California State Board of Pharmacy
1625 N. Market Blvd, Suite N 219, Sacramento, CA 95834
Phone (916) 574-7900
Fax (916) 574-8618
www.pharmacy.ca.gov

STATE AND CONSUMERS AFFAIRS AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR

Licensing Committee Report

Ruth Conroy, Pharm.D., Chair
Clarence Hiura, Pharm.D.

Report of June 15, 2006

ACTION

ACTION ITEM 1

That the Board of Pharmacy recognize the School of Pharmacy at the University of Appalachia.

Discussion
The University of Appalachia College of Pharmacy (UACP) is requesting that the Board of Pharmacy recognize its school of pharmacy for purposes of approving intern applications.

Current regulation, 16 CCR section 1719, states that a "recognized school of pharmacy" means a school accredited, or granted candidate status, by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE).

UACP was granted Pre-Candidate status by the ACPE in June 2005 to admit the first class of students in August 2005. The decision for the UACP to be eligible for advancement to candidate status will be made by at the ACPE board meeting scheduled for the end of June 2006. **(Attachment A)**

ACTION ITEM 2

That the Board of Pharmacy approve the strategic plan for the Licensing Committee.

Discussion
At the April board meeting, the board updated its strategic plan. However, several key tasks remained to finalize the new plan. To finalize the new plan, the Licensing Committee reviewed and updated its segment of the strategic plan.

The Licensing Committee reviewed each of the 12 strategic issues for content and relevancy to the goal of licensing. Then the committee reviewed each objective for relevancy under each strategic issue. As a result, some activities have been added. **(Attachment B)**

NO ACTION

Restrictions on the Transfer of California Pharmacist License to Other States
In a memorandum dated March 31, 2006, the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy reported on the state restrictions for license transfer. These state restrictions apply to an applicant when reciprocating to a jurisdiction using a particular license. While most states do reciprocate with each other; however, several states do not allow an applicant to transfer when using particular license for the basis of transfer. Currently there are 26 states (jurisdictions) that do not allow transfer when using a California license for the basis of the transfer.

The Licensing Committee requested that staff contact these 26 states to determine why a California license cannot be used for the basis of the transfer and determine what options if any that would allow the use of the California license. **(Attachment C)**

Meeting Summary of June 15, 2006 (Attachment D)

Licensing Statistics (Attachment E)
These licensing statistics reflect the 2005-2006 fiscal year. A three-year summary is also provided.

Competency Committee Report (Attachment F)
The Competency Committee develops and scores the California Pharmacist Jurisprudence Examination.

Final Status Report on Committee Goals for 2005/06 (Attachment G)

ATTACHMENT A

UNIVERSITY OF APPALACHIA COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
Innovative Pharmacy Practice and Education

Debbie Anderson
Associate Analyst
California State Board of Pharmacy
1625 N Market Boulevard, Suite N219
Sacramento, CA 95834

May 14, 2006

Re: Recognition of University of Appalachia College of Pharmacy

Ms. Anderson,

I would like to acquaint you with the University of Appalachia College of Pharmacy. We are a new college of pharmacy located in Grundy, VA in the central Appalachian region. Our professional program encompasses three years of academic year plus summer studies and experiences for students to earn the Doctor of Pharmacy degree. This three-year curriculum fosters critical thinking, problem solving, entrepreneurship, and civic responsibility. Our focus is on preparation of graduates for direct patient care in all practice settings with an emphasis on rural settings as befits our location.

The experiential portion of the curriculum involves three levels of student experiences. The Early Pharmacy Practice Experience (EPPE) is comprised of community pharmacy practice experience and hospital/institutional experience. The EPPE prepares students for the realities of pharmacy practice. Every other Wednesday during the first and second year of the Program, UACP students go to pharmacy practice sites to acquire knowledge relevant to the profession of pharmacy and to integrate didactic information with skills observed and practiced at the site. Students keep a pharmacy practice diary containing notes, descriptions, and reflections of their EPPE experiences. The EPPE prepares students to advance to the Core Pharmacy Practice Experience (CPPE) which consists of two 22 day training experiences, one in community pharmacy practice and the other in institutional pharmacy practice. The Advance Pharmacy Practice Experience (APPE) is the final component of the experiential curriculum and is comprised of seven five-week training rotations designed to expand the students' knowledge and scope of practice.

UACP was granted Pre-Candidate status by the Accreditation Council on Pharmacy Education (ACPE) at their meeting in June 2005. This allowed us to admit our first class of students in August 2005 and these students are finishing their first year of studies this month. Students will spend this summer on CPPE practice sites learning patient care and dispensing skills.

We had a site visit from ACPE in late April and the exit interview indicated that the team would provide a positive recommendation regarding confirmation of our Pre-Candidate status. The team recommended that UACP apply for candidate status in spring 2007.

Further information may be obtained from our website at http://www.uacp.org or by calling the college at (276) 935-4277.

P.O. Box 2858 Grundy, VA 24614 • Phone: (276) 935-4277 • Fax: (276) 935-4279 • www.universityofappalachia.org

Thank you for your consideration of our request to be recognized by the California State Board of Pharmacy.

Sincerely,

Lanny E. Foss, PhD
Professor and Acting Dean

ATTACHMENT B

Licensing Committee

Goal 2:	**Ensure the qualifications of licensees.**
Outcome:	**Qualified licensees**

Objective 2.1:	**Issue licenses within three working days of a completed application by June 30, 2011.**
Measures:	**Percentage of licenses issued within 3 work days**
Tasks:	1. Review 100 percent of all applications within 7 work days of receipt. 2. Process 100 percent of all deficiency documents within 5 work days of receipt. 3. Make a licensing decision within 3 work days after all deficiencies are corrected. 4. Issue professional and occupational licenses to those individuals and firms that meet minimum requirements. • Pharmacists • Intern pharmacists • Pharmacy technicians • Foreign educated pharmacists (evaluations) • Pharmacies • Non-resident pharmacies • Wholesaler drug facilities • Veterinary food animal drug retailers • Exemptees (the non-pharmacists who may operate sites other than pharmacies) • Out-of-state distributors • Clinics • Hypodermic needle and syringe distributors 5. Withdraw applications of applicants not meeting board requirements or where the application has been abandoned.

Objective 2.2: **Measure:**	**Cashier 100 percent of all application and renewal fees within two working days of receipt by June 30, 2005.** **Percentage of cashiered application and renewal fees within 2 working days**
Tasks:	1. Cashier application fees. 2. Cashier renewal fees 3. Secure online renewal of licenses

Objective 2.3: **Measure:**	**Update 100 percent of all information changes to licensing records within 5 working days by June 30, 2005.** **Percentage of licensing records changes within 5 working days**
Tasks:	1. Make address and name changes. 2. Process discontinuance of businesses forms and related components. 3. Process changes in pharmacist-in-charge and designated representative-in-charge. 4. Process off-site storage applications. 5. Transfer of intern hours to other states

Objective 2.4: **Measure:**	**Implement at least 25 changes to improve licensing decisions by June 30, 2011.** **Number of implemented changes**

Tasks:	1. Determine why 26 states do not allow the use of a CA license as the basis for transfer a pharmacist license to that state. 2. Work with the University of California to evaluate the drug distribution system of its clinics and their appropriate licensure. 3. Work with the Department of Corrections on the licensure of pharmacies in prisons. 4. Work with local and state officials on emergency preparedness and planning for pandemic and disasters. Planning to include the storage and distribution of drugs to assure patient access and safety. 5. Evaluate the need to issue a provisional license to pharmacy technician trainees.

