



	California State Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs Public Board Meeting Minutes
Date:	April 26-27, 2022
Location:	Department of Consumer Affairs 1625 N Market Blvd, 1 st Floor hearing room Sacramento, CA 95834
	La Quinta Inn & Suites by Wyndham LAX Century Ballroom 5249 W. Century Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 9004
	Public participation also provided via WebEx
Board Members Present:	Seung Oh, Licensee Member, President Maria Serpa, Licensee Member, Vice President Jignesh Patel, Licensee Member, Treasurer Lavanza Butler, Licensee Member Indira Cameron-Banks, Public Member Jose De La Paz, Public Member Kula Koenig, Public Member Ricardo Sanchez, Public Member Debbie Veale, Licensee Member Jason Weisz, Public Member
Board Members Not Present:	Shirley Kim, Public Member Nicole Thibeau, Licensee Member
Staff Present:	Anne Sodergren, Executive Officer Eileen Smiley, DCA Staff Counsel Debbie Damoth, Executive Manager Specialist Ann Altamirano, Associate Analyst
	California State Board of Pharmacy Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 1 of 47

<u>April 26, 2022</u>

I. Call to Order, Establishment of Quorum, and General Announcements

President Oh called the Board Meeting to order at 2:30 p.m.

President Oh reminded all individuals present that the Board is a consumer protection agency charged with administering and enforcing Pharmacy Law. Where protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount.

President Oh advised all individuals the meeting was being conducted in person at locations in Sacramento and Los Angeles as well as via WebEx. Dr. Oh advised participants watching the webcast they could only observe the meeting. He noted anyone interested in participating in the meeting must join the WebEx meeting using the instructions posted on the Board's website.

Department of Consumer Affairs' staff provided general instructions for the WebEx Board Meeting for members of the public participating in the meeting.

Roll call was taken. Board Members present included: Maria Serpa, Licensee Member; Jignesh Patel, Licensee Member; Kula Koenig, Public Member; Ricardo Sanchez, Public Member; Jason Weisz, Public Member; Seung Oh, Licensee Member; Lavanza Butler, License Member; Jose De La Paz, Public Member; and Debbie Veale, Licensee Member. A quorum was established.

II. Public Comments on Items Not on the Agenda/Agenda Items for Future Meetings

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

No public comments were provided in the Sacramento location.

Public comment in the Los Angeles location suggested that surgical clinics are not being inspected on a quarterly basis by a consultant pharmacist as required and requested that the Board educate licensees about the requirement and the requirement to have a Board license at a surgical center in Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 4192.

Public comment submitted via WebEx included a pharmacist representing "Pizza is not Working" stated they were looking forward to sharing information at the Medication Error Reduction and Workforce Meeting but the April and July meetings have been

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 2 of 47

rescheduled and asked the meeting be scheduled. Another pharmacist representing "Pizza is not Working" indicated that working conditions in California are worse and asked if there is something the Board can do to address the unsafe workplace conditions. Several comments were received from representatives from "Pizza is not Working" indicating pharmacists need an intervention and are turning to the Board to do so. Some pharmacists indicated they are still working alone despite the implementation of SB 1442. Representatives are seeking to change the ratio and asking for a future agenda item on Pharmacy Working Protections at the next meeting.

President Oh shared due to unforeseen circumstances some meetings had to be cancelled and rescheduled.

Member Butler requested that this issue be put on the agenda the issue of surgical clinics on the Enforcement and Compounding Committee.

Member Veale inquired about the Medication Error Reduction and Workforce Committee and recommended scheduling a meeting before the September meeting. The Board agreed.

Member Butler inquired if SB 1442 was being enforcement. Member Serpa indicated the Enforcement and Compounding Committee meeting is monitoring newly enacted rules and regulations. Dr. Serpa indicated there will be a report forthcoming.

III. Approval of Board Meeting Minutes

President Oh referenced the draft minutes from the January 27 - 28, 2022, meeting.

Dr. Serpa highlighted typographical corrections page 35, item 12, needs an additional word removed from the title and page 60 need to correct Licensing to Communication and Public Education Committee and item A had the incorrect title.

Motion: Approve the January 27-28-2022, minutes as corrected.

M/S: Veale/Butler

Members were provided with an opportunity to provide comments; however, none were provided.

Members of the public were provided with an opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comments were provided.

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 3 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Not present
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Support

Support: 9 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Present: 3

Members also considered the draft minutes from the March 16, 2022, meeting.

Members were provided with an opportunity to provide comments; however, none were provided.

- Motion: Approve the March 16, 2022, minutes as presented in the meeting materials.
- M/S: Serpa/Patel

Members of the public were provided with an opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comments were provided.

Support: 9 Oppose: 0 Abstain: Not Present: 3

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 4 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Not present
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Support

Member Indira Cameron-Banks joined at 3:13 p.m.

IV. Update from the Department of Consumer Affairs

Carrie Holmes, Deputy Director of Board and Bureau Relations provided an updated from the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA).

Ms. Holmes reported effective April 1, 2022, Boards and Bureaus returned to meetings in accordance with all aspects of the Open Meetings Act. Ms. Holmes advised legislation was currently pending that would allow boards and bureaus to permanently meet remotely while also providing physical members of the public to participate. She encouraged contacting the author's office with the Board's position on AB 1733.

Ms. Holmes advised DCA recently distributed guidelines and requirements to assist in adherence with the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, local public health guidelines, face coverings and vaccination verification and testing. She thanked Members and staff for service during the pandemic and noted masks are strongly recommended indoor settings.

Ms. Holmes reported DCA distributed the first issue of its Board and Bureau Relations newsletter and outreach tool entitled Board Members Did You Know?

Ms. Holmes advised of new members to the DCA Executive Team. Tanya Corcoran has been selected as DCA's first Compliance and Equity Officer overseeing DCA's SOLID Training and Planning Solutions, Organizational Improvement Office, Equal

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 5 of 47

Employment Opportunity Office, and Internal Audit Office. This will allow DCA to better identify emerging issues and provide timely solutions. Terrance Brass was selected to serve as Chief of the Division of Investigation. Chief Brass will be responsible for providing investigative services to DCA Boards and Bureaus. Dadang Prihadi was selected DCA's Internal Audit Chief and will plan and direct the audit team.

Ms. Holmes advised DCA's Enlightened Licensing Project formed in 2020 using licensing subject matter experts to help DCA Boards and Bureaus streamline and make licensing more effective and efficient using best practices, information technology, and cost-saving measures. The Board of Registered Nursing was the first board to be reviewed and a report will be issued soon.

Members thanked Ms. Holmes for her service and support to the Board.

Members of the public were provided with an opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comments were provided.

V. Election of Board Officers

Nomination for President: Seung Oh and Maria Serpa

Members Serpa and Oh were provided with the opportunity to provide a brief statement about why they are running for the Office of President.

Members of the public were provided with an opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles, and via WebEx. No public comments were received.

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 6 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Oh
Cameron-Banks	Oh
De La Paz	Oh
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Oh
Oh	Oh
Patel	Serpa
Sanchez	Oh
Serpa	Serpa
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Serpa
Weisz	Oh

President Oh was re-elected President of the Board.

