| 1 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY | | |
|--------|---|--|--|
| 2 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 5 | In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against: | Case No. 5736 | |
| - 6 | BRETT R. BARTLETT, | DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER | |
| 7 8 | AKA BRETT ROLAND BARTLETT Northern Home Medical 930 Idaho Maryland Road Grass Valley, CA 95945 | [Gov. Code, §11520] | |
| 9 | Designated Representative Certificate No. | | |
| 10 | EXC 17211 | | |
| 11 | Respondent. | | |
| 12 | FINDINGS OF FACT | | |
| 13 | 1. On or about February 29, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official | | |
| 14 | capacity as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy, filed | | |
| 15 | Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 5736 against Brett R. Bartlett, also known as (aka) | | |
| 16 | Brett Roland Bartlett (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer | | |
| 17 | Affairs (Board). (Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation attached as Exhibit A.) | | |
| 18 | 2. On or about October 9, 2003, the Board issued Designated Representative Certificate | | |
| 19 | No. EXC 17211 to Respondent. The Designated Representative Certificate was in full force and | | |
| 20 | effect at all times relevant to the charges brough | t in Accusation No. 5736, and expired on October | |
| 21 | 1, 2015, and was canceled on January 25, 2016. | | |
| 22 | 3. Pursuant to the Final Decision After Non-Adoption of Proposed Decision in the | | |
| 23 | disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the | Accusation Against: Brett Roland Bartlett," Case | |
| 24 | No. 4779, the Board revoked Respondent's design | nated representative certificate effective April | |
| 25 | 10, 2015. However, the revocation was stayed a | nd Respondent's designated representative | |
| 26 | certificate was placed on probation for five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. | | |
| 27 | 4. On or about March 11, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class | | |
| 28 | Mail copies of the Accusation/Petition to Revok | e Probation No. 5736, Statement to Respondent, | |

(BRETT R. BARTLETT) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5736

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Designated Representative Certificate No. EXC 17211, 2 heretofore issued to Respondent Brett R. Bartlett aka Brett Roland Bartlett, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2016. 8 It is so ORDERED on May 10, 2016. 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 15 By Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. 16 **Board President** 17 18 19 20 21 12213867.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SA2016100351 22 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation

Exhibit A

Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation

| • | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229 | |
| 5 | 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 | |
| 6 | Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5403 | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE | |
| 9 | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | |
| 10 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against: | |
| 12 | BRETT R. BARTLETT, ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION | |
| 13 | aka BRETT ROLAND BARTLETT Northern Home Medical | |
| 14 | Grass Valley, CA 95945 | |
| 15 | Designated Representative Certificate No. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Respondent. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 20 | PARTIES | |
| 21 | 1. Virginia-Herold-("Complainant") brings-this-Accusation-and Petition-to-Revoke | |
| 22 | Probation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy | |
| 23 | ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs. | |
| 24 | 2. On or about October 9, 2003, the Board issued Designated Representative Certificate | |
| 25 | Number EXC 17211 to Brett R. Bartlett, also known as Brett Roland Bartlett ("Respondent"), | |
| 26 | The designated representative certificate expired on October 1, 2015, and was canceled on | |
| 27 | January 25, 2016. | |
| 28 | | |
| | 1 | |
| | (BRETT R. BARTLETT) ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION | |

| 1 | 3. Pursuant to the Final Decision After Non-Adoption of Proposed Decision in the | |
|-----|--|--|
| 2 | disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Brett Roland Bartlett," Case | |
| 3 | No. 4779, the Board revoked Respondent's designated representative certificate effective April | |
| 4 | 10, 2015. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's designated representative | |
| 5 | certificate was placed on probation for five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. | |
| 6 | JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS FOR ACCUSATION | |
| 7 | 4. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board under | |
| 8 | the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions | |
| 9 | Code unless otherwise indicated. | |
| 10 | 5. Section 4300 states, in pertinent part: | |
| 11 | (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. | |
| 12 | (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the | |
| 13 | board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods: | |
| 14 | (1) Suspending judgment. | |
| 15 | (2) Placing him or her upon probation. | |
| 16 | (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one | |
| 17 | year. (4) Revoking his or her license. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper | |
| 20 | 6. Section 4300.1 states: | |
| 2-1 | The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued | |
| 22 | license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a | |
| 23 | licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render | |
| 24 | a decision suspending or revoking the license. | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part: 1 2 The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or 3 misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: 4 5 (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, 6 fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not. 7 8 (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. 9 functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United 10 States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive 11 evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may 12 inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled 13 substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this 14 chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The 15 board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 16 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of 17 guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment . . . 18 COST RECOVERY 19 8. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative 20 law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing 21 act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the 22 case. 23 /// 24 /// 25 ///26 III27 /// 28

2

3

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Convictions)

4

6.

7

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26 27

28

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301, subdivision (I), in that Respondent has been convicted of crimes which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a designated representative, as follows:

Bartlett (Nevada County Super. Ct., Case No. F14-000476), Respondent was convicted by the Court on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) (petty theft), a misdemeanor. The incident upon which the conviction is based occurred on or about October 15, 2014, in the City of Grass Valley.

