1 2 3	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
4	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5808	
5	JENIFER MARIE BRYANT	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
6 7	1609 Branstetter Circle Redding, CA 96001		
8	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 103123	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
9	Dognondont		
10	Respondent.		
11			
12	FINDINGS OF FACT		
13	1. On or about June 2, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity as		
14	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed		
15	Accusation No. 5808 against Jenifer Marie Bryant (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.		
16	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
17	2. On or about June 10, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
18	Technician Registration No. TCH 103123 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
19	expired on July 31, 2015, and has not been renewed.		
20	3. On or about June 7, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail		
21	copies of the Accusation No. 5808, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for		
22	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at		
23	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100,		
24	is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was		
25	and is: 1609 Branstetter Circle, Redding, CA 96001.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
		1 YANT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5808	

1	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of	
2	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section	
3	124.	
4	5. On or about June 17, 2016, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S.	
5	Postal Service marked "No Forwarding Address." The address on the documents was the same as	
6	the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the	
7	Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file.	
8	Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her	
9	right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.	
10	6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:	
11	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent	
12	files a notice of defense and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
13		
14	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of	
15	the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5808.	
16	8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:	
17	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent	
18		
19		
20	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds	
21	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the	
22	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as	
23	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on	
24	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5808, finds that	
25	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5808, are separately and severally, found to be true	
26	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.	
27	///	
28	///	
	2	
	(JENIFER MARIE BRYANT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5808	

(JENIFER MARIE BRYANT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5808

1	10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and		
2	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation		
3	and Enforcement is \$4,323.75 as of July 18, 2016.		
4	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES		
5	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jenifer Marie Bryant has	l	
6	subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 103123 to discipline.		
7	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.		
8	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician		
9	Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported		
10	by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:		
11	a. Code section 4301(f), acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit.		
12	Respondent authorized refills for two of her own prescriptions, phentermine and phendimetrazine		
13	when there were no remaining authorized refills. Respondent's insurance was billed, and she		
14	paid a co-pay.		
15	b. Code section 4301(j), violation of statutes regulating controlled substances.		
16	Respondent violated Health and Safety Code sections 11170, 11171, 11157, 11173(a), 11173(b),		
17	and Code section 4060 by fraudulently authorizing the prescriptions as set forth in the previous		
18	paragraph, obtaining controlled substances by fraud, deceit, or subterfuge, and possessing		
19	controlled substances for which she did not have a valid prescription.		
20	c. Code section 4301(o), violation of laws governing pharmacy by fraudulently		
21	authorizing the prescriptions as set forth above.		
22	ORDER		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 103123, heretofore		
24	issued to Respondent Jenifer Marie Bryant, is revoked.		
25	///		
26	///		
27	111		
28	///		
	3		
	(JENIFER MARIE BRYANT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5808		

1	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
2	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
3	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
4	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
5	This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 23, 2016.	
6	It is so ORDERED on August 24, 2016.	
7	BOARD OF PHARMACY	
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	Aghcfoty	
11		
12	ByAmy Gutierrez, Pharm.D.	
13	Board President	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 10		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
24		
26		
27		
28	12350763.DOC	
	DOJ Matter ID:SA2016101610 4	
	(JENIFER MARIE BRYANT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5808	

Exhibit A

٠

Accusation

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5403 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant

67

1

2

3

4

-5

6

7

8

÷ 9

10

11

12

13

14

:15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 5808

ACCUSATION

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

JENIFER MARIE BRYANT 1609 Branstetter Circle Redding, CA 96001

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 103123

Respondent.

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about June 10, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 103123 to Jenifer Marie Bryant (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration expired on July 31, 2015, and was cancelled on November 1, 2015, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4402, subdivision (e).

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 4300 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked..."
- 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

111

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

6. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency..."

7. Section 4060 of the Code states in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician..."

8. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states in pertinent part:

"No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."

9. Health and Safety Code section 11171 states:

"No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance except under the conditions and in the manner provided by this division."

10. Health and Safety Code section 11157 states:

"No person shall issue a prescription that is false or fictitious in any respect."

11. Health and Safety Code section 11173 states in pertinent part:

"(a) No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.

"(b) No person shall make a false statement in any prescription, order, report, or record, required by this division..."

DRUGS

12. Adipex P is the brand name for the drug phentermine. It is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022, and a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057.

13. Bontril is the brand name for the drug phendimetrazine tartrate. It is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022, and a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about April 15, 2015, while working as a Pharmacy Technician at
Walgreens, Respondent fraudulently authorized refills for two of her prescriptions in the
Walgreens computer system that did not have any authorized refills. The two prescriptions were
for 90 capsules of phentermine and 120 tablets of phendimetrazine. Respondent forged the
prescription refills by falsely indicating that "BCD" from her physician's office had authorized the
prescriptions. The prescription was filled by a Pharmacist and billed to Respondent's insurance,
and Respondent picked up and paid a co-pay to purchase the prescriptions.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (j), in that Respondent violated statutes regulating controlled substances. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about April 15, 2015, Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11170, by authorizing two prescriptions refills for herself, as set forth in paragraph 15, above.

b. On or about April 15, 2015, Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11171, by fraudulently authorizing two prescription refills that were not approved by her treating physician, as set forth in paragraph 15, above.

c. On or about April 15, 2015, Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11157, by issuing two prescriptions refills that were false and fictitious, as set forth in paragraph 15, above.

d. On or about April 15, 2015, Respondent violated Health and Safety Code
 section 11173, subdivision (a), by obtaining controlled substances by fraud, deceit, or subterfuge,
 as set forth in paragraph 15, above.

e. On or about April 15, 2015, Respondent violated Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (b), by making a false statement on two prescriptions when she stated that the prescription refills were authorized by "BCD," when in fact they were not.

f. On or about April 15, 2015, Respondent violated Code section 4060 by possessing the controlled substances phentermine and phendimetrazine without a valid prescription.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Laws Governing Pharmacy)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent committed acts in violation of the laws governing pharmacy as set forth in paragraphs 15 and 16, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 103123, issued to Jenifer Marie Bryant:

 Ordering Jenifer Marie Bryant to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

6/2/16 DATED:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California *Complainant*

SA2016101610 12241427.doc