Nomination for Vice-President: Maria Serpa

Members of the public were provided with an opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles, and via WebEx. No public comment was received.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Yes
Cameron-Banks	Yes
De La Paz	Yes
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Yes
Oh	Yes
Patel	Yes
Sanchez	Yes
Serpa	Yes
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Yes
Weisz	Yes

Vice President Serpa was re-elected Vice President.

Nomination for Treasurer: Jignesh Patel

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 7 of 47 Members of the public were provided with an opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles, and via WebEx. No public comment was received.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Yes
Cameron-Banks	Yes
De La Paz	Yes
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Yes
Oh	Yes
Patel	Yes
Sanchez	Yes
Serpa	Yes
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Yes
Weisz	Yes

Treasurer Jignesh Patel was re-elected Treasurer.

V. Discussion and Consideration of Policy Granting President Discretion to Issue or Extend Waivers Issued Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 4062

President Oh advised as the pandemic continues, it may be appropriate for the Board to consider if changes to the Board President's delegated authority is appropriate to allow the Board to quickly respond as appropriate. Current delegated authority will terminate June 30, 2022.

President Oh referenced meeting materials noting in February 2022 the Biden administration extended that national emergency declaration. Additionally, media is also reporting cases appear to be rising and public health official are predicting a fall surge. Dr. Oh stated he believed a key to the Board's success in navigating the public health emergency has stemmed from our waiver authority and that delegation to the Board President has allowed for quick responses as conditions warranted. He inquired with the current delegated authority terminating on June 30, 2022, if the Board wanted to extend the delegated authority or if it is Board's preference to return to Board actions to issue or extend waivers.

President Oh referenced information in the meeting materials a possible approach to extend the current delegated authority granted to the Board President to approve or issue waivers as appropriate based on current conditions through December 31, 2022,

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 8 of 47 or until 90 days following the declared disaster, whichever is sooner. Dr. Oh believed this approach could carry the Board through the anticipated fall surge.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments. Members discussed the current process. Member Veale noted it is important to allow for transition time after the declared disaster is ended to return to pre-pandemic times.

- Motion: Approve or extend waivers through December 31, 2022, or 90 days following the end of the declared disaster, whichever is later.
- M/S: Veale/Patel

Members of the public were provided with an opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles, and via WebEx. Public comment was received in support of the motion and noted the need to provide time to unwind waivers from a Kaiser representative. The representative thanked Dr. Oh for his leadership during the pandemic.

Member Veale thanked Dr. Oh for his work and leadership during the pandemic.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Support

Support: 10 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Present: 2

Members took a break from 3:42 p.m. to 3:54 p.m. Roll Call taken: Maria Serpa, Jignesh Patel, Kula Koenig, Ricardo Sanchez, Jason Weisz, Lavanza Butler, Indira Cameron-Banks, Jose De La Paz, Debbie Veale, and Seung Oh. A quorum was established

VII. Organizational Development Committee Report

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 9 of 47 President Oh provided a summary on the informational items from the Organizational Development Committee Report.

a. Budget Update and Report

President Oh reported the Board's spending authorization for the current fiscal year is \$30.56 Million which is a 3 percent increase from the prior year. He noted the largest source of revenue comes from licensing fees and the Board's largest expenditure is personnel.

President Oh advised a review of the fund condition prepared by the Department indicates that at the end of the current fiscal year, it is projected the Board will have four months in reserve. As indicated in the meeting materials, under provisions of Pharmacy Law, the Board shall seek to maintain a reserve equal to approximately one year's operating expenditures. Dr. Oh noted the Board will continue to closely monitor the fund as projections indicate a slow depletion of the fund rather than moving to approach the one-year reserve called for in the law. Members were also advised that the Board is pursuing an independent fee audit.

b. Board Member Attendance and Mail Vote Information

President Oh referenced Board Member attendance and mail vote information included in the meeting materials.

c. Personnel Update

President Oh reported the Board has several vacancies including several leadership and senior management positions. Recruitment for many of these positions is delayed because of budget concerns. Dr. Oh noted he is working closely with the executive officer.

d. Future Meeting Dates

President Oh referenced meeting materials which included the meeting calendars for the list of future committee meeting dates. Dr. Oh thanked everyone for their continued to commitment to the Board.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments. Member Serpa inquired if the loan to the General Fund was a loan and would be returned. Ms. Sodergren provided it was a loan that would be returned.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 10 of 47 Member Veale inquired if the Board's fund would be increased when paid back by the General Fund. Ms. Sodergren advised an increase in the fund will be reflected when paid back by the General Fund.

Members of the public were provided with the opportunity to provide public comment on the items within the Organizational Development committee including at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were provided.

IX. Standard of Care Ad Hoc Report

President Oh advised as part of the provisions established in Assembly Bill 1533, the Board is required to convene a workgroup of interested stakeholders to discuss whether moving to a standard of care enforcement model would be feasible and appropriate for the regulation of pharmacy and to make recommendations to the Legislature about the outcome of the discussions through a report submitted by the Board.

President Oh advised to facilitate this work, the Board established an ad hoc committee. The Committee's first meeting was convened on March 9. This first meeting focused on education and interested stakeholders were provided with the opportunity to provide presentations as part of the meeting. The meeting materials provide summary information for the presentations that were received and presentation slides, where provided, are also included in the meeting materials. As the ad hoc committee has just begun its work, there are no items for action at this meeting.

Dr. Oh highlighted that the work of this committee will be significant to meet the tight timeframe establish in the legislation to complete and submit the required report. Dr. Oh anticipated the draft report will be completed for consideration by the Board as part of the June 6, 2023, Board Meeting.

Dr. Oh requested members that are not on the committee review the webcast of this first meeting that includes the educational presentation by counsel from the Office of the Attorney General and DCA counsel to ensure all members have a strong foundational knowledge of the issue. He noted following the presentation by the AG's Office, members also received a presentation from Bill Cover with National Association of Boards of Pharmacy. Mr. Cover's presentation provided an overview of actions at the national level including a report issued by an NABP taskforce. The presentation slides are available on the Board's website.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 11 of 47 President Oh inquired if members had any questions or comments on the presentation provided by counsels or by NABP.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments. No comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided with the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were provided.

President Oh advised interested stakeholders were given the opportunity to provide presentations. The Committee received presentations from Dr. Daniel Robinson, representing California Advancing Pharmacy Practice Working Group; Dr. Richard Dang, representing the California Pharmacists Association; Dr. Rita Shane; and Jassy Grewal, Legislative Director for UFCW Western States Counsel. Summaries of the presentation and public comments received was provided in the meeting materials including links to the presentation slide if provided. The Ad Hoc Committee discussed next steps include the development of the report. As the chairperson of the Committee, Dr. Oh will be working with staff to identify the future agenda items.

President Oh reported comments received ranged from support of a transition to a standard of care model to concerns about such a transition. Advocates for such a transition discussed the advantages including potential expanded access to care and provisions to allow pharmacists to work at the top of their license. Comments also noted potential challenges with such a model, especially in certain settings, where pharmacists report being stretched thin.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments. No comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided with the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were provided.

