1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIMBERLEY J. BAKER-GUILLEMET
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 242920
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2533 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
· 10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3583
12	RICHARD GILBERT AGUILAR 944 W. 25th Street
13	San Bernardino, CA 92405A C C U S A T I O NPharmacy Technician Registration No. TCHA C C U S A T I O N
14	61872
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy.
21	2. On or about April 6, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
22	Technician Registration Number TCH 61872 to Richard Gilbert Aguilar (Respondent). The
23	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
24	brought herein and expired on May 31, 2012. The license has not been renewed.
25	JURISDICTION
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
28	
	. 1
	Accusation

4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. 5. Section 490 states:

"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

"(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to
discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under
subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties
of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a
conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take
following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or
the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is
made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the
provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

6. Section 4301 of the Code states:

22 "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
23 conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
24 Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

25

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or

to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 4 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 5 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 6 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 7 8 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 9 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 10 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 11 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 12 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 13 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 14 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 15 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 16 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 17 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 18 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 19 indictment." 20

21

22

23

1

2

3

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a 24 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a 25 licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a 26 licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner 27 consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare." 28

COST RECOVERY

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially Related Convictions)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision
(1), of the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1770, in that
Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties
of a licensed pharmacy technician, as follows:

a. On or about August 17, 2005, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one
misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(A) [driving under the influence] in
the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Richard Gilbert Aguilar*(Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. TSB117383). Respondent was placed on probation
for 36 months, was ordered to attend a First Offender alcohol program and to pay a fine.

b. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as follows: on or abour April 2,
2005, Respondent was stopped by a County of San Bernardino Sheriff's Department deputy for
speeding. When the deputy made contact with Respondent, he observed that the Respondent had
an odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath and/or person, his eyes were bloodshot and watery
and his speech was slurred and confused. Respondent admitted to the deputy that he had
consumed four (4) 12 ounce beers. Respondent submitted to a breathalyzer test. His results
measured 0.18 Blood Alcohol Content (BAC).

c. On or about January 14, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one
misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(B) [driving under the influence with
a blood alcohol content of over 0.08 percent] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Richard Aguilar* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. TSB701809).
Respondent was ordered to serve 120 days in a San Bernardino County Jail facility, was placed

on probation for 36 months, and was ordered to attend a Multiple Offender alcohol program and to pay a fine.

d. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as follows: on or about April
13, 2007, an officer with the San Bernardino Police Department stopped Respondent while he
was driving his vehicle. When the officer made contact with Respondent, he observed that the
Respondent's eyes were bloodshot and watery, that his speech was slow and slurred and that his
movements were very slow. Respondent admitted to the officer that he had consumed six (6) or
seven (7) beers. Respondent submitted to a breathalyzer test. His results measured 0.169 and
0.165 BAC.

On or about January 14, 2009, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one e. 10 misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(B) [driving under the influence with 11 a blood alcohol content of over 0.08 percent] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the 12 State of California v. Richard Aguilar (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2009, No. TSB702315). 13 Respondent was sentenced to serve 120 days in a San Bernardino County Jail facility, was placed 14 on probation for 36 months, and was ordered to attend a Multiple Offender alcohol program and 15 to pay a fine. The sentence ran concurrently with his sentence for Case No. TSB701809, 16 referenced above. 17

f. The circumstances that give rise to the conviction are as follows: on or about July 27, 18 2007, an officer with the Colton Police Department stopped Respondent while he was driving his 19 vehicle at a high rate of speed. When the officer made contact with Respondent, he observed that 20 Respondent's eyes appeared to be bloodshot and watery and that his speech was slurred. The 21 officer also noticed that Respondent had a "12-pack" of Corona beer sitting on the front passenger 22 seat of the vehicle. The officer observed that four of the beer bottles were empty and that they 23 were also cold to the touch. The officer administered three Field Sobriety Tests (F.S.T.s) to 24 Respondent. Respondent was unable to follow the directions or instructions for the F.S.T.s. 25 Respondent submitted to a Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) test. The breath samples 26 collected by the officer registered 0.106% BAC. Respondent admitted to the officer that he had 27

28

1

2

1	an alcohol problem and that he had consumed approximately four 12-ounce Corona beers.
2	Respondent also admitted to driving at a speed of 55 mph.
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)
5	10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), of
6	the Code, in that he used alcohol beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or
7	injurious to himself. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set
8	forth in paragraph 9, subparagraphs (a) through (f), as though set forth fully.
9	PRAYER
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
11	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
12	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 61872,
13	issued to Richard Gilbert Aguilar
14	2. Ordering Richard Gilbert Aguilar to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs
15	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
16	section 125.3;
17	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
18	
19 20	
21	DATED: <u>6/6///</u> <u>VIRGINIA K. HEROLD</u> Executive Officer
22 23	California State Board of Pharmacy State of California
24	Complainant
25	LA2010500699 50896294.doc
26	50890294.000
27	
28	
	6
	Accusation