Objective 2.5: **Measure:**	**Evaluate five emerging public policy initiatives affecting pharmacists' care or public safety by June 30, 2011.** **Number of public policy initiatives evaluated**
Tasks:	

ATTACHMENT C

2006 APR -4 PM 12:56

nabp

National Association of Boards of Pharmacy

1600 Feehanville Drive • Mount Prospect, IL 60056-6014
Tel: 847/391-4406 • Fax: 847/391-4502
Web Site: www.nabp.net

TO: EXECUTIVE OFFICERS – STATE BOARDS OF PHARMACY

FROM: Mary A. Dickson, Associate Executive Director MAD

DATE: March 31, 2006

RE: State Restrictions for Licensure Transfer

As a follow-up to the Licensure Transfer Process Memo sent on March 10, 2006, NABP would like to take this opportunity to share restrictions that apply to an applicant when reciprocating to a jurisdiction using a particular license. Most states **do** reciprocate with each other; however, several states do not allow an applicant to transfer when using a particular license for the basis of transfer.

Currently the following 17 jurisdictions do **not** allow transfer when using a **Florida** license for the basis of transfer:

Alabama	Louisiana	Oregon
Arkansas	Minnesota	Tennessee
Connecticut	Nevada	West Virginia
Georgia	North Carolina	Wyoming
Hawaii	Ohio	
Idaho	Oklahoma	

Currently the following 26 jurisdictions do **not** allow transfer when using a **California** license for the basis of transfer:

Alabama	Idaho	Maryland	Oklahoma	West Virginia
Arkansas	Indiana	Mississippi	Pennsylvania	Wyoming
Colorado	Iowa	Montana	Rhode Island	
Connecticut	Kentucky	Nevada	Utah	
District of Columbia	Louisiana	New Jersey	Vermont	
Georgia	Maine	North Carolina	Washington	

With the recent Bylaw change (effective May 23, 2005); licensure transfer applicants will no longer be required to maintain the license that was required by original examination in order to transfer into some jurisdictions. A recent survey conducted by NABP on September 16, 2005, indicates that this is not the case for all jurisdictions.

Currently the following 20 jurisdictions will require licensure transfer applicants to maintain their license by original examination:

Alabama	District of Columbia	Missouri	New York	South Carolina
Alaska	Kentucky	Nevada	North Dakota	South Dakota
Arizona	Louisiana	New Hampshire	Oklahoma	West Virginia
Arkansas	Maine	New Jersey	Oregon	Wyoming

* Please note: not all jurisdictions replied to the survey, and some decisions are pending.

Currently the following 21 jurisdictions will not require licensure transfer applicants to maintain their license by original examination, but the licensure transfer applicant must have a license in good standing from a member board of pharmacy and transferred their license through the NABP Clearinghouse:

California	Illinois	Massachusetts	Nebraska	Texas	Wisconsin
Delaware	Indiana	Minnesota	Ohio	Utah	
Georgia	Iowa	Mississippi	Puerto Rico	Vermont	
Idaho	Maryland	Montana	Rhode Island	Virginia	

* Please note: not all jurisdictions replied to the survey, and some decisions are pending.

We hope you find this information helpful to understanding the license transfer restrictions posed on licensure transfer applicants. If you have any questions about the restrictions, please contact me via phone at 847/391-4400 or 1-800/774-6227 or via e-mail at mdickson@nabp.net. Thank you.

cc: NABP Executive Committee
Carmen A. Catizone, Executive Director/Secretary

ATTACHMENT D

California State Board of Pharmacy
1625 N. Market Blvd, Suite N 219, Sacramento, CA 95834
Phone (916) 574-7900
Fax (916) 574-8618
www.pharmacy.ca.gov

STATE AND CONSUMERS AFFAIRS AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR

LICENSING COMMITTEE
Meeting Summary

DATE: June 15, 2006

TIME: 9:30 a.m. – 12 noon

LOCATION: Hilton Burbank Airport & Convention Center
2500 Hollywood Way
Burbank, CA 91505-1019

BOARD MEMBERS Ruth Conroy, Pharm.D., Chair
Clarence Hiura, Pharm.D.

STAFF PRESENT: Patricia Harris, Executive Officer
Virginia Herold, Assistant Executive Officer
Robert Ratcliff, Supervising Inspector

Call to Order
Committee Chair Ruth Conroy called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

Request for Board Recognition of the School of Pharmacy at the University of Appalachia
Chair Ruth Conroy reported that the University of Appalachia College of Pharmacy (UACP) is requesting that the Board of Pharmacy recognize its school of pharmacy for purposes of approving intern applications.

Current regulation, 16 CCR section 1719, states that a "recognized school of pharmacy" means a school accredited, or granted candidate status, by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE).

UACP was granted Pre-Candidate status by the ACPE in June 2005 to admit the first class of students in August 2005. The decision for the UACP to be eligible for advancement to candidate status will be made by at the ACPE board meeting scheduled for the end of June 2006.

The Licensing Committee recommended that the Board of Pharmacy recognize UCAP.

Restrictions on the Transfer of California Pharmacist License to Other States
In a memorandum dated March 31, 2006, the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy reported on the state restrictions for license transfer. These state restrictions apply to an applicant when reciprocating to a jurisdiction using a particular license. While most states do reciprocate with each other; however, several states do not allow an applicant to transfer when using particular license for the basis of transfer. Currently there are 26 states (jurisdictions) that do not allow transfer when using a California license for the basis of the transfer.

The Licensing Committee requested that staff contact these 26 states to determine why a California license cannot be used for the basis of the transfer and determine what options if any that would allow the use of the California license. The committee requested that this information be reported at the next committee meeting.

Report on the ACPE Site Visit at Touro University College of Pharmacy
Former Board Member John Tilley participated as the board representative on the ACPE accreditation team for the site visit of Touro University, in Vallejo, on April 25th and 26th.

He reported that the ACPE site visit included several meetings. The meetings were with potential preceptors, different teams of faculty and students. There was also a tour of the facilities. Mr. Tilley reported that Touro's is petitioning ACPE to allow them to do two years of classroom combined with two years of rotation. This is unlike most other colleges of pharmacy where there are three years of classroom work and the fourth year is rotations.

Mr. Tilley stated that he found the meeting with the students the most interesting because they were very excited to be in the first year class for Touro. They felt it was up to them to shape their own future and be responsible for the success of the program. The site team also reviewed the admittance process.

He concluded his report by adding that currently there is just one classroom that the first year pharmacy students are using for their class activities and lectures. Beginning this fall, there was concern about sharing one classroom for all the students when Touro adds its second first year class. However, Touro plans to expand its facilities in two years.

Mr. Tilley thanked the Board of Pharmacy for allowing him to participate on the ACPE site visit. He appreciated the experience.

