1	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3612
12	MARLENE RAMONA RAMIREZ
13	8651 Camelia Drive Riverside, CA 96049 ACCUSATION
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration
15	No. TCH 52404
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about January 7, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration Number TCH 52404 to Marlene Ramona Ramirez (Respondent). The Pharmacy
24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
25	herein and will expire on January 31, 2012, unless renewed.
26	///
27	
28	
	1

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.

"(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.

"(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."

7. Section 482 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

- 8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 9. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"...

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"...

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(December 8, 2008, Conviction for Malicious Disturbance on July 20, 2008)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) of the Codes in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions as a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On September 11, 2008, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIM519395, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 664-23152, subdivision (a), attempted driving under the influence of a drug or alcohol, and Count 2, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 664-23152, subdivision (b), attempted DUI, Alcohol/0.08 percent. On December 8, 2008, the complaint was amended to add Count 3, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 415, subdivision (2), malicious disturbance. On December 8, 2008, Respondent pled guilty to Count 3 and was granted three years of summary probation, sentenced to serve 6 days in the county jail with credit of 1 day for time served, and to pay a fine of \$1763.40. Counts 1 and 2 were dismissed.
- b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on July 20, 2008, an investigator working in plain clothes capacity for the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) was patrolling a parking lot/structure located at 3737 Main Street, Riverside, California. This parking structure is known for minor drinking and drug use and is used by patrons of an ABC licensed premises known as Trilussa. The investigator observed two females walking in the parking structure talking and laughing loudly. They were standing in front of cars that were attempting to leave. They also argued with a male in the structure. The investigator observed that they were swaying and staggering as they walked. The investigator also observed that one female, later identified as Respondent, had blood shot watery eyes and a flushed face. Respondent was observed getting into the driver's side of a vehicle and starting it. A second investigator then approached the vehicle and had Respondent turn off the engine and exit the vehicle. The investigator requested that Respondent perform field sobriety tests (FSTs). Respondent failed to

perform the tests as demonstrated. Based on failing the FSTs, the objective signs and symptoms of intoxication, Respondent was arrested by the Riverside Sheriff's Department for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), DUI. Respondent was transported and booked into custody at Robert Presley Detention Center (Riverside County Jail).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(September 18, 2008, Conviction for Assault With Deadly Weapon on December 29, 2007)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On December 31, 2007, in San Bernardino County Superior Court case number FCH7000638, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a felony violation of Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1), assault with deadly weapon with a special allegation of personally inflicting great bodily injury. On September 18, 2008, the complaint was amended to add Count 2, a felony violation of Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1), assault with deadly weapon: great bodily injury likely. On September 18, 2008, Respondent pled nolo contendere to Count 2 and Count 1 was dismissed. On October 21, 2008, Respondent was granted three years of supervised probation, sentenced to serve 60 days in county jail with credit for 6 days served, required to participate in a counseling program, and pay restitution of \$6,899.51.
- b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on December 29, 2007, an officer with the Chino Police Department responded to a bar on a report of a fight between two females. Upon arrival, the officer identified a female subject, the victim, whose face was bleeding. The victim stated she was dancing when someone hit her with an unknown object, but did not know who hit her. One witness reported that she saw Respondent hit the victim in a face with a bottle. Respondent stated that a female had pushed her on the dance floor and she defended herself by fighting back and taking the female to the ground. The victim was taken to a hospital. The officer spoke with the doctor who treated the victim, who stated the victim had a cut above her left eyebrow that required three stitches, a cut on the upper left side of her nose that required three stitches, and a cut to her middle left finger which required four stitches. The doctor believed the

1	middle finger cut was consistent with that of a glass-type cut or that her finger could have
2	possibly been cut by glass.
3	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Unprofessional Conduct – Moral Turpitude)
5	15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code
6	section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent's assault with a deadly weapon constitutes moral
7	turpitude, as is detailed in paragraph 14, above.
8	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Conduct That Would Have Warranted Denial of a License)
10	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (p)
11	in that on December 29, 2007, and July 20, 2008, Respondent violated Penal Code sections 415,
12	subdivision (2), malicious disturbance, and 245, subdivision (a)(1), assault with a deadly weapon,
13	great bodily injury likely. Such egregious conduct would have warranted the denial of a
14	pharmacy technician registration under Code section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(2).
15	PRAYER
16	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
17	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
18	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 52404,
19	issued to Marlene Ramona Ramirez;
20	2. Ordering Marlene Ramona Ramirez to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable
21	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
22	Code section 125.3;
23	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
24	DATED: 5/6/10 VIRGINIA HEROLD
25	Executive Officer
}	Roard of Pharmacy
26	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
26 27	