IX. Medication Error Reduction and Workforce Committee

President Oh advised the Medication Error Reduction and Workforce Ad Hoc Committee met January 27. As the Chairperson, Nicole Thibeau was unable to attend the meeting and as the vice-chair of the committee, Dr. Oh provided the report on Dr. Thibeau's behalf. Dr. Oh noted the first meeting focused on education of the issue and there were no action items.

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 12 of 47

President Oh provided in response to information at the national level suggesting that workforce issues may be a contributing factor to these types of errors, the Board developed a workforce survey intended to focus on the community pharmacy setting. Board staff partnered with DCA to develop the survey. As part of the April 29-30, 2021, Board Meeting, members approved the workforce survey. In partnership with DCA experts, the Board received a presentation as part of the December 2, 2021, meeting on the results of the survey.

President Oh reported at the January 2022, meeting, the Board received an abbreviated version of the presentation. Members of the committee noted concern with some of the findings, including those related to adequate time for screening prior to administration of immunizations as well as those related to adequate time to provide patient consultation. Dr. Oh added the meeting materials provide a summary of the discussion by the committee and members of the public related to the workforce survey and noted a link to the presentation slides was included in the meeting materials.

President Oh reported the committee also received the January 2022 Pharmacist Webb-Being Index State Report, which is conducted by the American Pharmacists Association (APhA). Information on the report and related research was included in the meeting materials. The committee indicated an interest in learning more about the tool and other efforts underway by APhA and are hopeful to have a presentation as part of a future meeting.

Members were provided an opportunity to comment.

Member Veale commented the committee is going in the great direction and will help to address concerns heard today. Ms. Veale clarified one of the findings that community chain pharmacists felt they didn't have the time to provide consultations and 91 percent felt the pharmacy staffing was not appropriate.

Member Butler was concerned with two specific results of the survey that 91 percent of community chain store pharmacists felt staffing was not appropriate and 83 percent chain pharmacists said they didn't have time to consult. Ms. Butler stated it should be worked on sooner rather than later.

Members of the public were provided with the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

A pharmacists commented the committee should look at the disconnect between how pharmacy students are taught to do consultation very systematically and thorough and that is not what is happening. The committee should also look at the

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 13 of 47

hours of work as there is a Labor Code sections 850-856 that provides limits for pharmacy workers enforced by the Labor Commissioner.

A pharmacist who was here on behalf of herself and a union pharmacist with UFCW Local 770 working as a bargaining committee with 10 other pharmacists in southern California where pharmacists are echoing the comments found in the survey regarding concerns about staffing and potential patient safety issues. The pharmacist cited an article in Pharmacy Today (March 2022) noting pharmacists are stressed and need change. She noted APhA stated pharmacy burnout is a significant patient safety issue. APhA provided solutions including employers addressing issues and APhA discussing workload and well-being issues with chain leadership. She noted at her pharmacy she is required to work 12-hour days where the pharmacist is alone for 4 hours where services such as vaccines, PrEP and PEP and travel medications are provided.

A pharmacists commented as a PIC for 10 years left due to his inability to care for his patients and recalled a day where 700 prescriptions were verified in one day. The pharmacist encouraged the Board to prioritize the work of the committee and consider a complaint form for pharmacists to protect consumers and report non-compliance for quotas, labor codes and ratios.

A pharmacist commented she was thankful for the committee and meeting date being moved up.

President Oh reported the committee also received a great presentation by representatives from the Institute of Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) summarized in the meeting materials. Dr. Oh stated the presentation was informative and encouraged members not on the committee to review the presentation via webcast. He stated he believed it would be appropriate to provide more education to licensees about ISMP and tools and resources available to reduce medication errors.

Members were provided an opportunity to comment.

Member Veale inquired if ISMP was anonymous to provide information. Ms. Sodergren confirmed it is anonymous.

Members of the public were provided with the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comment was received.

President Oh reported medication errors complaints are among the most common consumer complaints received by the Board. In fiscal year 2020/21 the Board initiated

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 14 of 47 521 investigations with allegation of a prescription error with 367 indicating patient harm. In the first six months of this fiscal year, the Board has initiated 282 prescription error investigations, with 190 indicating patient harm. Medication errors vary in severity including serious patient harm and death. Members were advised that investigations of medication errors can result in a variety of outcomes depending of specific facts of each investigation. Medication error violations are the number one citation violation issued for pharmacies and pharmacists.

President Oh advised members that as part of the investigation process, the inspectors request information to determine if alleged violations occur. It is not uncommon for staff to review operational issues including system issues and staffing as part of the investigation. Members were provided with examples of immunization errors and errors related to auto refill programs. The committee discussed challenges with reaching a prescriber to resolve prescription issues.

President Oh reported following the discussion, the committee discussed possible next steps for the committee including hoping to schedule a presentation by NABP on its workforce task force once the report is issued. Members noted that a presentation on investigations related to medication errors, where if consultation had been provided, the medication error would likely not have occurred. Members also recommended development of a tool to allow pharmacists to provide anonymous feedback to the Board.

Members were provided an opportunity to comment.

Member Veale inquired if there is a trend to increase for medication errors being the number one reason for investigation. Ms. Sodergren would pull for the next committee report.

Members of the public were provided with the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

A pharmacist inquired in the past with Michael Cohen on errors caused by fatigue but found that information is not collected. The pharmacist encouraged to not rely too heavily on ISMP.

The meeting adjourned at 4:29 p.m.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 15 of 47

April 27, 2022

President Oh called the Board Meeting to order approximately 9:02 a.m. President Oh reminded all individuals present that the Board is a consumer protection agency charged with administering and enforcing Pharmacy Law. Where protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount.

President Oh advised all individuals the meeting was being conducted in person and via WebEx. Dr. Oh advised participants watching the webcast they could only observe the meeting. He noted anyone interested in participating in the meeting must join the WebEx meeting using the instructions posted on the Board's website.

Department of Consumer Affairs' staff provided general instructions for the WebEx Board Meeting for members of the public participating in the meeting.

Roll call was taken. Board Members present included: Maria Serpa, Licensee Member; Jignesh Patel, Licensee Member; Kula Koenig, Public Member; Ricardo Sanchez, Public Member; Jason Weisz, Public Member; Lavanza Butler, License Member; Indira Cameron-Banks, Public Member; Jose De La Paz, Public Member; Debbie Veale, Licensee Member and Seung Oh, Licensee Member. A quorum was established.

President Oh noted agenda items would be taken out of order.

XIV. Communication and Public Education Committee

Chairperson Sanchez provided a summary of the April 26, 2022, Communication and Public Education Committee.

a. Update on Communication and Public Education Activities by Staff

1. The Script

Chairperson Sanchez reported the current issue of The Script was published in March 2022. It includes articles on new pharmacy laws, tips for COVID-19 vaccination programs, and the responsibilities of a pharmacist-in-charge. Staff expects to publish the next newsletter during the summer of 2022. The issue is expected to include articles on new regulations, practice information, and enforcement citations and trends.

2. Staff Outreach

Chairperson Sanchez reported the executive officer and Board inspectors presented topics during a prescription drug abuse and diversion training

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 16 of 47 event provided by the Board on March 11, 2022. About 500 pharmacists attended the event via WebEx. In addition, the executive officer presented information about the pharmacist licensure and application process to Loma Linda University students on March 25. Staff expects to continue providing training via WebEx and also will try to provide in-person training events as well. In addition, staff expects to provide presentations on the licensure and application process for students graduating from pharmacy schools.