ACPE Continuing Education (CE) Provider Advisory Committee Meeting
ACPE distributed its revised *ACPE Definition of Continuing Education for the Profession of Pharmacy.* The distribution was profession-wide with a comment period of October 11th - December 8, 2005. This revision was necessary because of various changes taking place in pharmacy. The American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy recently updated the contemporary educational outcomes needed to prepare pharmacists for their evolving role in meeting patient and public health needs. These outcomes will be incorporated into ACPE's revision of the Standards for Professional Degree Programs in Pharmacy (the standards for Doctor of Pharmacy programs). In addition, NABP recently redefined its blueprint for the pharmacist licensing examination. A Future Vision of Pharmacy Practice was agreed upon by the Joint Commission of Pharmacy Practitioners and its believe that continuing education will be

a key variable to achieving this vision. In addition, ACPE-accredited providers and state boards of pharmacy have requested ACPE assistance in distinguishing continuing education offerings designed for pharmacists from CE designed for pharmacy technicians.

The revised definition was also presented and discussed at ACPE's 11th Annual Conference of Continuing Education, NABP's Fall Education Meeting and the ASHP Midyear meeting. In addition, forty-seven responses were received. The original timeline indicated that the comments would be reviewed, discussed, and a new *Definition* would be approved by the Board of Directors at their January 2006 meeting. Because of the number of concerns, ACPE decided to review and discuss the comments with its CE Provider Advisory Committee and its stakeholders. Former Board Member John Jones participated in this ACPE's advisory meeting in May.

Mr. Jones reported that the advisory committee discussed the draft and were able to provide guidance to ACPE. The advisory committee expressed the importance that ACPE assure the quality of CE especially on-line CE where it is evident that the assessment component has been compromised.

The Licensing Committee thanked Mr. Jones and directed staff to evaluate the standards once ACPE adopts them to determine whether the board's regulations need to be revised.

Review of Strategic Plan
Chair Ruth Conroy reported that at its April meeting, the board updated its strategic plan. However, several key tasks remain to finalize the new plan, which will be reviewed by the board at the July meeting. To finalize the new plan, the Licensing Committee reviewed and updated its segment of the strategic plan.

The Licensing Committee reviewed each of the 12 strategic issues for content and relevancy to the goal of licensing. Then the committee reviewed each objective for relevancy under each strategic issue.

The committee recommended that the board approve the committee's strategic plan.

Competency Committee Report
Assistant Executive Officer Virginia Herold reported that at the October 2005 board meeting, the board approved the use of the new content outline for the California Pharmacist Jurisprudence Examination (CPJE) given on or after April 1, 2006. The board began using the new content outline for CPJE exams effective April 1, 2006.

She also reported that the Board of Pharmacy performs periodic quality assurance assessments to ensure the appropriateness of the California Pharmacist Jurisprudence Examination (CPJE). The board initiated such a study on April 1, 2006. To assure the thoroughness of this assessment, approximately 400 individuals will be needed for participation. Once enough candidates have taken the CPJE, release of examination scores should resume on a weekly basis, usually within 14 days after a candidate takes the examination.

Based on the number of candidates who took the CPJE last year during this same period, the board anticipated releasing scores by the end of June 2006. After two full months of exam admistration, fewer than 100 candidates have taken the CPJE. At this rate, the anticipated results release date will be after the projected end of June 2006. In order to complete the quality assurance assessment, 400 candidates are required to take the CPJE. Candidates who have taken the exam have been advised about this delay in releasing exam results.

Ms. Herold stated that the Office of Examination Resources within the Department of Consumer Affairs is renewing its contract with a vendor to provide computer based testing. The board uses this contract's vendor to administer the CPJE. The current contract expires December 1, 2006.

The Department of General Services in conjunction with the Department of Consumer Affairs issued the Notification of Intent to Award the contract to Thomson Learning, Inc. This is the same company that owns the current vendor, Thomson Prometric. However, one losing bidder has filed an appeal, which must be resolved or denied before the contract will be in place.

The contract implementation date is November 16, 2006. The duration of the contract is 3 years with 2 one-year optional extensions.

Adjournment

Chair Ruth Conroy adjourned the meeting at 12 noon.

ATTACHMENT E

Board of Pharmacy Licensing Statistics - Fiscal Year 2005/06

	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV**	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	FYTD
APPLICATIONS													
Received													
Pharmacist (exam applications)	79	153	117	75	93	69	63	51	89	178	380		1347
Pharmacist (initial licensing applications)	32	439	149	13	202	75	94	16	105	63	48		1236
Intern pharmacist	35	234	232	255	53	53	68	57	48	102	98		1235
Pharmacy technician	369	558	609	556	484	447	450	490	593	570	742		5868
Pharmacy	39	36	30	18	30	30	18	20	30	33	536	19	839
Sterile Compounding	14	10	1	1	3	5	5	3	3	7	7	3	62
Clinics	5	5	1	10	4	2	7	7	6	6	8	4	65
Hospitals	1	2	0	4	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Non-Resident Pharmacy	2	7	5	3	5	5	6	3	5	3	10	1	55
Licensed Correctional Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hypodermic Needle and Syringes	0	1	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	1	1	3	14
Non-Resident Wholesalers	7	7	5	17	11	15	5	6	16	9	5	16	119
Wholesalers	2	19	2	9	5	2	5	3	5	6	15	8	81
Veterinary Food-Animal Drug Retailer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Designated Representatives	26	61	51	74	42	56	52	70	68	56	51	31	638
Issued													
Pharmacist	146	334	161	19	224	70	81	21	112	61	30		1259
Intern pharmacist	42	140	272	219	260	81	83	29	55	88	67		1336
Pharmacy technician	438	569	491	443	504	338	485	687	412	483	507		5357
Pharmacy	45	42	31	19	20	20	32	15	44	29	27	640	964
Sterile Compounding	5	5	12	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	5	0	57
Clinics	15	8	7	0	4	5	5	4	12	1	4	8	73
Hospitals	1	5	0	2	4	3	5	1	3	1	2	0	27
Non-Resident Pharmacy	9	3	7	2	3	4	4	3	1	0	2	3	41
Licensed Correctional Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Hypodermic Needle and Syringes	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
Non-Resident Wholesalers	10	13	5	3	5	2	23	5	4	6	7	0	83
Wholesalers	5	5	5	4	6	0	22	4	3	1	9	2	66
Veterinary Food-Animal Drug Retailer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Designated Representatives	42	47	33	59	31	31	82	83	90	46	40	20	604

*Denotes updated to include pending files to process and processed pending files.
**Denotes Pharmacist, Intern and Pharmacy Technician applications received updated to correct previous data inputting error.

