1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.
2	Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RENE JUDKIEWICZ
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141773
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
, 6	Telephone: (213) 897-2537 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3688
12	JAIME JURADO 7834 Ferncola Avenue
13	Sun Valley, CA 91352 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH A C C U S A T I O N
14	59073
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about October 14, 2004, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration
22	Number TCH 59073 to Jaime Jurado (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was
23	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
24	December 31, 2011, unless renewed.
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
28	
	1

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- 6. Subdivision (a) of Section 4300 of the Code authorizes the suspension or revocation of every license.
 - 7. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(h) The . . . use of . . . alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself . . . or to any other person or to the public

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use . . . of any . . . alcoholic beverage

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. . . . A plea . . . of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, . . . or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

16·

2.5

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of . . . suspension, or revocation of a personal . . . license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

9. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially Related Convictions)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (I) in that Respondent was convicted of five crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about July 16, 2003, in *People v. Jurado* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2003, No. 3BU01608), Respondent pleaded guilty to and was convicted of the misdemeanor of driving under the influence of alcohol, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), based on his arrest on or about May 2, 2003 made after Respondent was driving very slow and without his lights on at about 2:30 a.m. The criminal court sentenced Respondent to three years' probation with terms and conditions including successful completion of a three-month first-offender alcohol program, not operating a motor vehicle with any measurable amount of alcohol in Respondent's blood system, not refusing to take a chemical/breath test for alcohol consumption when requested by a peace officer, and not driving without a valid driver's license in possession and insurance.

- b. On or about June 29, 2005, in *People v. Jurado* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. 5VN02345), Respondent pleaded nolo contendere to and was convicted of two misdemeanors, (1) driving under the influence of alcohol, with at least 0.08 percent of alcohol in his blood, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), and (2) hit and run, in violation of Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a). The convictions are based on Respondent's arrest on or about June 7, 2005 after his pickup truck collided with a power pole. At the time of arrest, Respondent's breath had a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage, and he appeared to be intoxicated. On or about June 8, 2005, the criminal court ordered Respondent to attend Alcohol Anonymous (AA) meetings twice a week. On or about June 29, 2005, the court sentenced Respondent to four years' probation with terms and conditions including successful completion of an eighteen-month second-offender alcohol program, not operating a motor vehicle with any measurable amount of alcohol in Respondent's blood system, not refusing to take a chemical/breath test for alcohol consumption when requested by a peace officer, and not driving without a valid driver's license in possession and insurance.
- c. On or about December 6, 2007, in *People v. Jurado* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. 7VY04921), Respondent pleaded nolo contendere to and was convicted of two misdemeanors, (1) hit and run, in violation of Vehicle Code section 20002, subdivision (a), and (2) driving with a licensed suspended for driving under the influence with knowledge of the suspension, in violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.2, subdivision (a). The convictions are based on Respondent's arrest on or about September 13, 2007 after Respondent was involved in a car accident resulting in injury to another person. The criminal court sentenced Respondent to three years' probation with terms and conditions including obeying all laws, and not driving without a valid driver's license in possession and insurance.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Alcohol Use Convictions)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (k) in that Respondent was convicted of crimes involving alcohol use. Complainant refers to and by

this reference incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 10, subparagraphs 1 through c inclusive, above, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Alcohol Abuse)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that Respondent used alcohol to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself and to the public. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 10, subparagraphs 1 through c inclusive, above, as though set forth fully. In addition, Complainant alleges:
- a. On or about February 22, 2010, Respondent was arrested for two misdemeanor violations, (1) disorderly conduct for public drunkenness (Pen. Code, § 647, subd. (f)) and (2) vandalism, defacing property by breaking a window (Pen. Code, § 594, subd. (b)(2)(a)). At the time of arrest, Respondent smelled of alcohol and appeared to be intoxicated.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 59073, issued to Respondent Jaime Jurado
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 7/1/10

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2010600535 50657414.doc