3. News Media

Chairperson Sanchez referred to media inquiries listed in meeting materials.

4. Webinars

Chairperson Sanchez reported on April 6, 2022, the Board launched an online training webinar for pharmacists furnishing HIV pre-exposure and post-exposure medication. The webinar is 90 minutes long and includes an assessment that pharmacists must pass with a 70 percent score to receive a certificate of completion, pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1747. In addition, the Board is awarding 1.5 hours of CE credit to pharmacists who successfully complete the training.

Board staff reviewed the initial results, which indicate some licensees were not viewing the entire presentation. Instead, they skipped ahead to complete the assessment without viewing the 90 minutes of training. Staff believes CCR section 1747 requires pharmacists to view the entire webinar as well as pass the assessment to successfully complete the training. Therefore, regardless of their assessment score, licensees who do not view the entire 90-minute webinar will not receive CE credit nor a certificate of completion. Instead, they will be told they did not meet the minimum requirements for completion and must retake the webinar.

Member Weisz asked if pharmacists who take the training are advised they must watch the entire 90-minute presentation. Staff responded the Board's website advises licensees they must view the entire 90-minute presentation and obtain a passing score of 70 percent on the assessment to receive a certificate of successful completion and CE credit.

Staff also provided results among pharmacists who logged on to watch the training webinar since April 6:

• 126 pharmacists viewed the entire webinar and passed the assessment; they received certificates of completion and CE credit.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 17 of 47

- 36 pharmacists did not view the entire webinar or pass the assessment; they did not receive certificates of completion or CE credit.
- More than 259 pharmacists logged on to the webinar but did not watch the entire 90-minute presentation or did not take the assessment.

Chairperson Sanchez reported staff have developed updated material for the Board's law webinar with new pharmacy laws and regulations for 2022. Staff will incorporate the material into a new webinar to be completed by summer.

Member Veale voiced concern about the webinar issue and inquired if the webinar can be done to prevent people from skipping ahead. Ms. Sodergren provided the technology for the PrEP/PEP training doesn't have the ability to insert hard stops where someone needs to answer a question before moving forward nor does it have a timer.

Members discussed want the forcing function. Board staff will research and bring forward suggestions to address the issue of the advancing through the slides to take the competency.

Members of the public were provided with the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

Public comment heard from a pharmacist with a 90-minute or longer webinar may be difficult to start and stop the training and indicating that distributing the questions throughout the training may not meet the requirement for a final exam.

X. Enforcement and Compounding Committee Report

Chairperson Serpa provided the report on the April 20, 2022, meeting.

a. Presentation, Discussion and Consideration of Hospital at Home Programs

Chairperson Serpa reported the committee received presentations on Hospital at Home (HaH) programs. These programs were established under a waiver program established by CMS that provides flexibility for certain health care services that can be provided outside of a traditional hospital setting and within a patient's home. As part of the program requirements, patients can only be

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 18 of 47

admitted into a program from an emergency department or inpatient hospital bed and an in-person physician evaluation is required prior to starting the services. The meeting materials include the link to the CMS Hospital at Home Pharmacy FAQs.

More recently, in December 2020, the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) released an all facilities letter (AFL) related to general acute care hospitals and its flexibility requirements for hospital at home programs. A link to the AFL is also included in the meeting materials. A review of the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) website reveals that only a few hospitals within California have received approval to operate these programs.

Chairperson Serpa advised during the meeting the committee heard a presentation from Pat Blaisdell, Vice President of Policy, with the California Hospital Association. Ms. Blaisdell's presentation included an overview of HaH programs and noted it is a new unique service. Ms. Blaisdell reviewed the required services that must be included in HaH programs, including pharmacy services. Ms. Blaisdell discussed some of the pharmacy related areas including patient self-administration of medication, bedside storage of medication, monitoring of medication temperature and the stocking and re-stocking of medication "kits." Ms. Blaisdell indicated HaH programs can improve outcomes including hospital readmission rates, patient satisfaction, reduced rates of depression and anxiety, and for older adults, reduction in hospital association disability. Some preliminary findings from CMS were reported. Members were also advised about pending federal legislation that would provide a 2-year extension of the current CMS waiver allowing the program as well as pending legislation in California.

The committee also received a presentation from Dr. Kyle Robb, State Policy and Advocacy Associate with the American Society of Health Systems Pharmacists. Dr. Robb's presentation provided historical background on HaH programs and indicated that initial trials between 1996-2002 concluded that HaH was feasible, safe, cost-effective, and met disease specific quality standards at rates like acute hospital.

Dr. Robb reviewed the typical HaH patient experience including that a patient must provide consent to participate. Dr. Robb noted that over 60 acute conditions are eligible for the program, with the most common being heart failure, pneumonia, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Dr. Robb highlighted a report released by ASHP on HaH and concluded by highlighting pharmacy considerations include medication handling, technology and patient

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 19 of 47

information management, medication storage and waste disposal, workforce, and access to provisions of clinical services.

Members discussed that such program can provide great opportunities but that there are concerns with that need to be addressed to endure patient safety. We also discussed issues surrounding first-dose kits and the need to evaluate the use for safety. We also discussed potential problems related to controlled substances by having a patient secure such medications from an outpatient pharmacy and treating such medications on the patient's property. The presentation slides are available on the Board's website and the meeting was webcast. Dr. Serpa encouraged members interested in learning more to review these items.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment.

Member Veale commented the presentation was excellent and noted it may the future of hospital care.

Member Koenig inquired how this relate to workforce workload for pharmacy staff. Chairperson Serpa provided typically the pharmacy services are provided by an employee of the hospital but through HaH the medication could be coming to the patient from the acute care outpatient setting similar to hospice.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacrament location, the Los Angeles location, and via WebEx. No public comments were provided.

b. Discussion and Consideration of Compounding by Board Licensees Outside of a Pharmacy

Chairperson Serpa reported the committee discussed compounding by Board licensees outside of a licensed pharmacy and referenced the meeting materials. Dr. Serpa noted members have received comments about pharmacy personnel compounding outside of licensed pharmacies, and Board staff have observed this practice as well.

Chairperson Serpa advised the committee discussed scenarios involving pharmacy technicians working outside of a licensed pharmacy and without the supervision of a pharmacist and considered if such an individual should be representing themselves as a pharmacy technician in this environment. Current regulations do not recognize these positions as "pharmacy technicians" when working under a physician and perhaps "medical assistant" would be a more

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 20 of 47

appropriate title. Dr. Serpa noted members were advised that similar concerns were recently discussed in the Technician Summit in Licensing Committee. Comments were received that suggest it may be appropriate to consider this issue in the Licensing Committee.

The committee also contemplated pharmacists compounding in non-licensed environments **and** that in such instances, a lesser standard of compounding practice may be used. It was suggested that if the Board transitions to a standard of care model, such practice would not be acceptable. The committee also discussed compounding practices that occur outside of the Board's jurisdiction; however, the discussion was very limited.

Chairperson Serpa reported the committee intends to schedule future discussions on compounding practices that occur outside of Board licensed facilities. As part of that discussion the committee will consider how the issue is handled at a national level.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment. Members expressed concern that compounding was being done below compounding standards. Members expressed support for the committee's effort and consideration of the issue.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment at the Sacrament location, the Los Angeles location, and via WebEx.

