics inside his business to which Respondent replied, "no." When asked if there were any weapons inside the business, Respondent stated, "I don't think so."

c. Officers searched the business and discovered 1 loaded shotgun, 6 boxes of 12 ammunition, 10 shotgun shells, currency, approximately 10 empty new pill bottles, 1 page of 13 labels for various pills, and the following drugs, all of which are either controlled substances or 14 dangerous drugs: 2 bottles containing sixty Aggrenox capsules, 3 bottles of Alubterol, 2 bottles of 15 Hydrocodone (one bottle with approximately 500 pills and the other with approximately 400 16 17 pills), 1 bottle containing approximately 900 Carisprodol pills, 1 sealed bottle containing 1000 Diazepam pills, 1 bottle with approximately 18 Subutex pills, 1 bottle containing 16 Adderal pills, 18 19 1 bottle containing 2 Hydrocodone pills, 1 bottle containing 5 Oxycontin pills. Upon searching the first vehicle, the investigators discovered 1 bottle containing 88 Oxycontin pills, 2 bottles 20 containing 500 Hydrocodone pills each, 1 empty bottle of Oxycontin, 4 pay/owe sheets, and 21 currency. The search of the second vehicle revealed 1 bottle containing Oxycontin pills. Upon 22 searching Respondent's residence, \$5,455 in cash was stashed in various locations. 23 d. On or about January 8, 2010, Respondent was sentenced to serve 45 days in

d. On or about January 8, 2010, Respondent was sentenced to serve 45 days ir
city jail or pay-to-stay jail, required to pay all fines, fees and restitution, and three years of
probation.

- 27
- 28

6

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Unprofessional Conduct – Violating State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)
3	19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section
4	4301(j) of the Code in that on or about December 17, 2008, Respondent possessed Oxycontin, a
5	controlled substance in violation of Code section 4060 and the California Uniform Controlled
6	Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11350), as detailed in paragraph 16, above, which is
7	incorporated herein by reference.
8	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Unprofessional Conduct – Violating State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)
10	20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section
11	4301(j) of the Code in that on or about December 17, 2008, Respondent possessed Hydrocodone,
12	a controlled substance in violation of Code section 4060 and the California Uniform Controlled
13	Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11350), as detailed in paragraph 16, above, which is
14	incorporated herein by reference.
15	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Unprofessional Conduct – Violating State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)
17	21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section
18	4301(j) of the Code in that on or about December 17, 2008, Respondent possessed Diazepam, a
19	controlled substance in violation of Code section 4060 and the California Uniform Controlled
20	Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11377), as detailed in paragraph 16, above, which is
21	incorporated herein by reference.
22	PRAYER
23	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
24	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
25	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 62157,
26	issued to Anthony J. Kamel.
27	
28	
ļ	7
	Accusation

Accusation

.

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right)$

Ordering Anthony J. Kamel to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the 2. investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 5/10/10 DATED: **FIRGINIA** Executive Øfficer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2010800574 80454861.doc Accusation