Board of Pharmacy Licensing Statistics - Fiscal Year 2005/06

	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	FYTD
Pending*													
Pharmacist Examination	u/a	u/a	u/a	u/a	u/a	139	u/a	u/a	57	u/a	u/a	173	
Intern pharmacist	u/a	u/a	218	u/a	u/a	210	u/a	u/a	222	u/a	u/a	295	
Pharmacy technician	906	668	727	730	964	844	812	863	1015	1222	1597	1926	
Pharmacy	43	30	36	42	57	54	46	52	40	61	69	651	40
Sterile Compounding	38	40	33	32	29	32	34	30	29	27	34	30	29
Clinics	48	49	45	53	55	51	48	51	41	48	58	68	41
Hospitals	12	8	7	5	7	12	12	12	9	8	6	6	9
Non-Resident Pharmacy	19	20	14	15	12	11	14	20	20	21	29	50	20
Licensed Correctional Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hypodermic Needle and Syringes	1	1	1	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Non-Resident Wholesalers	54	53	50	49	63	54	55	59	67	67	78	92	67
Wholesalers	24	22	24	24	32	27	31	37	38	39	45	49	38
Veterinary Food-Animal Drug Retailer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Designated Representatives	116	130	148	163	174	201	103	124	102	112	123	134	102
Change of Pharmacist-in-Charge													
Received	72	128	128	110	89	99	94	82	153	134	137	226	1452
Processed	102	92	97	100	90	149	92	110	0	164	0	172	1168
Pending	209	245	276	286	285	197	199	171	324	294	431	485	485
Change of Exemptee-in-Charge													
Received	2	2	0	9	5	4	5	1	4	7	6	0	45
Processed	2	2	0	6	4	11	18	1	4	7	0	0	55
Pending	8	8	8	11	12	13	0	0	0	0	6	6	6
Change of Permits													
Received	33	73	39	69	58	50	36	29	44	73	57	45	606
Processed	21	50	48	69	56	21	31	37	58	19	25	29	464
Pending	171	194	184	184	186	215	220	212	198	252	284	300	300
Discontinuance of Business													
Received	17	17	9	7	8	12	16	18	24	23	16	17	184
Processed	30	1	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	59	0	0	151
Pending	39	55	64	71	79	91	46	64	88	52	68	85	85

*Denotes updated to include pending files to process and processed pending files.

**Denotes Pharmacist, Intern and Pharmacy Technician applications received updated to correct previous data inputting error.

Board of Pharmacy Licensing Statistics - Fiscal Year 2005/06

	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	FYTD
Renewals Received													
Pharmacist	1019	3078	1398	1362	1136	1245	1334	1181	1214	1323	608		14898
Pharmacy technician	1279	3553	1500	1503	1348	1380	1620	1494	1598	1647	906		17828
Pharmacy	591	592	903	493	242	310	407	602	1248	218	282		5888
Sterile Compounding	11	44	21	22	7	8	7	15	19	20	7		181
Clinics	60	126	64	79	59	44	80	62	78	67	56		775
Non-Resident Pharmacy	21	26	15	17	9	13	18	24	22	16	19		200
Hypodermic Needle and Syringes	20	35	19	24	39	25	21	23	17	19	12		254
Non-Resident Wholesalers	26	52	23	30	23	7	39	30	36	22	25		313
Wholesalers	25	97	35	33	17	12	56	27	35	35	26		398
Veterinary Food-Animal Drug Retailer	1	3	2	0	1	1	2	0	2	2	1		15
Designated Representatives	111	320	151	132	68	105	236	175	190	155	119		1762

The data for renewals received for June is not yet available.

*Denotes updated to include pending files to process and processed pending files.
**Denotes Pharmacist, Intern and Pharmacy Technician applications received updated to correct previous data inputting error.

APPLICATIONS		FYTD 2003/04	FYTD 2004/05	FYTD 2005/2006	Number of Active Licenses 2003/04	Number of Active Licenses 2004/05 As of 06/05/05	Number of Active Licenses 2005/06 As of 07/01/06
Received							
Pharmacist (exam applications)		1848		**1347	31071	32161	33934
Pharmacist (initial licensing applications)		1025		**1236	n/a	n/a	n/a
Intern pharmacist		1871		**1235	4259	4114	4102
Pharmacy technician		7108		**5868	41068	43972	48612
Pharmacy		328	288	839	5645	5722	6460
Sterile Compounding		96	60	62	187	225	254
Clinics		135	126	65	872	956	1030
Hospitals		23	35	13	544	531	541
Nonresident Pharmacy		69	71	55	216	239	297
Licensed Correctional Facility		2	1	0	42	42	43
Hypodermic Needle and Syringes		52	26	14	275	283	289
Non-Resident Wholesaler		16	95	119	337	370	418
Wholesalers		136	77	81	477	471	455
Veterinary Food-Animal Drug Retailer		0	1	4	19	18	19
Designated Representative		485	467	638	2911	2145	3052
Issued							
Pharmacist		998	*1458	1272			
Intern pharmacist		1427	*1364	1411			
Pharmacy technician		7968	*5350	5862			
Pharmacy		370	327	964			
Sterile Compounding		182	53	57			
Clinics		146	127	73			
Hospitals		24	39	27			
Nonresident Pharmacy		62	58	41			
Licensed Correctional Facility		2	1	1			
Hypodermic Needle and Syringes		35	37	10			
Non-Resident Wholesaler		65	71	83			
Wholesalers		86	51	66			
Veterinary Food-Animal Drug Retailer		2	2	0			
Designated Representative		473	449	604			

* Data through 05/31/05

ATTACHMENT F

California State Board of Pharmacy
1625 N. Market Blvd, Suite N219, Sacramento, CA 95834
Phone (916) 574-7900
Fax (916) 574-8618
www.pharmacy.ca.gov

STATE AND CONSUMERS AFFAIRS AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR

To: Board Members

Date: July 17, 2006

From: Board of Pharmacy

Subject: Competency Committee Report

Exam Result Delay

Periodically, the Board of Pharmacy performs quality assurance assessments to ensure the appropriateness of the California Pharmacist Jurisprudence Examination (CPJE). The board initiated such a study on April 1, 2006. To assure the thoroughness of this assessment, approximately 400 individuals will be needed for participation

Based on the number of candidates who took the CPJE last year during this same period, the board anticipated releasing scores by the end of June 2006. After three full months, the anticipated results release date will be after the projected end of July 2006.

Candidates who have taken the exam have been advised about this delay in releasing exam results. Once enough the quality assurance has been completed, release of examination scores should resume on a weekly basis, usually within 14 days after a candidate takes the examination.

Test Administration Contract

The Office of Examination Resources (OER) within the Department of Consumer Affairs is seeking a new contract with a vendor to provide computer based testing through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process. The board uses this contract to administer the CPJE. The current contract expires December 1, 2006.

The OER Request for Proposal (RFP) for computer-based testing (CBT) was released in December 2005. The RFP process was concluded and an Intent to Award was posted. DGS received a protest from one of the unsuccessful bidders. After considering what would be in the best interest of the State, DGS made the decision to cancel the RFP.

OER and DGS worked to rewrite and release a new RFP on July 12. The new RFP process has been issued as a fast track with a tentative award date

of October 2006. New services resulting from the RFP process are tentatively scheduled to begin in April 2007.

The current contract is still active and services will continue to be provided to the DCA CBT clients. There has also been new protest language added to the RFP that states if a protest is received, DCA may award a contract during the protest process.

Annual Meeting

The Competency Committee will meet on August 3 and 4, 2006, for its annual meeting. The purpose of the annual meeting is to focus on long-term goals of the committee as well as to review the examination process to make improvements. The committee will also develop questions for the item bank.

CPJE Pass Rate

The CPJE pass rate for the 2005/06 fiscal year is 79.93 percent.

Detailed statistical reports for the CPJE and NAPLEX are provided to the board in October and April. Copies of these reports can be found at http://www.pharmacy.ca.gov/about/pass_rates.htm.