Public comment indicated that this is not a new issue and suggested reviewing the RN Board authorities and suggested caution that the Board may not like the lesser standard being used by pharmacists as these other location as it may result in other professionals performing the compounding.

Member Koenig suggested looking into compounding errors reported by other licensed health care professionals (e.g., physician, dentist, podiatrist, etc.). Dr. Serpa provided reporting of errors outside of the Board's jurisdiction are regulated by the other boards. The Board of Pharmacy hears about these issues because they impact our licensees.

Member Koenig commented with the issues surrounding workforce and the pressure placed on pharmacists and pharmacy oversight and may be able to be discussed in the Medication Error Reduction and Workforce Ad Hoc Committee.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 21 of 47 Public comment suggested that the Board may want to look at the practice of veterinarians and optometrists who also compound.

c. Discussion and Consideration of Proposed Revisions to Frequently Asked Questions Related to Automated Drug Delivery Systems

Chairperson Serpa reported the committee deferred its discussion on this topic.

d. Review and Discussion of Enforcement Statistics

Chairperson Serpa reported enforcement statistics were included in the meeting materials and a summary was provided in the chair report. This fiscal year the Board received 2,336 complaints and closed 2,360. The Board has issued 230 Letters of Admonishment, 949 Citations and referred 120 cases to the Office of the Attorney General. In addition, the Board has revoked 44 licenses and accepted 67 disciplinary surrenders.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles and via WebEx. No public comments were provided.

Chairperson Serpa reported the next Enforcement and Compounding Committee Meeting is scheduled for July 19th and additional meeting to discuss compounding is scheduled for August 25th.

XII. Discussion and Consideration of Proposed Federal Rules Relating to National Standards for Licensure of Wholesale Drug Distributes and Third-Party Logistics Provider.

President Oh reported as part of its implementation of the Drug Supply Chain and Security Act (DSCSA) the FDA is proposing national standards for the licensure of prescription drug wholesaler distributors and third-party logistics providers (3PLs). Comments must be received by June 6, 2022. As part of its notice the FDA provides that, when finalized, the regulation will establish national standards for the licensure of wholesale drug distributors and 3PLs. The FDA believes that when finalized, "the national standards set forth will provide greater assurance that these supply chain participants are sufficiently vetted and qualified to distribute products, further strengthening the supply chain and the safety of prescriptions drugs provided to American consumers."

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 22 of 47

President Oh thanked Ms. Smiley for preparing the memo detailing out the legal implications of the proposed rule. Dr. Oh stated based on his understanding of the issue, it appears the FDA is seeking to exceed the authority granted to it by Congress in the proposed rules and was gravely concerned with the possibility of federal preemption of state licensed wholesalers. There are many concerns as laid out very well by our counsel but there has been a long-standing precedent of state regulating wholesalers.

President Oh continued without the ability to license wholesalers with highest standards California seeks there could be many detrimental ways bad players could gain ownership and access into the very highly lucrative us drug distribution system. Dr. Oh noted concern that it appears as proposed 3PLs can circumvent state licensure by simply electing to do business in a state that chooses not to implement licensing requirements. In such instances, the Board would have no role in licensing, inspecting, or enforcing against such entities that are shipping dangerous drugs and controlled substances into California. It is also troubling that the FDA would be delegating to third-party organization to conduct inspections and application reviews.

President Oh strongly supported the Board submitting comments in response to the proposed rules and urge members to support such action by giving the president and executive officer authority to write in opposition for this possibility for federal preemption.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment. Members had significant discussion on the topic including if there have been any lawsuits and was advised that because the rule are proposed, it was indicated statutory change would be after the FDA finalizes its rules.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles and via WebEx.

Public comment was heard suggesting that requirements vary across jurisdiction and stated belief that wholesalers are encouraging this issue.

Member Serpa left the meeting at 9:54 a.m. and returned at 9:59 a.m.

Motion: Authorize the filing of a comment letter to the proposed federal rules opposing the federal rules as discussed in this meeting. Delegate to the President the authority to work with Board staff and counsel on the comment letter that will include, at a minimum, the major concerns discussed, and discretion to include other matters consistent with policy discussion.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 23 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Support

Support: 10 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Present: 2

Members took a break from 10:03 a.m. to 10:15 a.m. Roll call taken: Maria Serpa, Jignesh Patel, Kula Koenig, Jason Weisz, Lavanza Butler, Indira Cameron-Banks, Jose De La Paz, Debbie Veale, and Seung Oh. A quorum was established. Member Ricardo Sanchez joined the meeting at approximately 10:18 a.m.

XIII. Licensing Committee Report

Chairperson Veale provided the report from the Licensing Committee held on April 19, 2022.

a. Summary of Presentation by the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy Regarding the National Perspective on the Role and Responsibilities of Pharmacy Technicians.

Chairperson Veale provided a summary of the presentation by Bill Cover of the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy (NABP). Mr. Cover provided the overview on technicians and areas to consider when revising regulations. Mr. Cover provided an overview of technician regulations in various states, the work of an NABP workgroup reviewing waivers and various aspects of technicians, discussed trends in areas such as tech-check-check and point of care testing.

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 24 of 47

b. Summary of Presentations, Discussion and Consideration of Requirements for Licensure, Including Presentations on Certification Examinations and Accredited Technician Training Programs

Chairperson Veale advised the committee heard a presentation from Dr. Ryan Burke of the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board (PTCB); Jessica Langley-Lope of the National Healthcareer Associations (NHA); and Lisa Lifshin, of the American Society of Health-Systems Pharmacists (ASHP). Representatives from each organization provided an overview and requirements for their programs.

c. Summary of Discussion and Consideration of Current Authorized Duties for Pharmacy Technicians and Possible Changes, Included Summary Information Received During Listening Sessions and Survey

Chairperson Veale reviewed the Licensing Committee's 12 listening sessions for pharmacy technicians and pharmacists as well as a survey. Ms. Veale referenced meeting materials containing summaries of the listening session and survey. Topics of the listening sessions/survey included: possible duties technicians could do outside of current Board regulations; tech-check-tech; feedback on oversight by the pharmacist; training; biggest challenges; and remote work.

The committee considered permanent expanded authority for pharmacy technicians to administer vaccines in addition to COVID and flu. Both pharmacists and technicians thought this should be embraced. The committee also considered the authority to receive verbal prescriptions and involvement with refills and prescription transfer as well as the ability to screen for patient consultation including the patient's ability to decline consultation. The committee discussed provide consultation for OTC medication and compile medication history list as well as final product verification.

Chairperson Veale reported on the proposed duties discussion that the committee reached consensus on expanding vaccines; receiving verbal prescriptions, clarifications, refill authorizations and transfers; and point of care testing. The committee felt the technician screening for patient consultation was beyond the scope but may need to be investigated deeper. The public and committee were not comfortable with the technician providing OTC consultations.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 25 of 47 Member Butler noted comments from pharmacists regarding liability when pharmacists are in a room and the pharmacy technicians are administering vaccines in another room. She added vaccines should remain optional if the pharmacy technician is interested in doing vaccines.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

Public comment expressed concern with a pharmacy technician to accept the declination by a pharmacy technician.

