•			
		EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
	2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
-	3	LORETTA A. WEST Deputy Attorney General	
	4	State Bar No. 149294 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
	5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
	6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2107	
	7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
	8	BEFORE THE	
	9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	10 11		
	12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3431	
• •	12	PETER CHU 25 Pollena	
. '	14	Irvine, CA 92602 A C C U S A T I O N	
·	15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48836	
	16	Respondent.	
	17		
	18		
	19	Complainant alleges:	
	20	PARTIES	
	21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	official capacity
	22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer A	Affairs.
	23	2. On or about August 14, 1996, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharm	nacist License
	24	Number RPH 48836 to Peter Chu (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was	in full force and
	25	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on No	vember 30, 2009,
	26		
	27		
	28	8	
		1	

•

· 11		.				
1	JURISDICTION					
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of					
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the					
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.					
5	4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,					
6	surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a					
7	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued					
8	or reinstated.					
9	5. Section 4300 of the Code states:					
10	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.					
11	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board,					
12	whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:					
13	(1) Suspending judgment.					
14						
15	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.	, c				
1 [.] 6	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.					
17						
18	(4) Revoking his or her license.					
19	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.					
20						
21	6. Section 4301 of the Code states:					
22	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of					
23	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include,					
24	but is not limited to, any of the following:					
. 25	(a) Gross immorality.					
26						
27	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty,					
28						
	2					

II

relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or
revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially
related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the
license was issued.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

1	As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.
2	
3	9. Section 482 of the Code states:
4	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
6	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
7	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
8	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.
10	10. Section 810 of the Code states:
11	(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary
12.	action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health
13	care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:
14	(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent
15	claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
16 17	(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim.
18	(b) It shall constitute cause for revocation or suspension of a license or
19	certificate for a health care professional to engage in any conduct prohibited under Section 1871.4 of the Insurance Code or Section 549 or 550 of the Penal
20	Code.
21	
22	(4) Nothing in this subdivision shall preclude a board from suspending or revoking a license or certificate pursuant to any other provision of law.
23	(5) "Board," as used in this subdivision, means the Dental Board of
24	California, the Medical Board of California, the Board of Psychology, the State Board of Optometry, the California State Board of Pharmacy, the Osteopathic
25	Medical Board of California, and the State Board of Chiropractic Examiners.
26	
27	
28	
	4

Accusation

1	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
2	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or
3	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially
4	related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or
5	registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a
6	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
7	12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states, in pertinent part:
8	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been
9	convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person
10	and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
11	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
12	(2) Total criminal record.
13	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
14 15	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
16	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
17	COST RECOVERY
18	13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
19	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
20.	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
21	enforcement of the case.
22	FACTS
23	14. On June 20, 2006, Respondent attended a scheduled appointment at the Alhambra
24	Health Group located at 108 South First Street in Alhambra California, and met with a woman
25	whom he believed was the office administrator for the facility. During the meeting, Respondent
26	filled out numerous documents, with the intent to defraud his automobile insurance company, in
27	order to fabricate a fraudulent medical and treatment file for Alhambra Health Group.
28	
	5

Respondent knowingly signed twenty-two separate fraudulent medical records that falsely stated he had previously received medical treatment at Alhambra Health Group on twenty-two separate occasions between the dates of April 19 and June 9, 2006, including the following: April 19, April 20, April 21, April 22, April 24, April 26, April 28, may 1, May 3, May 5, May 8, May 10, May 12, May 15, May 17, May 22, May 24, May 26, May 29, June 1, June 5, and June 9, 2009.

In fact, June 20, 2006, was the first and only time Respondent had ever been to the
Alhambra Health Group and he never received any medical treatments there. The "office
administrator" told Respondent that the fabricated medical records would generate \$2,530 in
fabricated medical treatment charges, as requested by Respondent's attorney to be used to
substantiate Respondent's fraudulent automobile insurance claim. During the meeting,
Respondent acknowledged that he could get in a lot of trouble for signing the fraudulent
documents.

16. The person Respondent believed was the office administrator for Alhambra Medical
Group was, in fact, an undercover investigator for the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.
Respondent's entire meeting was captured on video-tape as part of a two year undercover
operation conducted by the District Attorney's Auto Insurance Task Force working in
collaboration with the California Department of Insurance and the California Highway Patrol.

