C v	g of the Section		
)	1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California LORRIE M. YOST, State Bar No. 119088	
	3 4 5	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2562 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
	6	Attorneys for Complainant	
	7	·	
	8	BEFORE T	
	9	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CON	SUMER AFFAIRS
	10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2199
	12	BINH TRONG HOANG	OAH No. L-2002070093
	13	995 E. Portland Avenue Fresno, CA 93720	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
	14	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 46734,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER RE: RESPONDENT BEATRIZ MONTALBO GONZALEZ
	15 16	DEANNA E. EDJAN 7362 Aspen Circle La Palma, CA 90623	MONTALDO GONZALEZ
	17	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 47087,	
	18 19	BEATRIZ MONTALBO GONZALEZ 2384 Fashion Avenue Long Beach, CA 90810	
	20	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 43144,	
	21	TAI TRONG BUI	
		4438 W. 165th Street Lawndale, CA 90260	
	23	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 48096,	
	24	MARIA YVETTE RESTON	·
	25	7717 Sierra Paseo Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	
	26	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 44425,	
	27	Respondents.	
	28		

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

#### **PARTIES**

- Patricia F. Harris (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of 1. Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Lorrie M. Yost, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Respondent Beatriz Montalbo Gonzalez is represented in this proceeding by attorney Lucien E. Dierickx, Esq., whose address is the Law Offices of Lucien E. Dierickx, 43797 Corte Villena, Temecula, CA 92592
- 3. On or about March 7, 1990, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 43144 to Beatriz Montalbo Gonzalez (Respondent). The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2199 and will expire on March 31, 2004, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

Accusation No. 2199 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), 4. Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 19, 2001. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 2199 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2199. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her;

the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 9. Respondent agrees that her Original Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board of Pharmacy's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### RESERVATION

10. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Pharmacy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action

between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having

# **ACCEPTANCE**

2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and
3	have fully discussed it with my attorney, Lucien E. Dierickx, Esq. I understand the stipulation
4	and the effect it will have on my Original Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated
5	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be
6	bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.
7	
8	DATED:
9	
10	BEATRIZ MONTALBO GONZALEZ
11	Respondent
12	
13	
14	
15	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Beatriz Montalbo Gonzalez the
16	terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and
17	Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.
18	
19	DATED:
20	
21	LUCIEN E. DIERICKX, ESQ.
22	Attorney for Respondent
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Lucien E. Dierickx, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Original Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: July 23, 2003

11 Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Beatriz Montalbo Gonzalez the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and

DATED: Dienet

Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

LUCIEN E. DIERICKX, ESQ.

Attorney for Respondent

## **ENDORSEMENT**

1	ENDORSEIVENT		
2	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
3	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
4			
5	DATED: 7-29-03		
6			
7	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California		
8	of the State of Camorna		
9	arlinda D. Shenger for LORRIE M. YOST		
10	LORRIE M. YOST  Deputy Attorney General		
11	Attorneys for Complainant		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	A		

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2199
BEATRIZ MONTALBO GONZALEZ 2384 Fashion Avenue Long Beach, CA 90810	OAH No. L-2002070093
Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 43144,	
Respondent.	
DECISION AND	ORDER
The attached Stipulated Settlement an	nd Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by
the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer As	ffairs, as its Decision in this matter.
This Decision shall become effective	on <u>October 22, 2003</u> .
It is so ORDEREDseptember_22	<u>, 2003</u> ·

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

Board President

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 2199

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General				
2	of the State of California LORRIE M. YOST, State Bar No. 119088 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice				
3					
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013	•			
5	Telephone: (213) 897-2562 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804				
6	Attorneys for Complainant				
7					
8	BEFORE THE				
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2199			
12	BINH TRONG HOANG	ACCUSATION			
13	995 E. Portland Avenue Fresno, CA 93720				
14	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 46734,				
15	DEANNA E. EDJAN				
16	7362 Aspen Circle La Palma, CA 90623				
17	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 47087,				
18	BEATRIZ MONTALBO GONZALEZ				
19	2384 Fashion Avenue Long Beach, CA 90810				
20	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 43144,				
21	TAI TRONG BUI 4438 W. 165th Street	•			
22	Lawndale, CA 90260				
23	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 48096,				
24	MARIA YVETTE RESTON 7717 Sierra Paseo Lane				
25	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128				
26	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 44425,				
27	Respondents.				
28	- Tespondonis.				