ATTACHMENT G

Licensing Committee

2005-2006
Third Quarter Report
July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006

Goal 2: **Ensure the professional qualifications of licensees.**

Outcome: **Qualified licensees.**

Objective 2.1: **Issue licenses within three working days of a completed application by June 30, 2006.**

Measures: **Percentage of licenses issued within 3 working days.**

A new tracking system has been implemented.

Tasks: **1. Review 100 percent of all applications within 7 working days of receipt.**

Note: Foreign graduate applications are not being processed (with a few exceptions) because of the changes outlined in SB 1913. Upon completion of the procedures and revision of the necessary forms, the board will resume this workload.

	Apps. Received:				**Average Days to Process:**			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Pharmacist (exam applications)	349	237	203*	558**	12.5	5.9	8.3	13.2
Pharmacist (initial licensing)	620	290	215*	111**	4.1	3.4	3.1	7.0
Pharmacy Intern	501	361	173*	200**	8	10	30	30
Pharmacy Technicians	1536	1487	1533*	1312**	8	10	14	21
Pharmacies	108	65	70	588	11	15	15	10
Non-Resident Pharmacy	14	12	10	14	9	18	30	30
Wholesaler	23	15	12	29	16	15	30	30
Veterinary Drug Retailer	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Designated Representative	138	174	180	138	6	5	5	15
Out-of-State Distributor	19	36	23	30	19	15	30	30
Clinics	11	14	16	18	13	14	10	21
Hypo Needle & Syringe	1	2	6	5	1	5	10	10
Sterile Compounding	25	4	11	17	2	5	2	10

*Denotes information updated to include March 2006 data.
**Denotes April and May 2006 information available at time of report development.

2. **Process 100 percent of all deficiency documents within 3 working days of receipt.**

Average days to process deficiency:

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Pharmacist (exam applications)	1-3	3	14	14
Pharmacist (initial licensing)	1-3	1	2	3
Pharmacy Intern	7	7	30	21
Pharmacy Technicians	10	7	10	21
Pharmacies	4	10	5	5
Non-Resident Pharmacy	9	10	6	2
Wholesaler	4	5	6	3
Veterinary Drug Retailer	0	0	0	0
Designated Representative	1	1	1	1
Out-of-State Distributor	4	5	6	3
Clinics	2	12	3	2
Hypo Needle & Syringe	1	1	1	3

3. **Make a licensing decision within 3 working days after all deficiencies are corrected.**

Average days to issue license:

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Pharmacist (exam applications)	3-5	1	3	3
Pharmacist (initial licensing)	3-5	1	2	2
Pharmacy Intern	5	5	5	5
Pharmacy Technicians	5	5	5	5
Pharmacies	3	2	5	5
Non-Resident Pharmacy	5	5	5	5
Wholesaler	5	5	5	3
Veterinary Drug Retailer	0	0	0	0
Designated Representative	2	1	1	2
Out-of-State Distributor	5	5	5	3
Clinics	6	2	1	2
Hypo Needle & Syringe	2	1	1	1

4. **Issue professional and occupational licenses to those individuals and firms that meet minimum requirements.**

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Pharmacist	641	313	214*	91**
Pharmacy Intern	454	560	167*	155**
Pharmacy Technician	1498	1285	1584*	990**
Pharmacies	124	68	101	696
Non-Resident Pharmacy	19	9	8	5
Wholesaler	15	10	29	12
Veterinary Drug Retailer	0	0	0	0
Designated Representative	122	121	253	106
Out-of-State Distributor	28	10	32	13
Clinics	30	9	21	13
Hypo Needle & Syringe	3	3	4	0
Sterile Compounding	22	13	14	8

*Denotes information updated to include March 2006 data.
**Denotes April and May 2006 information available at time of report development.

5. **Withdrawn licenses to applicants not meeting board requirements.**

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Pharmacy Technician	0	0	6	1
Pharmacies	0	0	10	0
Non-Resident Pharmacy	6	1	0	0
Clinics	0	1	0	0
Sterile Compounding	0	0	0	0
Designated Representative	23	17	62	0
Hypo Needle & Syringe	1	0	2	0
Out-of-State Distributor	6	5	2	3
Wholesaler	5	2	0	0

Objective 2.2: **Implement at least 50 changes to improve licensing decisions by June 30, 2006.**

Measure: **Number of implemented changes.**

Tasks: 1. **Review Pharmacist Intern Program.**

9/04 *Governor signed SB 1913 that contained new intern provisions to become effective 1/05.*

9/04 *Licensing Committee recommended changes to 1728 to implement SB 1913.*

9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended a change to 1719 to register interns who are enrolled in a school of pharmacy that has been granted "candidate status" by ACPE.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended omnibus change to 1726 consistent with SB 1913.*
12/04	*Revised application and instructions to reflect changes from SB 1913 effective 1/1/05.*
10/05	*Revisions to 1719, 1720, 1726, 1727, and 1728 became effective. Regulation changes were necessary to implement SB 1915.*
1/06	*Received a request from USC to increase the number of hours an intern can earn for pharmacy related experience outside a pharmacy.*
3/06	*Licensing Committee considered a proposal to increase the number of hours that an intern can earn outside a pharmacy to 400 hours. The committee forwarded the proposal to the board without a recommendation.*
	2. Implement changes to the Pharmacy Technician Program.
1/04	*a. Use PTCB as a qualifying method for registration. – Completed.*
1/04	*b. Change education qualifications from A.A. degree in health science to A.A. degree in Pharmacy Technology. – Completed.*
9/04	*c. Eliminate clerk-typist from pharmacist supervisory ratio. Completed – regulation approved by OAL, change effective 10/3/04.*
9/04	*Enforcement Committee recommended technical changes to the regulatory requirements for pharmacy technicians.*
10/04	*Board approved the recommendation and will sponsor legislation in 2005.*
3/05	*SB 1111 (B&P Committee) was introduced.*
1/06	*Pharmacy technician provisions became effective.*
	3. Administer a pharmacist licensure exam more than twice a year.
3/04	*Completed – CA applications began taking the NAPLEX and CPJE.*
9/05	*849 California applicants have taken the NAPLEX and 799 have taken the CPJE since July 1, 2005.*
10/05	*Released CPJE statistics for 4/1/05 – 9/30/05.*

1/06	*1,114 California applicants have taken the NAPLEX and 1,176 have taken the CPJE since July 1, 2005.*
4/06	*Released CPJE statistics for 10/1/05 – 3/31/06 at the April board meeting.*
4/06	*1,306 California applicants have taken the NAPLEX and 1,420 have taken the CPJE since July 1, 2005.*
7/06	*1,512 California applicants have taken the NAPLEX and 1,633 have taken the CPJE since July 1, 2005.*
	4. Assist applicants in preparing to take the California pharmacist licensure examination by developing (or fostering the development of) educational programs and information on how to prepare for the pharmacist exam and by requesting that outside agencies (schools of pharmacy and private educational organizations) develop exam workshops that prepare applicants for the California Pharmacist Exam.
10/05	*Contacted by instructors for potential new exam review course.*
10/05	*The board approved the use of the new content outline for the California Pharmacist Jurisprudence Examination (CPJE) given on or after April 1, 2006.*
12/05	*The board posted the updated Content Outline on the Web site.*
1/06	*Candidates notified through an updated letter sent when they become eligible to take the CPJE informing of them of the change in content outline and effective date of the change. The board has also notified by letter the candidates that were made eligible prior to January 2006, but have not yet taken their CPJE examination.*
2/06	*Supervising Inspector Dennis Ming and Exam Analyst Debbie Anderson provided law and examination information to 80 Western Pharmacy School students.*
2/06	*Supervising Inspector Robert Ratcliff provided information about pharmacy law to 125 students at USCF.*
3/06	*Board Member Ruth Conroy spoke to 50 Touro University pharmacy students on board legislative issues as preparation for their Legislative Day.*
4/06	*Supervising Inspector Dennis Ming presented law review information to UCSF's 4th year students.*