A representative from Albertsons/Safeway thanked the Board for the open discussion and hosting listening sessions. He commented in support of using pharmacy technicians for some of those functions under consideration and looked the discussion moving quickly to allow for changes in the law.

A representative from CRA/NACDS thanked the Board for the discussion and meeting noting some changes may assist pharmacists.

Chairperson Veale reported on the discussion about tech check tech after reviewing several models the committee discussed final product verification, liability and technology that enhances the ability to do tech check tech. Ms. Veale noted neither a positive nor negative movement toward tech check tech.

Chairperson Veale reported on the oversight discussion that the consensus was that the ratio should be increased but not a specific number. Technicians felt oversight was appropriate where pharmacists felt they needed a little more oversight. Members thought additional review of the listening sessions/survey results might be helpful to identify the differences in perception on oversight as well as further discuss the ratio. There was consensus that ratios should increase by some. It was suggested that a staffing floor may be appropriate.

Chairperson Veale reported on the training discussion that the technicians felt the training was adequate where the pharmacists felt more training was needed.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment.

Member Butler added pharmacists discussed workload and staffing challenges and liability of watching more than two technicians.

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 26 of 47

Member Cameron-Banks inquired about labor code laws. Ms. Smiley provided labor laws were included in pharmacy law definitions in addition to general labor laws.

Member Serpa suggested there may be some activities where direct line of sight may not be necessary in some instances.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles and via WebEx.

A pharmacist suggested that the discussion on oversight needs to get down to the task level.

A representative from CCAP commented about the need to increase the ratio and sought clarification on supervision requirements.

A representative from CRA/NACDS commented in support of increasing the ratio.

A representative from UFCW Western States Council commented indicating that staffing floor is very important and pharmacists are still working alone despite SB 1442.

Chairperson Veale reported on the biggest challenges and remote work discussion noting issues including workload, staffing, lack of technicians to be hired and working with insurance. Ms. Veale noted some technicians did remote through a mail order or hospital pharmacy who loved remoted work. Ms. Veale noted that maybe the remote work was not as prominent because remote work was not permanent. The committee discussed if the definition of technician should be reviewed because the definition requires working in a pharmacy.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment. Members Weisz and Butler commented the chair and executive officer for their work.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles and via WebEx.

A pharmacist suggested discussion on liability may be appropriate and ask individuals to clarify which type of liability they are referring to, civil, criminal, or administrative liability.

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 27 of 47

Member Butler stated she thought it was administrative liability that was the issue.

Counsel Smiley reminded participants with members at two physical locations once the Board is out of session the discussion about agendized items should stop and all discussions should be on the record.

The Board took a break from 11:12 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. Member Ricardo Sanchez left the meeting at 11:30 a.m. Roll call was taken and members present included Maria Serpa, Jignesh Patel, Kula Koenig, Jason Weisz, Jose De La Paz, Lavanza Butler, Indira Cameron-Banks, Debbie Veale and Seung Oh.

XIII. Legislation and Regulation Committee Report

President Oh provided the report of the Legislation and Regulation Committee Meeting held on April 26, 2022.

1. <u>Assembly Bill 646 (Low) Department of Consumer Affairs: Boards: Expunged</u> <u>Convictions</u>

President Oh reported this measure relates to posting of disciplinary actions stemming from a conviction of a crime where the individual's underlying offense is subsequently expunged pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code. Specifically, within 90 days of the receiving an expungement order the Board would be required to take specified actions.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment; however, no comments were made.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

2. Assembly Bill 1328 (Irwin) Clinical Laboratory Technology and Pharmacists

President Oh advised this measure would amend several provisions of the Business and Professions Code to expand the authority for pharmacists to perform CLIAwaived tests either approved or authorized by the FDA upon patient request or hospital authorization provided that there is a valid and respective CLIA certificate of waiver and laboratory license, with some exceptions. Further, this measure would amend Pharmacy Law to declare that pharmacy practice is a patient and public health-oriented health service that is continually evolving to include more

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 28 of 47

sophisticated and comprehensive patient care and public health activities.

President Oh advised there have been no changes to the measure. The Board previously established a support position.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment; however, no comments were made.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

3. <u>Assembly Bill 1662 (Gipson) Licensing Boards: Disqualification from Licensure:</u> <u>Criminal Conviction</u>

President Oh advised AB 1662 would allow a prospective applicant to request a preapplication determination based on information provided by the prospective applicant regarding their criminal conviction. The measure would require the Board to determine if the prospective applicant could be disqualified from licensure based upon the information submitted with the request. Dr. Oh advised the committee recommends a support, if amended position with suggested amendments to include, as part of the notification of the Board's determination, that such determination could change at the time of application should the Board subsequently receive additional information through the application process. Given it is anticipated there will be fiscal impact, the committee believes it is also appropriate to consider assessment a fee to perform this service and to request amendment to the measure to explicitly state that the Board has the authority to promulgate regulations necessary to implement the provision.

Members were provided the opportunity to comment. Member Koenig inquired if the Board was in contact with the author's office. Ms. Sodergren clarified the Board does not engage with author's offices until the Board has established a position.

Motion: Support, if amended

M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Support: 8Oppose: 0Abstain: 1Not Present: 3

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 29 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

4. Assembly Bill 1733 (Quirk)

President Oh advised AB 1733 would expand authority for the Board to convene meetings held entirely by teleconference under specified conditions which are detailed in the report. Regrettably the hearing on this measure was postponed by the Committee so we are unclear if the measure will move this year.

President Oh reported the committee considered the data provided in the meeting materials demonstrates that remote meetings expand access to participation in meetings, including by individuals who may not otherwise be available to participate because of health, costs or other barriers. This transition has also provided benefits to members and staff by eliminating travel time, travel expenses, etc., which resulted in a reduction in costs associated with public meetings, including a reduction in room rental costs and travel expenses. Such meetings also assist Board members in attending more meetings without the same degree of interruption in their full-time employment caused by travel to physical locations. Remote participation also allows for individuals to participate that may have disabilities or other limitations that prevent in person participation. Secondary to the other benefits, he noted the financial benefit to the board includes a reduction in annual expenditures.

Members briefly discussed the proposed amendment.

Motion:	Support, if amended
---------	---------------------

M/S: Committee Recommendation

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 30 of 47 Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

5. Assembly Bill 1795 (Fong)

President Oh advised AB 1795 would require the Board to provide all persons with the ability to participate both in-person at a physical location identified in the agenda and remotely, as defined, in any meeting and to address the body remotely. Dr. Oh noted this bill would not make other changes similar to AB 1733 to allow greater flexibility for Board members to participate remotely.

President Oh stated as with the meeting today, the Board made the decision to enable the public to participate remotely as well as in person. He noted that unlike AB 1733, there is no reduction in Board costs associated with this measure.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment; however, no comment was made.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

6. Assembly Bill 2055 (Low)

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 31 of 47 President Oh advised this measure was amended on April 21. As amended, the measure would move the CURES system from the California Department of Justice to the Board. As amended, the transition would occur on April 1, 2023. The measure would provide the board with authority to adopt emergency regulation as necessary to reorganize, clarify or make consistent regulations, including regulations previously adopted by the Department of Justice.