Respondent's fraudulent medical records were promptly provided to his attorney and 17. 18 presented to his automobile insurance company, State Farm, with Respondent's insurance claim 19 for, among other things, compensation and reimbursement for the medical treatment that 20 Respondent allegedly received at Alhambra Medical Group. Based in part upon State Farm's 21 reliance upon Respondent's fraudulent medical records and bills for \$2,530.00 in medical 22 treatment from Alhambra Medical Group, State Farm paid Respondent approximately \$5,500.00 23 in payment for his insurance claim for bodily injuries. Respondent cashed the check from State 24 Farm. 25

18. As a result of his fraudulent conduct, Respondent was arrested on January 4, 2007, for violation of Penal Code sections 550, subdivision (a) (1) (false insurance claim) and

28

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

550, subdivision (a) (5) (creating document with intent for it to be used to support fraudulent insurance claim).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

23

24

19. On January 9, 2009, in a Los Angeles County Superior Court case entitled *People of the State of California v. Peter Chu, et al.*, Case No. BA320335, Respondent was convicted on his plea of no lo contendere of violating Business and Professions Code sections 6152, subdivision (a) (1) (acting as a capper for an attorney).

20. As a result of Respondent's conviction, the court sentenced Respondent to serve three years on summary probation, pay restitution to State Farm insurance company in the amount of \$2750, pay fine and fees, and perform 320 hours of community service. Respondent's criminal probation is scheduled to be completed on January 9, 2012.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Gross Morality)

Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 21. 13 4301, subdivision (a) in that Respondent engaged in conduct involving gross immorality when he 14 signed twenty-two separate false medical records, with the intent to defraud his automobile 15 insurance company, which falsely stated he had received medical/chiropractic services from 16 Alhambra Medical Group when Respondent had not received any of said services. Respondent 17 then submitted said fraudulent medical records to his automobile insurance company in support of 18 his claim for compensation for bodily injuries and medical treatment. Further, Respondent 19 cashed a check that he received from his insurance company in payment of his fraudulent -20 insurance claim, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 17, which are incorporated herein 21 by reference. 22

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dishonesty)

7

22. Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4301, subdivision (f) in that Respondent engaged in conduct involving moral turpitude,
dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption when he signed twenty-two separate false medical
records, with the intent to defraud his automobile insurance company, which falsely stated he had

Accusation

received medical/chiropractic services from Alhambra Medical Group when Respondent had not received any of said services. Respondent then submitted said fraudulent medical records to his 2 automobile insurance company in support of his claim for compensation for bodily injuries and 3 medical treatment. Further, Respondent cashed a check that he received from his insurance 4 company in payment of his fraudulent insurance claim, as described above in paragraphs 14 5 through 17, which are incorporated herein by reference. 6

1

7

8

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Signed False Documents)

Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 23. 9 4301, subdivision (g) in that Respondent knowingly signed documents that falsely represented the 10 existence of a state of facts when Respondent signed twenty-two separate false medical records, 11 with the intent to defraud his automobile insurance company, that falsely stated he had received 12 medical/chiropractic services from Alhambra Medical Group when Respondent had not received 13 any of said services. Respondent then submitted said fraudulent medical records to his 14 automobile insurance company in support of his signed insurance claim for compensation for 15 bodily injuries and medical treatment, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 17, which are 16 incorporated herein by reference. 17

18	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Prepare and Present False Insurance Claim)
20	24. Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections
21	810, subdivisions (a) (1), (a) (2), and (b) in that Respondent submitted medical records, that he
22	knew were false and he had fraudulently created, to his automobile insurance company in support
23	of his insurance claim for compensation for bodily injuries and medical treatment. Further,
24	Respondent cashed a check that he received from his insurance company in payment of his
25	fraudulent insurance claim, as described above in paragraphs 14 through 17, which are
26	incorporated herein by reference.
27	///
28	1/1

8

Accusation

11	\bigcirc
1	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(January 9, 2009, Conviction for Acting as a Capper for Attorney on June 16, 2006)
3	25. Respondent's pharmacist license is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections
4	490 and 4301, subdivision (l) in that on January 9, 2009, Respondent was convicted of violating
5	Business and Professions Code section 6152 (a) (1) (Acting as Capper for Attorney), a crime
6	substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacist, as described above
7	in paragraphs 14 through 20 which are incorporated herein by reference.
8	PRAYER
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
10	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
11	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 48836, issued to Peter
12	Chu.
13	2. Ordering Peter Chu to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
14	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
15	125.3;
16	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
17	
18	$i = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
19	DATED: 10/24/09 / uesna kill
20	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
21	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
22	Complainant
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	9
	Accusation

 \bigcirc

]