4/06	*The revised CPJE Handbook was posted on the board's Web site. The revised handbook includes a sample CPJE test. The sample CPJE test was also posted on the Web site separately. An email was sent to the board's subscriber list notifying subscribers of the update.*
5/06	*Exam Analyst Debbie Anderson will provide information about examination application to Loma Linda University.*
5.	**Develop statutory language to give the Board of Pharmacy the authority to grant waivers for innovative, technological and other practices to enhance the practice of pharmacy and patient care that would have oversight by an independent reviewing body during the study.**
6.	**Continuously review and develop written exams to ensure they fairly and effectively test the knowledge, skills and abilities of importance to the practice of pharmacy in California.**
8/04	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions as well as the job analysis.*
9/04	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
9/04	*Reported that board will recruit for new competency committee members in its next newsletter (scheduled for November).*
10/04	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
11/04	*Job analysis will be released.*
12/04	*Job analysis released to 3,000 pharmacists.*
1/05	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
2/05	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
4/05	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
8/05	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions as well as developed the updated Content Outline as a result of the job analysis.*
9/05	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions and reviewed the final draft of the Content Outline developed at the August Retreat. Committee forwarded Content Outline to the board for approval.*
10/05	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
10/05	*Board approved new Content Outline for use beginning April 1, 2006.*
12/05	*New Content Outline placed on the Web site.*

1/06	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
3/06	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
4/06	*Competency Committee met for two days and developed questions.*
	7. Implement the sterile compounding pharmacy licensing requirements by July 1, 2003.
6/04	*Completed*
9/04	*OAL approved the sterile compounding regulations and will become effective 10/29/04. The clean room requirements will take effect 7/1/05.*
9/04	*Reported that 13 sterile compounding licensed have been issued since July 1, 2004.*
1/05	*Reported that 29 sterile compounding licenses have been issued since July 1, 2004.*
6/05	*Reported that 56 sterile compounding licenses have been issued since July 1, 2004.*
9/05	*Reported that 24 sterile compounding licenses have been issued since July 1, 2005.*
1/06	*Reported that 35 sterile compounding licenses have been issued since July 1, 2005.*
3/06	*ACHC and CHAP submitted requests for re-approval as accreditation agencies for pharmacies that compound sterile injectable drug products. Committee recommended board approval.*
3/06	*Committee proposed a new regulation to define the application process and criteria for approvals of an accreditation agency.*
4/06	*Reported that 47 sterile compounding licenses have been issued since July 1, 2005.*
7/06	*Reported that 55 sterile compounding licenses have been issued since July 1, 2005.*
	8. Issue temporary permits whenever change of ownership occurs.
9/05	*1st Quarter – 28 temporary permits issued.*
1/06	*2nd Quarter – 13 temporary permits issued.*
4/06	*3rd Quarter – 34 temporary permits issued.*

7/06	*4th Quarter – 571 temporary permits issued.*
	9. Establish means for licensee to renew permits on line.
8/04	*Submitted Applicant Tracking System (ATS) report to the department.*
11/04	*Met with the department to discuss conversion to ATS and department prioritization.*
8/05	*Executive Officer participating as sponsor of iLicensing.*
8/05	*Staff begin working with programmers to define business processes for ATS system. Participate in bi-weekly meetings with programmer detailing business requirements.*
9/05	*Staff continue bi-weekly meetings with programmer detailing business requirements.*
9/05	*Staff attend demonstrations for iLicensing software and programs to allow for on-line renewal and applications.*
10/05	*Staff complete definition of business process and cashiering procedures with programmer for ATS*
10/05	*Staff attend demonstrations for iLicensing software and programs to allow for on-line renewal and applications.*
11/05	*iLicensing FSR submitted to Department of Finance.*
12/05	*iLicensing FSR approved.*
3/06	*Spring Finance letter approved – project to begin 7/06.*
5/06	*Staff begin testing ATS system..*
	10. Implement Changes to Facilities Licensure Requirements
9/04	*Governor signed SB 1913 that included application requirements for all applicants.*
9/04	*Governor signed SB 1307 and AB 2682 to clarify the licensure of wholesale and non-resident wholesale facilities.*
9/04	*Staff with legal counsel reviewed application process for wholesalers and non-resident wholesalers.*
1/05	*New application forms are available for nonresident wholesalers.*
1/05	*New application forms are available for wholesalers.*
2/05	*Initiate review of clinic application requirements.*

3/05	*Initiate review of community pharmacy application requirements.*
3/05	*Initiate implementation of the surety bond requirement.*
6/05	*Submitted proposed change to clinic application requirement.*
8/05	*Staff complete draft forms to implement surety bond requirements for wholesalers and out of state distributors.*
9/05	*Staff begin working with consultant to modify existing system to accommodate changes in wholesaler and out of state distributor requirements.*
9/05	*Initiate review of pharmacy application requirements.*
9/05	*Initiate review of licensed sterile compounding application requirements.*
10/05	*Staff revise surety bond form. Form submitted to the Office of the Attorney General for approval.*
10/05	*Article published in The Script detailing surety bond requirements.*
12/05	*Letters sent to wholesalers and out of state distributors notifying them bond requirements.*
12/05	*Programming begins on changes for the surety bond requirement.*
3/06	*Testing begins on programming changes.*
4/06	*Partial implementation of programming changes.*
	11. Review the Ownership of Pharmacies
7/04	*Counsel provided guidance on applicants who have prescriber spouses and/or a prescriber who shares a financial interest.*
3/06	*Project to be completed by 12/06.*
	12. Review the law regarding candidates who fail the pharmacist licensure exam 4 times or more who are required to take an additional 16 units of pharmacy education.
7/04	*Draft report provided to the board.*
9/04	*Governor signed SB 1913 to extend statutory provision to the board's next Sunset review date (2007).*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended omnibus regulation change to update section 1725 regarding acceptable pharmacy coursework for these candidates.*

