1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 4	GLORIA A. BARRIOS, State Bar No. 94811 Supervising Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2540 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3158
11.	OLADIMEJI FALEMI ACCUSATION
12 13	aka DIMEJI FALEMI 21707 Oakfort Avenue Carson, CA 90745
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 36945
15	Respondent
16 17	Complainant alleges:
18	<u>PARTIES</u>
19	1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy (Board),
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about July 19, 1982, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH
23	36945 to Oladimeji Falemi aka Dimeji Falemi (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full
24	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31,
25	2009, unless renewed
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the
28	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless

Ç.

otherwise indicated.

17.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

4. Section 4300 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

5. Section 490 states:

"A Board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

6. Section 492 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section 23249.50) of Division 11 of Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any other initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest."

Section 493 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon

the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question."

8. Section 4231 of the Code states:

"The board shall not issue any renewal certificate unless the applicant submits proof satisfactory to the board that he or she has successfully completed approved courses of continuing pharmaceutical education during the two years preceding the application for renewal. The continuing education required by this article shall consist of the number of clock hours, not to exceed 30 clock hours, designated by regulation adopted by the board. This section shall not apply to licensees during the first two years immediately following their graduation from a college of pharmacy or department of pharmacy of a university recognized by the board."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (g) Knowingly or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or non existence of a state of facts.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcohol beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

knowingly signed a false certificate as follows:

27

28

///

2

3

^4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.26

27

28

hours not accredited by ACPE.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Accreditation Council for Pharmacy (ACPE). The Board does not accept continuing education

(Failed to Complete Continuing Education)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4231, subdivision (a) of the Code, as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1732.5 in that Respondent has not completed his 30 hours of continuing education. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations of paragraph 13, subparagraph a, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 in that Respondent has been convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed pharmacist as follows:
- a. On or about October 18, 1991, Respondent was convicted by the Court on a plea of guilty for violating one count of Vehicle Code section 23151, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor (driving under the influence), in the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento, Case No. 91T06993 entitled *The People of the State of California v. Oladimeji Falemi*. On or about March 24, 1992, Respondent failed to complete a First Offender Program and was found in violation of his probation. He was re-referred to the First Offender Program.

c. On or about October 22, 2002, Respondent was convicted by the Court on a plea of no contest for violating one count of Vehicle Code section 23103.5, a misdemeanor (reckless driving), in the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento, Case No. 02T03404 entitled *The People of the State of California v. Oladimeji Falemi*. Respondent was sentenced to ten days in jail and given three years probation.

- d. On or about July 10, 2006, Respondent was convicted by the Court on a plea of no contest for violating one count of Vehicle Code section 23103, (reckless driving), in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Antelope Valley Courthouse, Case No. 5AT02838 entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dimeji Falemi*. Respondent was placed on three years probation. As of May 29, 2008, Respondent is wanted on a bench warrant for failure to appear in regards to a violation of probation.
- e. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 4, 2005, Respondent driving his vehicle ran into the back of a big rig truck. Officers from the California Highway Patrol approached Respondent's car and smelled a strong odor of alcohol emitting from Respondent. Respondent was unable to perform the Field Sobriety Test. He refused a blood alcohol test.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Alcohol Abuse)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct. Complainant now refers

1	to and incorporates all the allegations of paragraph 15, subparagraphs a through e, as though set
2	forth fully.
3	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
4	(Committed Acts Which Would Have Warranted Denial of License)
5	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301,
6	subdivision (p) of the Code, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct. Complainant now refers
7	to and incorporates all the allegations of paragraph 15, subparagraphs a through e, as though set
8	forth fully.
9	PRAYER
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
11	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
12	1. Revoking or suspending the Pharmacist license No. RPH 36945, issued to
13	Oladimeji Falemi;
14	2. Ordering Oladimeji Falemi to pay the California State Board of Pharmacy
15	the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case; pursuant to Business and
16	Professions Code section 125.3;
17	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
18	
19	DATED: 10/16/08
20	
21	
22	VIRGINIA K. HEROLD Executive Officer
23	California State Board of Pharmacy State of California
24	Complainant
25	
26	
27	
28	