President Oh reported the committee discussed the importance of CURES as a vital system for health care providers to provide safe and appropriate care. To the extent rehoming the CURES system facilitates more robust use of the CURES system and improved functionality for pharmacists and other health care providers, such a move appears appropriate.

Dr. Oh noted the committee considered that it would be a significant undertaking for the Board, if given adequate time and resources, the Board could be well suited to manage the CURES system. The committee discussed concerns with the timeframe for transition.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. Member Veale inquired if staff could be hired and fees could be established. Ms. Sodergren provided the CURES fund would be transferred to the Board and anticipates staffing resources needed.

Member Cameron-Banks inquired about some of the legal implication and current oversight by DOJ.

- Motion: Support, if amended
- M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Support: 7 Oppose: 1 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 32 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Oppose
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

7. Assembly Bill 2092 (Weber)

President Oh reported AB 2092 would establish authority for a general acute care hospital to operate a HaH under specified conditions detailed in the meeting materials. Dr. Oh noted the scheduled committee hearing was postponed by the Assembly Health Committee. If approved, regulations may be required. Staff noted legal questions regarding the models provided.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. There were no comments made.

Motion: Watch

M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 33 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

8. <u>Assembly Bill 2194 (Ward) Pharmacists and technicians; continuing education:</u> <u>cultural competency</u>

President Oh advised AB 2194 would require that at least one of the 30 hours of required continuing education (CE) for pharmacists include participation in a cultural competency course, as defined. The bill would also prohibit the board from renewing a pharmacist or pharmacy technician license unless the applicant submits proof to the Board of completion of at least one hour of participation in a cultural competency course. The intent of the bill is to help ensure that pharmacists are providing culturally competent care to members of the LGBTQ+ community. This bill is co-sponsored by the California Pharmacists Association (CPhA) and Equality California.

President Oh reported the committee was recommending a Support, if amended position with amendments to clarify that certification of completion followed by an audit-based approach for compliance would be consistent with the provisions and a delay in implementation to allow time for impacted licensees to comply with the requirement in advance of their renewal.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. Member Veale commented in support but inquired if it was more encompassing that just the LGBTQ+ community as health care professionals should be sensitive to all cultures. Member De La Paz noted minorities and indigenous people were included in the measure.

Motion: Support, if Amended

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 34 of 47 Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

Support: 8Oppose: 0Abstain: 1Not Present: 3

The Board took a break from 12:02 p.m. to 12:45 p.m.. Roll Call: Maria Serpa, Jignesh Patel, Kula Koenig, Jason Weisz, Lavanza Butler, Indira Cameron-Banks, Jose De La Paz, Debbie Veale, and Seung Oh. A quorum was established.

9. Assembly Bill 2265 (Arambula)

President Oh reported AB 2265 would require a pharmacist to dispense a Schedule II drug in a lockable vial, as defined, as well as provide a copy of the Opioid Factsheet for Patients published by the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. It would further require the pharmacy to maintain the alphanumeric passcode where applicable in the patients' record. The measure would also establish exclusions to the provisions including if the prescriber indicates on the prescription that the patient requested not to receive their medication in a lockable vial.

Further, it would require the Board to establish a reasonable minimum and maximum amount of reimbursement that include the costs of the vial and services rendered and dispensing costs. Provides that a manufacturer must pay to compensate the pharmacy and establishes that a civil penalty may be assessed

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 35 of 47

and recovered if the manufacturer fails to reimburse the pharmacy.

President Oh reported the committee agreed with the staff recommendation to establish an oppose position on this measure and noted such action would be consistent with previous policy of the Board. Dr. Oh believed the same concerns exist with this measure including safety concerns from some populations as well as questioning the necessity for providing the specified fact sheet as not all Schedule II controlled substances are opioids. Further, the Board expressed concern that the provisions could impede patient care and medication adherence.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. No comments were made.

Motion: Oppose

M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

Support: 8Oppose: 0Abstain: 1Not Present: 3

10. Assembly Bill 2948 (Cooper)

President Oh reported Assembly Bill 2948 would require the Board to send a closure letter to a complainant within 60 days of the closure of the investigation. Dr. Oh

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 36 of 47 agreed with the staff recommendation to establish a support position but also noted that given the measure has not been scheduled for hearing, it is possible that it will not move this year.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. No comments were made.

Motion: Support

M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

11. Senate Bill 731 (Durazo) Criminal Records: Relief

President Oh reported under existing law, effective July 1, 2022, the Department of Justice is required to review arrest records on a monthly basis to identify arrest and conviction records that are eligible for record relief under specified conditions. This measure would make the current provisions effective for arrests that occurred on or after January 1, 2021, and would expand many of the provisions to include any felony arrest or conviction under specified conditions. Further, the measure would prohibit state or federal summary criminal history information from including records of arrest or convictions that were granted relief, unless the records require the

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 37 of 47 record-holder to register as a sex offender or other conditions.

President Oh provided the Board established an oppose unless amended for the reasons stated in the meeting materials. Board staff was previously advised that the author's office intends to move the bill this year; however, the measure was recently placed on the inactive file. Dr. Oh suggested no change and requested that staff monitor to ensure the measure does not move.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. No comments were made.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

12. Senate Bill 872 (Dodd) Pharmacies: Mobile Units

Senate Bill 872 would permit the Board to issue a license to a city or county to operate a mobile unit to provide prescription medications within its jurisdiction to individuals without a fixed address, individuals living in county-owned or city-and-county-owned housing facilities and individuals enrolled in Medi-Cal plans operated by the local jurisdiction or health department.

President Oh advised the committee was recommending a support if amended position with the identified areas for amendments to clarify several provisions including inventory provisions, PIC requirements, provisions for pharmacist care, and security requirements.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. Members sought clarification on eligibility for patients.

Motion: Support, if amended

M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. There were no comments made.

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 38 of 47

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

13. Senate Bill 958 (Limon) Medication and Patient Safety Act of 2022

President Oh advised SB 958 was amended on April 18, 2022, and has been referred to the Senate Appropriations Committee. The measure, entitled the Medication and Patient Safety Act seeks to address brown "brown bagging" and "white bagging". The bill states that it is the intent of the Legislature to ensure that infused and injected medications and related services remain available to all Californians who need them. The measure and enforcement of the provisions resides with the Department of Managed Health Care.

President Oh noted the issue was complex. Public comment received reiterated that the issue is complex. Comments included support for the measure, while other comments expressed concern the cost impacts. Dr. Oh noted the Board's primary jurisdiction is medication safety, patient safety and consumer protection. The Board must seek to support legislation that would ensure the highest level of patient safety. The committee offered a support if amended position with amendments related to the establishment of a threshold after which a confirmed number of violations are identified by a particular vendor, the Plan may no longer use that vendor.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide public comment. No comments were made.

- Motion: Support, if amended
- M/S: Committee Recommendation

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 39 of 47 Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

A pharmacist commented about the potential cost increase and suggested that it wait until the costs are detailed and suggested the measure may be premature.

A pharmacist representing the University of California (UC) Health representing the six UC Medical Centers commented the UC has a position of support noting the criticality of the measure.