12/04	*Report provided to the Legislature.*
1/06	*Request to extend statutory provision to the board's Sunset Review Date (2010).*
	13. Evaluate application requirements for all licenses.
9/04	*Governor signed SB 1913 that gives the board clear authority to request information needed to evaluate the qualifications of any applicant.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended regulation changes to implement SB 1913 related to application process for the pharmacist licensure exam (1720).*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended a legislative change to eliminate the rules of professional conduct required with each application.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended omnibus legislative changes to Business and Professions Code 4053, 4127.5, 4205, 4206 and 4400.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended changes to 1706.2 to require an eligible applicant to take the licensure exam within 1 year and obtain a license within 1 year of passing the exams.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended a change to 1719 that authorizes an applicant to sit for the pharmacist licensure exam who has graduated from a pharmacy school granted "candidate" status by ACPE.*
10/04	*Board approved statutory proposal to eliminate the rules of professional conducted required for each application and omnibus changes to Business and Professions Code 4053, 4127.5, 4205, 4206 and 4400.*
12/04	*Revised application and instructions to reflect changes from SB 1913 effective 1/1/05.*
3/05	*SB 1111 (B&P) introduced that contains statutory changes to eliminate "Rules of Professional Conduct."*
9/05	*SB 1111 passed.*
10/05	*Regulation changes to 1706.2 and 1719 became effective.*
1/06	*Eliminated Rules of Professional Conduct.*

14. Review the law regarding the educational requirements of graduates from foreign pharmacy schools.

9/04	*Governor signed SB 1913 that requires a foreign pharmacy school graduate to be certified by the Foreign Pharmacy Graduate Examination Committee.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended that board amend its regulation to eliminate the foreign graduate evaluation application process and fee.*
9/04	*Sent a letter to all pending foreign graduates advising of law change and suspending application process.*
12/04	*Sent letter to all foreign graduate exam applicants not certified about revised exam eligibility status.*
10/05	*Regulation change to 1720.1 became effective. Regulation change necessary to implementation of SB 1913.*
3/06	*Report that NABP/FGPEC will be using a contractor to evaluate transcripts with the goal of improving the process.*

15. Review the law regarding continuing education (CE) requirements for pharmacists.

7/04	*Board approved recommendations from the Pharmacy Foundation of California to update the CE statute and regulation.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended changes to the CE statute to relocate from regulation the 30-hour requirement, to exempt all newly licensed pharmacist from CE requirements for two years and to renew the pharmacists license as "inactive" when a pharmacist fails to certify their CE credits.*
9/04	*Licensing Committee recommended revisions to the CE regulations.*
10/04	*Board approved recommended statutory and regulatory revisions to CE requirements.*
1/05	*SB 1111 (B&P) introduced that contains CE provision.*
6/05	*Reviewed the Pharmacist Self-Assessment Mechanism (PSAM) available from the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy (NABP) and determine options for pharmacists to obtain CE for completing the assessment. Determined what other competency assessments that available.*
9/05	*Licensing Committee recommended 6 hours of CE for completing PSAM.*
10/05	*Revised CE regulations became effective.*

10/05	*Board approved 6 hours of CE for the completion of PSAM.*
1/06	*Implementation of new CE provision regarding renewals of inactive pharmacists' license for failure to verify CE.*
1/06	*Article in newsletter detailing changes in CE requirements.*
2/06	*Request submitted to department to make changes to CAS system to automate process.*
4/06	*Web site updated to reflect regulation changes for petitions of non-recognized providers as well as CE requirements for newly licensed pharmacists.*
	16. Review the license of city and county jails and juvenile facilities.
8/04	*Staff met with Board of Corrections to discuss the dispensing process at these facilities and the regulatory structure, which have no effect of law.*
	17. Review the certification process for foreign graduates that was implemented 1/05 and the Test of Spoken English (TSE requirement).
3/05	*Licensing Committee discussed the certification process and TSE requirement. Requested TSE presentation at future board meeting.*
	18. Implement a temporary permit for a sterile compounding pharmacy.
9/05	*Submitted proposed statutory changes to Licensing Committee. Licensing Committee recommended board approval.*
10/05	*Board approved statutory proposal.*
1/06	*Submitted to B&P Committee as omnibus provision.*
	19. Review the license of pharmacies in correctional facilities.
7/05	*Staff met with the Department of Corrections to discuss the distributions and dispensing process at these facilities and the regulatory structure of Pharmacy Law.*
11/05	*Received request from Department of Corrections.*
	20. Review the licensure requirements for clinics.
3/05	*Proposal submitted to update the license requirements for clinics.*
6/05	*Licensing Committee recommended approval of statutory changes.*
7/05	*Board approved statutory changes to clinic requirements.*

12/05	*Met with representatives from the UC System regarding the license and distribution requirement.*
1/06	*Submitted to B&P Committee as omnibus provision.*
6/06	*Renegotiated amendments to address "concerns."*

21. Review the request from University of Touro and University of Appalachia School of Pharmacy to be board recognized.

9/05	*Licensing Committee recommended approval to recognize University of Touro School of Pharmacy.*
10/05	*Board recognized the University of Touro School of Pharmacy.*
6/06	*Licensing Committee recommended approval to recognize University of Appalachia School of Pharmacy.*

22. Participate in the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE) evaluation of California schools of pharmacy.

1/05	*Board Member Ruth Conroy participated in the ACPE review of Loma Linda University School of Pharmacy.*
2/05	*Board Member Ken Schell participated in the ACPE review of UC San Diego School of Pharmacy.*
4/05	*Board Member Dave Fong participated in the ACPE pre-candidate review of University of Touro.*
1/06	*Board Member Stan Goldenberg participated in the ACPE review of Western University.*
1/06	*Former Board Member Darlene Fujimoto participated in the ACPE review of UC San Diego.*
4/06	*Board Member Ruth Conroy participated in the ACPE review of Loma Linda University School of Pharmacy.*
4/06	*Former Board Member John Tilley participated in the ACPE candidate review of the University of Touro.*

23. Review the license requirements and drug distribution for clinics within the University of California.

12/05	*Met with representatives to discuss current requirements and the UC system drug distribution process.*
5/06	*Supervising Inspector took a tour of UC clinics.*

Objective 2.3:	**Evaluate five emerging public policy initiatives affecting pharmacists' care or public safety by June 30, 2006.**
Measure:	**Number of public policy initiatives evaluated.**
Tasks:	**1. Explore the need to regulate pharmacy benefit managers.**
10/03	*Board concluded not to regulate PBMs.*
9/04	*Governor vetoed AB 1960 which would have required the regulation of PBMs by the Department of Managed Health Care.*
1/05	*AB 78 introduced to define PMBs and require specified disclosures to purchases.*
9/05	*Governor vetoed AB 78.*
	2. Explore the need to regulate drugs labeled for "veterinary use only."
9/03	*SB 175 was introduced and signed (Chaptered 250, Statutes 2003).*
1/04	*Completed.*
	3. Explore the importation of drugs from foreign countries.
7/04	*Discussed at July Board meeting.*
9/04	*Discussed at September Enforcement Committee meeting.*
9/04	*Governor vetoed SB 1449 which would have required the board to approve Web sites for Canadian pharmacies.*
10/04	*Discussed at October board meeting.*
12/04	*Discussed at December Enforcement Committee meeting.*
12/04	*HHS released its report of the Task Force on Drug Importation.*
1/05	*Discussed at January board meeting.*
3/05	*Discussed at March Enforcement Committee Meeting.*
4/05	*Discussed at April board meeting.*
6/05	*Discussed at June Enforcement Committee Meeting.*
7/05	*Discussed at July board meeting.*
9/05	*Discussed at September Enforcement Committee Meeting.*