#### Complainant alleges:

# 

\_

28 ///

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Patricia F. Harris ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about September 15, 1993, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 46734 to Binh Trong Hoang ("Respondent Hoang"). Respondent Hoang was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of M & D Pharmacy from August 18, 1995 to November 27, 1995. The Original Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2002, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about April 26, 1994, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 47087 to Deanna E. Edjan ("Respondent Edjan"). Respondent Edjan was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of M & D Pharmacy from November 27, 1995 to April 1, 1996. The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2001, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about March 7, 1990, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 46734 to Beatriz Montalbo Gonzalez ("Respondent Gonzalez"). Respondent Gonzalez was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of M & D Pharmacy from May 13, 1996 to July 24, 1996. The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2002, unless renewed.
- 5. On or about August 10, 1995, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 48096 to Tai Trong Bui ("Respondent Bui"). Respondent Bui was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of M & D Pharmacy from July 24, 1996 to September 3, 1996. The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2002, unless renewed.
- 6. On or about August 8, 1991, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 44425 to Maria Yvette Reston ("Respondent Reston").

Respondent Reston was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of M & D Pharmaceutical Wholesale. The Original Pharmacist License expired on May 31, 1999, and has not been renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 7. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code ("Code").
  - 8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The Board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (a) Gross immorality.
- (b) Incompetence.
- (c) Gross negligence.
- (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (e) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153.5 of the Health and Safety Code. Factors to be considered in determining whether the furnishing of controlled substances is clearly excessive shall include, but not be limited to, the amount of controlled substances furnished, the previous ordering pattern of the customer (including size and frequency of orders), the type and size of the customer, and where and to whom the customer distributes its product.
- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 4000) of the Business and Professions Code, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (i) Except as otherwise authorized by law, knowingly selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering or offering to sell, furnish, give away, or administer any controlled substance to an addict.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 4000) of the Business and Professions Code. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 4000) of the Business and Professions Code. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board

may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- (m) The cash compromise of a charge of violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances.

  The record of the compromise is conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct.
- (n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 4000) of the Business and Professions Code.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 4000) of the Business and Professions Code or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board.
  - (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.
- (q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the board.
  - 9. Section 4081 of the Code states:
- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, medical device retailer, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or

exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.

- (b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, or medical device retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or exemptee, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.
- (c) The pharmacist-in-charge or exemptee shall not be criminally responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge or exemptee had no knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate.
  - 10. Section 4160 of the Code states:
- (a) No person shall act as a wholesaler of any dangerous drug or dangerous device unless he or she has obtained a license from the board. Upon approval by the board and the payment of the required fee, the board shall issue a license to the applicant.
- (b) No selling or distribution outlet, located in this state, of any out-of-state manufacturer, that has not obtained a license from the board, that sells or distributes only the dangerous drugs or the dangerous devices of that manufacturer, shall sell or distribute any dangerous drug or dangerous device in this state without obtaining a wholesaler's license from the board.
- (c) A separate license shall be required for each place of business owned or operated by a wholesaler. Each license shall be renewed annually and shall not be transferable.

#### 11. Section 4328 of the Code states:

Except as otherwise provided in Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 4000) of the Business and Professions Code, any person who permits the compounding or dispensing of prescriptions, or the furnishing of dangerous drugs in his or her pharmacy, except by a pharmacist, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

· 

28 ///

#### 12. Section 4330 of the Code states:

- (a) Any person who has obtained a license to conduct a pharmacy, who fails to place in charge of the pharmacy a pharmacist, or any person, who by himself or herself, or by any other person, permits the compounding or dispensing of prescriptions, or the furnishing of dangerous drugs, in his or her pharmacy, except by a pharmacist, or as otherwise provided in Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 4000) of the Business and Professions Code, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
- (b) Any nonpharmacist owner who commits any act that would subvert or tend to subvert the efforts of the pharmacist-in-charge to comply with the laws governing the operation of the pharmacy is guilty of a misdemeanor.