Members discussed the measure would prohibit the insurance companies from requiring "white bagging" and "brown bagging." Ms. Smiley clarified "brown bagging" would be prohibited but "white bagging" would be permissible subject to conditions.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles and via WebEx.

A pharmacist commented this is shifting the cost savings to the payer or insurance company or whether the cost savings/profit to the hospital clinic or other entity.

Members clarified the motion.

A pharmacist encouraged the Board Members read the bill.

Support: 3 Oppose: 5 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

Board Member	Vote
Butler	No
Cameron-Banks	No
De La Paz	No
Kim	Not present
Koenig	No
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	No
Weisz	Abstain

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 40 of 47 Motion: Watch

M/S: Serpa/Oh

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comment. Member De La Paz commented in support of a watch position.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

A pharmacist commented in support of watch.

A representative of CCAP commented in support of the measure.

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

14.<u>Senate Bill 988 (Hueso)</u>

President Oh noted SB 988 would repeal the requirement that a hospital manage a terminal patient's personal use of medical cannabis in the same manner as Schedule II-IV drugs. Last year SB 988 established provisions for a terminally ill patient within a hospital to access their medicinal cannabis. Late amendments to the measure created conflicts with several provisions of state and federal law. The amendments appear consistent with the language of the letter published in the Senate Journal, wherein the author's office conveyed the intentions of the measure as it

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 41 of 47 appears to address the challenges created with the late amendments from last year.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comment; however, no comments were made.

Motion: Support

M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were made.

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

15. Senate Bill 1031 (Ochoa Bogh)

President Oh reported Senate Bill 1031 would reduce the renewal for an inactive license to be 50 percent of the renewal of an active license unless the Board established a lower fee. The measure recently passed out of the Business and Professions Committee and was referred to Senate Appropriations. Dr. Oh agreed with the committee's recommendation to watch this measure and believed staff need to review the measure to confirm this measure does not impact the Board's provisions in Business and Professions Code section 4231. He noted this measure would reduce the Board's revenue by about \$250,000/annually.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 42 of 47 Members were provided the opportunity to provide comment. Member Patel noted if the fees were lowered more people might retain an inactive license which could help during emergencies. Members discussed the possible impacts to the Board.

- Motion: Watch
- M/S: Committee Recommendation

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were made.

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

16. <u>Senate Bill 1237 (Newman)</u>

President Oh advised Senate Bill 1237 would expand the provisions for a fee waiver for a member of the military "called to active duty," and the term active duty would have the same meaning as "active duty" as defined in federal law. Dr. Oh agreed with the staff recommendation to support the measure.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comment; however, no comments were made.

Motion: Support

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 43 of 47 Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were made.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

17.<u>Senate Bill 1346 (Becker)</u>

Senate Bill 1346 would expand provisions for redistribution of unused donated medications. Dr. Oh agreed with staff's recommended position to establish an oppose unless amended position. This measure appears to further establish a second tier for medication standards for indigent patients. Dr. Oh is concerned with the further erosion of safeguards in place to ensure all patients receive safe and effective medications. He shared the concerns raised by staff, including repackaging and provisions related to co-mingling of inventory and record keeping. He noted staff requested information from the sponsor but have not yet received the requested information. The unlimited transfer provision coupled with the lack of recordkeeping required would prevent a pharmacist from identifying and quarantining medication identified by a donating entity if compromised.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comment; however, no comments were made.

Motion: Oppose unless amended

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 44 of 47 Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were made.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
Cameron-Banks	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Koenig	Support
Oh	Support
Patel	Support
Sanchez	Not present
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present
Veale	Support
Weisz	Abstain

Support: 8 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 3

18.<u>Senate Bill 1365 (Jones)</u>

President Oh advised Assembly Bill 1365 would require the Board to post on its website the list of criteria used to evaluate applicants with criminal convictions as specified. Further, it would require the department to develop a process for each board to use in verifying applicant information and performing background checks. The measure would require applicants with convictions to provide certified court documents instead of listing convictions on the application and would require a board to develop an informal appeals procedure to appeal a license denial. The measure would be considered in a policy committee hearing the same day as the Board Meeting.

Dr. Oh agreed with staff that a position may not be necessary on this measure. Staff included significant comments about the Board's current substantial relationship regulations. If the measure passes, it is most likely that once established, legislative changes would be needed to establish an informal appeals procedure. Regulations may also be necessary.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 45 of 47 Members were provided the opportunity to provide comment; however, no comments were made.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles and via WebEx. No comments were made.

XV. a – e Addressing all Regulations

President Oh reported remaining items were for information only and detailed in the meeting materials. Dr. Oh noted the Board currently had five regulations undergoing final review by the Department of Consumer Affairs, the four included on the agenda as such as well as the pharmacy technician regulation which was also recently submitted for post-adoption review. The Board had three regulations undergoing prenotice review by DCA and four regulations are with staff to draft the necessary rulemaking documents.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comment; however, no comments were made.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide public comment in the Sacramento location, the Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were made.

XVI. Executive Officer Report

Ms. Sodergren provided the Board has four broad waivers. Future waivers will be worked on with President Oh.

Ms. Sodergren noted there are changes in CURES to the authority for delegated functionality within the system. She noted there are regulations that support that use that are in process and under review by the Office of Administrative Law.

Ms. Sodergren advised the pass rates for the CPJE and NAPLEX are provided semiannually and included in the meeting materials. She noted a decrease in the pass rate of the CPJE and NAPLEX.

Ms. Sodergren reported the Licensing Statistics were provided as the Licensing Committee Report encompassed the Pharmacy Technician Summit.

> California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 46 of 47

Members discussed the pass rates may have been impacted by COVID learning loss and other factors. Ms. Sodergren confirmed the CPJE is psychometrically sound as determined by the DCA's Office of Professional Examination Services.

Public comment was received indicating a possible disconnect between NABP and ACPE standards.

President Oh recognized Lavanza "Cheryl" Butler for her service on the Board for many years working hard to help the Board achieve its consumer protection mandate. Dr. Oh stated Member Butler is an advocate for the right thing to do and exemplifies the high standard the Board desires. Dr. Oh presented a resolution to Member Butler for her nine years of service to the Board serving on the Communication and Public Education Committee, Legislation and Regulation Committee, Licensing Committee and Medication Error Reduction and Workforce Ad Hoc Committee. Dr. Oh read the resolution.

Members Veale and Weisz thanked Member Butler for her service, leadership and devotion to consumer protection. DCA Deputy Director Carrie Holmes thanked Member Butler for her service.

Member Butler stated it was her pleasure to serve on the Board as she cares about public protection and how people are treated. She noted it has been really rewarding to her to be on the Board. Member Butler thanked Governor Brown and Governor Newsom as they both appointed her to the Board. Member Butler thanked all of the Members and especially Member Veale for all of her help over the years. Member Butler stated she was happy to see President Oh as the President.

The open session concluded at 1:49 p.m.

XVII. Adjournment

Following completion of the open session at 1:49 p.m. the Board convened in closed session at 2:00 p.m. for the stated purposes indicated on the agenda. Due to technological limitations, adjournment for the day was not broadcast. The meeting adjourned at 3:03 p.m.

California State Board of Pharmacy Board Meeting Minutes – April 26-27, 2022 Page 47 of 47