10/05	*Discussed at October board meeting.*
12/05	*Discussed at December Enforcement Committee Meeting.*
	4. Develop language and pursue a regulation change to allow the central fill of medication orders for inpatient hospital pharmacies.
9/04	*OAL approved regulation change and will take effect 10/22.*
10/04	*Completed.*
	5. Establish a workgroup with DHS-State Food and Drug on pharmacy compounding
9/04	*Held third meeting of workgroup on compounding – proposed draft concept on general compounding.*
12/04	*Held fourth meeting of workgroup on compounding – recommending statutory proposal.*
12/04	*Licensing Committee recommended approval of statutory proposal to define general compounding and regulatory parameters.*
1/05	*Board approved general compounding proposal.*
2/05	*AB 595 was introduced and sponsored by the board.*
8/05	*AB 595 opposed by DHS – negotiating amendments.*
12/05	*AB 595 still pending.*
3/06	*AB 595 still pending.*
6/06	*Provided amendments to address DHS concern.*
	6. Approve a statewide protocol for emergency contraception (ec) to permit pharmacists to furnish ec pursuant SB 490 (Chapter 651, Statutes of 2003.)
7/04	*Protocol on Web site.*
7/04	*Board approved regulation on protocol.*
9/04	*Regulation submitted to OAL for approval.*
11/04	*OAL approved regulation, which became effective 12/04.*
11/04	*Completed.*

7. Establish a regulatory structure to authorize the dispensing of drugs by veterinarian schools.

9/04	*Governor signed SB 1913 that provides authority.*

8. Consider a waiver pursuant to CCR, Title 16, Section 1706.5 from Cedars-Sinai Medical Center (CSMC) to conduct a study with UCSF, School of Pharmacy to determine the impact of using technician check technicians to fill unit dose cassettes on patient care.

4/04	*Board approved waiver for two years.*
7/05	*CSMC presented preliminary results of the study.*
3/06	*CSMC/UCSF requested extension of waiver until 12/31/06. Licensing Committee recommended board approval.*
4/06	*Board approved waiver to December 2006.*

9. Development of Proposal for Pharmacist Performing DUR, Medication Therapy Management, Pharmacist Call Centers and Central Processing of Prescriptions for CA patients.

12/04	*Licensing Committee discussed concepts related to proposal.*
3/05	*Licensing Committee discussed draft and proposal.*
6/05	*Licensing Committee discussed draft and proposal.*
9/05	*Licensing Committee discussed draft and proposal.*
12/05	*Licensing Committee recommended statutory amendments to update the definition of pharmacy practice by a pharmacist, a pharmacy and non-resident pharmacy.*
2/06	*Board approved recommended statute changes.*
3/06	*AB 2408 was introduced.*

Objective 2.4:		**Cashier 100 percent of all application and renewal fees within two working days of receipt by June 30, 2006.**
Measure:		**Percentage of cashiered application and renewal fees within 2 working days.**
Tasks:		**1. Cashier application fees.**
	9/05	*1st Quarter - The average processing time for processing new application fees is 2-3 working days.*
	1/06	*2nd Quarter - The average processing time for processing new application fees is 2-3 working days.*
	4/06	*3rd Quarter - The average processing time for processing new application fees is 2-3 working days.*
	7/06	*4th Quarter - The average processing time for processing new application fees is 2-3 working days.*
		2. Cashier renewal fees.
	9/03	*The board lost its renewal cashier in October 2001 and has been unsuccessful in obtaining a freeze waiver to fill this position. The average processing time for processing renewal fees in house is 10 days.*
	8/04	*Held interviews for renewal cashier because hiring freeze was lifted.*
	10/04	*Filled vacancy for renewal cashier.*
	9/05	*1st Quarter - Average processing time for central cashiering is 2-3 weeks.*
	10/05	*Staff attended a user group meeting and discussed concern about processing time for central cashiering.*
	1/06	*2nd Quarter - Average processing time for central cashiering is 2-3 weeks.*
	4/06	*3rd Quarter - Average processing time for central cashiering is 2-3 weeks.*
	7/06	*4th Quarter - Average processing time for central cashiering is 2-3 weeks.*

Objective 2.5:		**Respond to 95 percent of all requests for - of licensing information within 5 working days by June 30, 2006.**
Measure:		**Percentage response for verifying licensing information within 5 working days.**
Tasks:		**1. Respond to requests for licensing verification.**
	9/05	*1st Quarter – Processed 157 license verifications. (Updated to reflect statistics based on the fees collected)*
	1/06	*2nd Quarter – Processed 221 license verifications.*
	4/06	*3rd Quarter – Processed 202 license verifications. (Updated to include March 2006 data.)*
	7/06	*4th Quarter – Processed 128 license verifications. (April and May 2006 data available at time of report.)*
Objective 2.6:		**Update 100 percent of all information changes to licensing records within 5 working days by June 30, 2005.**
Measure:		**Percentage of licensing records changes within 5 working days**
Tasks:		**1. Make address and name changes.**
	9/05	*1st Quarter – Processed 1,241 address changes.*
	1/06	*2nd Quarter – Processed 1,525 address changes.*
	4/06	*3rd Quarter – Processed 1,749 address changes.*
	7/06	*4th Quarter – Processed 1,425 address changes.*
		2. Process discontinuance of businesses forms and related components.
	9/05	*1st Quarter – Processed 31 discontinuance- of-business forms. Processing time is 30 days.*
	1/06	*2nd Quarter – Processed 31 discontinuance- of-business forms. Processing time is 30 days.*
	4/06	*3rd Quarter – Processed 58 discontinuance- of-business forms. Processing time is 40 days.*

7/06	*4th Quarter – Processed 59 discontinuance- of-business forms. Processing time is 50 days.*
	3. Process changes in pharmacist-in-charge and exemptee-in-charge.
9/05	*1st Quarter – Processed 291 pharmacist-in-charge changes. Average processing time is 14days. Processed 4 exemptee-in-charge changes. The average processing time is 5 days.*
1/06	*2nd Quarter – Processed 339 pharmacist-in-charge changes. Average processing time is 14 days. Processed 21 exemptee-in-charge changes. The average processing time is 5 days.*
4/06	*3rd Quarter – Processed 202 pharmacist-in-charge changes. Average processing time is 14 days. Processed 23 exemptee-in-charge changes. The average processing time is 7 days.*
7/06	*4th Quarter – Processed 336 pharmacist-in-charge changes. Average processing time is 14 days. Processed 8 exemptee-in-charge changes. The average processing time is 14 days.*
	4. Process off-site storage applications.
9/05	*Processed 14 off-site storage applications.*
1/06	*Processed 20 off-site storage initial applications and 5 reissued off-sites storage applications.*
4/06	*Processed 24 off-site storage initial applications and 5 reissued off-sites storage applications.*
7/06	*Processed 53 off-site storage initial applications.*
	5. Process change-of-permit applications.
9/05	*1st Quarter – Processed 119 applications. Average processing time is 30 days.*
1/06	*2nd Quarter – Processed 146 applications. Average processing time is 30 days.*
4/06	*3rd Quarter – Processed 126 applications. Average processing time is 35 days.*
7/06	*4th Quarter – Processed 73 applications. Average processing time is 45 days.*