1						
1						
2	•					
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10		1				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5944				
12	SAMANTHA ANNE DAGGETT 1260 Borden Rd. Apt. 22	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				
13	Escondido, CA 92026	[Gov. Code, §11520]				
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 118626					
15	Respondent.					
16						
17	FINDINGS OF FACT					
18	1. On October 14, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity as					
19	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, filed					
20	Accusation No. 5944 against Samantha Anne Daggett (Respondent) before the Board.					
21	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)					
22	2. On December 27, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.					
23-	TCH 118626 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect					
24	at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5944, and will expire on May 31,					
25	2017, unless renewed.					
26	3. On October 21, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail					
27	copies of Accusation No. 5944, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for					
28	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7)					
		Į				

(SAMANTHA ANNE DAGGETT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5944

at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code (Code) section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is 1260 Borden Rd. Apt. 22, Escondido, CA 92026.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Code section 124.
- 5. On November 11, 2016, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return to Sender Unable to Forward." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5944.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5944, finds that

(SAMANTHA ANNE DAGGETT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5944

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 118626, 2 heretofore issued to Respondent Samantha Anne Daggett, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 2, 2017. 8 It is so ORDERED on January 31, 2017. 9 10 BOARD OF PHARMACY 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 15 By 16 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. **Board President** 17 18 81545604.DOC DOJ Matter ID;SD2016702108 19 Attachment: 20 Exhibit A: Accusation 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 164015 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9431 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant						
9	BEFORE THE						
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11	STATE OF CALIFO	l					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5944					
13 14	SAMANTHA ANNE DAGGETT 1260 Borden Rd. Apt. 22 Escondido, CA 92026	ACCUSATION					
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 118626						
16	Respondent.	·					
17	τουροπασικ,						
18	Complainant alleges:						
19	PARTIES						
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official						
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer						
22	Affairs.						
23	2. On December 27, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration						
24	Number TCH 118626 to Samantha Anne Daggett (Respondent). Respondent has also been						
25	known as Samanatha Daggett. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect						
26	at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on May 31, 2017, unless						
27	renewed.						
28	<i>III</i>						
	1						

(SAMANTHA ANNE DAGGETT) ACCUSATION

///

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

4. Code section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.

5. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Code section 482 states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

7. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of *nolo contendere* is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment,

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or

///

Q

 registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

10. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(June 10, 2016 Conviction for Driving with a BAC of .08% or More on March 7, 2016)

- 11. Respondent has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On June 10, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Samanatha Daggett*, in the San Diego County Superior Court, North County Division, Case Number CN357222, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code (VC) section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted and the court found true the allegation that at the time of violation of VC section 23152, subdivision (b), mentioned above, his BAC was .15 percent or more, a sentencing enhancement under VC section 23578. A misdemeanor charge for violation of VC section 23152, subdivision (a), driving while under the influence of alcohol (DUI), with a similar enhancement, was dismissed under a plea bargain.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on June 10, 2016, Respondent was sentenced to five years summary probation under standard alcohol conditions and ordered to pay fines, fees, restitution, penalties, and assessments. Respondent was also ordered to attend and satisfactorily complete a First Offender Alcohol Program and a Mothers Against Drunk Driving

Victim Impact Panel. Respondent was further ordered to complete 20 days of service under the public service program, with credit for two days actually served, five days stayed pending completion of probation, and the balance of 13 days to be completed at one day per week on weekends.

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on March 7, 2016, while driving northbound on Centre City Parkway in Escondido, California, Respondent swerved across several lanes of traffic and at one point drove onto the grass center median. A responding officer from the Escondido Police Department (EPD) located Respondent's vehicle traveling westbound on West Washington Avenue west of North Quince Street and initiated an enforcement stop. During initial contact with Respondent, the officer smelled alcohol, noticed Respondent's bloodshot and watery eyes, lax face and jaw, and unsteady gait. Respondent admitted to consuming a few drinks prior to driving. Respondent failed to perform a series of field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated. Respondent consented to a preliminary alcohol screen, where she tested a BAC of .304 percent, .278 percent, and .326 percent. Respondent was arrested for DUI and was transported to the EPD, where she consented to a blood draw. Respondent was subsequently transported and booked into Vista Detention Facility.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

12. Respondent has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on March 7, 2016, she used alcohol to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to herself and to the public, as described in paragraph 11, above, which are incorporated by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH
 118626, issued to Samantha Anne Daggett;

1	2.	2. Ordering Samantha Anne Daggett to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable						
2	costs of the in	he investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions						
3	Code section	e section 125.3; and,						
4	3.	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.						
5								
6					/			
7		1.00	/)		1/10)		
8	DATED:	10/14/16	<u> </u>	ginax	Seed of			
9	- y - mm		Executive					
10			Board of I Departme	nt of Consumer A	Affairs			
11	ATT AAA CHAAAAA		State of C Complain					
12	SD2016702108 81477022.doc							
13								
14								
15								
16						•		
17								
18						•		
19				•				
20								
21								
22								
23				•				
24								
25			٠					
26								
27								
28			_					
11			6					