On or about March 19, 2015, in the criminal proceeding entitled *People vs. Brett* Roland Bartlett (Nevada County Super. Ct., Case No. F15-000056), Respondent was convicted by the Court on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code section 459 (second degree burglary), a felony. On or about April 13, 2015, the imposition of Respondent's sentence was suspended and Respondent was placed on supervised probation for three (3) years. Respondent was also ordered to serve 180 days in jail. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: On or about February 24, 2015, Sergeant J. with the Nevada County Sheriff's Department responded to a possible burglary at a home located on Lake Wildwood Drive in Penn Valley. The front door window had been broken out to gain access. The residence was reportedly vacant as the elderly couple who owned the home had passed away several weeks previously. Sergeant T. contacted the couple's daughter, who stated that a video surveillance camera had been set up inside the residence. On or about February 25, 2015, Sergeant T. received an email from the couple's son, informing him that they had captured the entire burglary on video. The couple's son sent the Sheriff's Department a video of the suspect inside the residence. On or about March 3, 2015, Sergeant J. and other law enforcement personnel served a search warrant at Respondent's home. Sergeant J. spoke with Respondent's wife, K., who was shown the video footage from the couple's residence. K, immediately recognized Respondent and agreed that he was the person depicted in the video.

3

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 · 17

18

19

20

21_

2223

24

25 26

27

28

III

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, as set forth in paragraph 9 above.

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

11. Condition 12 of Respondent's probation states:

If respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction, and the period of probation shall be automatically extended, until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

12. Grounds exist to revoke Respondent's probation and re-impose the order of revocation of his designated representative certificate in that he has violated the terms and conditions of his probation, as follows:

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Obey all Laws)

- 13. Condition 1 of Respondent's probation states, in pertinent part, that Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations. Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:
 - a. An arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any state or federal law;
- b. A plea of guilty or nolo contendere in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment;
 - c. A conviction of any crime.

///

14. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation in that he failed to obey all state laws, as set forth in paragraph 9 above. Further, Respondent failed to report to the Board his convictions of February 18, 2015 and March 19, 2015, within 72 hours of each occurrence.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Report to the Board)

- 15. Condition 2 of Respondent's probation states, in pertinent part, that Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 16. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation in that he failed to submit any written quarterly reports to the Board.

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Interview with the Board)

- 17. Condition 3 of Respondent's probation states that upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, upon request at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 18. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation in that he failed to report in person at his interview with the Board's representative(s) scheduled for April 21, 2015.

FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Cooperate with Board Staff)

19. Condition 4 of Respondent's probation states that Respondent shall cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of

Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

20. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation in that Respondent failed to cooperate with the Board's monitoring and investigation of his compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation, as set forth in paragraphs 13 to 18 above and paragraphs 21 to 28 below.

FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Reimburse Board Costs)

- 21. Condition 6 of Respondent's probation states, in pertinent part, that as a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$1,760. Respondent shall make said payments over the term of probation in accordance with a reasonable payment plan approved by the Board or its designee. There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 22. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation in that Respondent has failed to make any cost recovery (reimbursement) payments to the Board.

SIXTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Maintain Active, Current License)

23. Condition 8 of Respondent's probation states that Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current designated representative license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation. If Respondent's designated representative license expires or is canceled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

27 | ///

28 | ///

24. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation in that Respondent allowed his designated representative certificate to lapse on October 1, 2015, as set forth in paragraph 2 above.

SEVENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Attend Substance Abuse Recovery Relapse Prevention and Support Groups)

- 25. Condition 14 of Respondent's probation states that within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the decision, Respondent shall begin regular attendance at a recognized and established substance abuse recovery support group in California (e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous, Narcotics Anonymous, etc.) which has been approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent must attend at least one group meeting per week unless otherwise directed by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall continue regular attendance and submit signed and dated documentation confirming attendance with each quarterly report for the duration of probation. Failure to attend or submit documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 26. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation in that Respondent failed to submit to the Board signed and dated documentation confirming his attendance at a recognized and established substance abuse recovery support group.

EIGHTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Participate in Random Drug Screening)

27. Condition 16 of Respondent's probation states, in pertinent part, that Respondent at his own expense shall participate in random testing, including but not limited to, biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or other drug screening program as directed by the Board or its designee. Respondent may be required to participate in testing for the entire probation period and the frequency of testing will be determined by the Board or its designee. At all times, Respondent shall fully cooperate with the Board or its designee, and shall, when directed, submit to such tests and samples for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances as the Board or its designee may direct. Failure to timely submit to testing as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

///

| - 11 | | |
|------|---|--|
| 1 | 28. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation, as follows: On or about March 12, | |
| 2 | 2015, the Board's designee sent Respondent a letter, notifying him that he was required to enroll | |
| 3 | and participate in random drug screening with FirstLab for the duration of his probation. | |
| 4 | Respondent was also advised that his enrollment must be completed no later than April 24, 2015. | |
| 5 | Respondent failed to enroll and participate in the random drug screening program as directed by | |
| 6 | the Board. | |
| 7 | <u>PRAYER</u> | |
| 8 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | |
| 9 | and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: | |
| 10 | 1. Revoking or suspending Designated Representative Certificate Number EXC 17211, | |
| 11 | issued to Brett R. Bartlett, also known as Brett Roland Bartlett; | |
| 12 | 2. Revoking probation and re-imposing the order of revocation of Designated | |
| 13 | Representative Certificate Number EXC 17211, issued to Brett R. Bartlett, also known as Brett | |
| 14 | Roland Bartlett; | |
| 15 | 3. Ordering Brett R. Bartlett, also known as Brett Roland Bartlett, to pay the Board of | |
| 16 | Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to | |
| 17 | Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and | |
| 18 | 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | DATED: 2/29/16 VIRGINIA HEROLD | |
| 21_ | Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy | |
| 22 | Department of Consumer Affairs State of California | |
| 23 | Complainant | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 . | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | SA2016100351 | |
| 28 | 12109626.docx | |