#### 13. Section 118 of the Code states:

- "(a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground.
- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the licensee or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.
- "(c) As used in this section, 'board' includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'registration,' and 'permit."

and enforcement of the case.

14.

### CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or

violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation

Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may

(Unprofessional Conduct)

- action pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 4301(c), (f), and (j), as well as Code section 4113(b), in that, while they were pharmacists-in-charge, M & D Pharmacy violated Code sections 4043 and 4160(a) by operating as a wholesaler without a valid permit issued by the Board. The facts and circumstances are that, from on or abut August 18, 1995 to on or about September 3, 1996, M & D Pharmacy ordered unusually large quantities of preferentially priced drugs, more than would be needed by a similar pharmacy to fill prescriptions, and could not produce records of how the drugs were disposed of when asked to do so by an officer of the Board.
- 16. Respondents Hoang, Edjan, and Gonzalez are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 4301(c), (f), (j), (o), and (q), as well as Code section 4113(b), in that, while they were pharmacists-in-charge, M & D Pharmacy violated Code section 4081 and Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations section 1718 in that it did not maintain accurate records of acquisition, disposition, and an inventory of dangerous drugs from on or about August 18, 1995 to on or about June 17, 1996.
- 17. Respondent Gonzalez is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 4301 (f), (j), and (o), as well as Code section 4113(b), in that, while she was pharmacist-in-charge, M & D Pharmacy violated Code section 4332 in that it did not produce records of acquisition, disposition, and an inventory of dangerous drugs within a reasonable time when requested to do so by an officer of the Board on or about June 17, 1996.

///

///

///

///

- 18. Respondent Gonzalez is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 4301 (c), (j), and (o), as well as Code section 4113(b), in that, while she was pharmacist-in-charge, M & D Pharmacy violated Code sections 4037(a), 4329, and 4330 in that dangerous drugs were stored outside the licensed pharmacy area, within the control of non-pharmacists.
- 19. Respondent Reston is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 4301(c), (f), (j), (o), and (q), as well as Code section 4113(b), in that, while she was pharmacist-in-charge, M & D Pharmaceutical Wholesale violated Code section 4081 and Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations section 1718 in that it did not maintain accurate records of acquisition, disposition, and an inventory of dangerous drugs from on or about August 18, 1995 to on or about June 17, 1996.
- 20. Respondent Reston is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 4301 (f), (j), and (o), as well as Code section 4113(b), in that, while she was pharmacist-in-charge, M & D Pharmaceutical Wholesale violated Code section 4332 in that it did not produce records of acquisition, disposition, and an inventory of dangerous drugs within a reasonable time when requested to do so by an officer of the Board on or about June 17, 1996.
- 21. Respondent Hoang is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4300 for unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 4301 (f), (g), (j), (o), and (p) by reason of violating Code sections 480(c), 4110(a), and Title 16, California Code of Regulations 1709(a), in that he made an application for licensure on behalf of M & D Pharmacy representing himself as owner, while omitting the material fact that Lourdes Castellano held an ownership interest in the pharmacy.

1	PRATER	
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein	
3	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
4	1. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmaey License Number RPH 46734,	
5	issued to Binh Trong Hoang;	
6	2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH	
7	47087, issued to Deanna E. Edjan;	
8	3. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH	
9	46734, issued to Beatriz Montalbo Gonzalez;	
10	4. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH	
11	48096, issued to Tai Trong Bui;	
12	5. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH	
13	44425, issued to Maria Yvette Reston;	
14	6. Ordering Deanna E. Edjan, Binh Trong Hoang, Beatriz Montalbo	
15	Gonzalez, Tai Trong Bui, and Maria Yvette Reston to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable	
16	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions	
17	Code section 125.3;	
18	7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
19		
20	DATED: $8/23/01$	
21		
22	$\rho$ 1 //	
23	PATRICIA F. HARRIS	
24	Executive Officer	

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

03581101-9990564