BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 5709

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND

DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO DARLENE DANO ONLY

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
PLUMAS DISTRICT HOSPITAL,
dba PLUMAS DISTRICT HOSPITAL PHARMACY
DOUGLAS LAFFERTY, ADMINISTRATOR
DAN CARL LEGRADY, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE
1065 Bucks Lake Road
Quincy, California 95971

Hospital Pharmacy Permit No. HPE 32553 (Eff. 1/9/86-2/9/16) Drug Room Permit No. DRE 32553 (Eff. 2/9/16)

DARLENE DANO 7112 Regard Way Sacramento, California 95842

Pharmacist License No. RPH 35371

CHERYL ANN MUSSELL P.O. Box 982 Quincy, California 95971

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135012,

and

RAYMOND MIRANDA DURO 3218 Diamond Ridge Drive Reno, Nevada 89523

Pharmacist License No. RPH 61786

Respondents.

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy,

Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 23, 2017.

It is so ORDERED on October 24, 2017.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	Wayner Drown	
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
2	JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	KRISTINA T. JARVIS Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 258229 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5403	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER A	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Acquisition Against.	Case No. 5709
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
12	PLUMAS DISTRICT HOSPITAL, dba PLUMAS DISTRICT HOSPITAL PHARMACY	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
13	DOUGLAS LAFFERTY, ADMINISTRATOR DAN CARL LEGRADY, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE	DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO DARLENE DANO ONLY
14	1065 Bucks Lake Road Quincy, California 95971	
15	Hospital Pharmacy Permit No. HPE 32553 (Eff. 1/9/86-	
16	2/9/16) Drug Room Permit No. DRE 32553 (Eff. 2/9/16)	
17	DARLENE DANO	
18	7112 Regard Way	•
19	Sacramento, California 95842	
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 35371,	
21	CHERYL ANN MUSSELL P.O. Box 982	
22	Quincy, California 95971	
23	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135012,	
	and	
24	RAYMOND MIRANDA DURO 3218 Diamond Ridge Drive	
25	Reno, Nevada 89523	
26	Pharmacist License No. RPH 61786	
27	Respondents.	
28		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1	

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5709)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Kristina T. Jarvis, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Respondent Darlene Dano (Respondent Dano) is represented by attorney Paul Chan, whose address is 2311 Capitol Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95816.
- 3. On or about January 9, 1986, the Board issued Hospital Pharmacy Permit Number HPE 32553 to Plumas District Hospital ("Respondent PDH"), doing business as Plumas District Hospital Pharmacy. On or about July 18, 2011, Douglas Lafferty became the administrator. On or about July 15, 2014, Jeffrey Kepple, M.D., became the CEO. The hospital pharmacy permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and was cancelled on or about February 9, 2016. On or about February 9, 2016, the Board issued Drug Room Permit Number DRE 32553 to Respondent PDH, doing business as Plumas District Hospital Pharmacy. On or about February 9, 2016, Jeffrey Monaghan became the consultant pharmacist for the Drug Room Permit. The following licensed pharmacists were the pharmacists-in-charge of record for Respondent PDH during the time periods indicated below.

Pharmacist-in-Charge	Date Associated	Date Disassociated
Mark LeRoy	November 9, 2012	September 30, 2013
Darlene Dano	October 25, 2013	November 27, 2013
Viktoria Zaita	February 11, 2014	June 24, 2014
Karen L. Schad	September 24, 2014	July 9, 2015
Michael Demetrius Farros	July 9, 2015	October 12, 2015
Douglas Milton Mclaskey	October 12, 2015	October 30, 2015
Dan Carl LeGrady	October 30, 2015	November 9, 2015
Andrew Diesh	November 9, 2015	November 29, 2016
Richard Foster	November 29, 2016	February 9, 2016

On or about August 11, 1980, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH
 35371 to Darlene Dano ("Respondent Dano"). The pharmacist license was in full force and effect

at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2018, unless renewed.

- 5. On or about September 5, 2013, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 135012 to Cheryl Ann Mussell ("Respondent Mussell"). The pharmacy technician registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on October 31, 2016, and has not yet been renewed.
- 6. On or about October 15, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 61786 to Raymond Miranda Duro ("Respondent Duro"). The pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2018, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 7. Accusation No. 5709 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent Dano. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent Dano on December 13, 2016. Respondent Dano timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 8. A copy of Accusation No. 5709 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 9. Respondent Dano has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5709. Respondent Dano has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 10. Respondent Dano is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

11. Respondent Dano voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 12. Respondent Dano admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation pending against her in Accusation No. 5709.
- 13. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- Dano understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent Dano. By signing the stipulation, Respondent Dano understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 15. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 16. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

17. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 35371 issued to Respondent Darlene Dano is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any
 criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
 pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging
 for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency

in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 5709 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause their direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 5709, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify their direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 5709 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause their direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that they have read the decision in case number 5709 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$3,396.75. Respondent shall

make said payments as follows: within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this order or in a payment plan approved in writing by the board or its designee.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of their responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

9. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

11. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender their license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish their pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

12. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

13. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

///

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

14. Violation of Probation

If a respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

16. Remedial Education

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to

the role of a Pharmacist-In-Charge, Pharmacy Law, and Hospital Operations. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least eight (8) hours per year of probation for a total of twenty-four (24) hours, which shall be completed at respondent's own expense. At least fifty percent (50%), or twelve (12) total hours must be in-person, classroom-based training. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the respondent, at their own expense, to take an approved examination to test the respondent's knowledge of the course. If the respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination, this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall require respondent to take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.

17. Supervised Practice

During the period of probation, respondent shall practice only under the supervision of a licensed pharmacist not on probation with the board. Upon and after the effective date of this decision, respondent shall not practice pharmacy and their license shall be automatically suspended until a supervisor is approved by the board or its designee. The supervision shall be, as required by the board or its designee, either:

Continuous – At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall have their supervisor submit notification to the board in writing stating that the supervisor has read the decision in case number 5709 and is familiar with the required level of supervision as determined

by the board or its designee. It shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the board. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent changes employment, it shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the board. Respondent shall have their new supervisor, within fifteen (15) days after employment commences, submit notification to the board in writing stating the direct supervisor and pharmacist-in-charge have read the decision in case number 5709 and is familiar with the level of supervision as determined by the board. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy and their license shall be automatically suspended until the board or its designee approves a new supervisor. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within ten (10) days of leaving employment, respondent shall notify the board in writing.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which they holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective

unless otherwise specified in this order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

No Ownership of Licensed Premises 18.

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee within five days after completing the course.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: Sept 19 17 DARLENE DANO

Respondent

26 111.

27 111

APPROVAL AS TO FORM AND CONTENT I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Darlene Dano the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content. DATED: 9/19/17 PAUL CHAN Attorney for Respondent **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: September 19, 2017

submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. Respectfully submitted,

> XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SA2016100121 12573547,doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5709

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5403		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8		•	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
ļ	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER STATE OF CALIFORNI	AFFAIRS A	
10]	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	-Case-No. 5709	
12	PLUMAS DISTRICT HOSPITAL, dba PLUMAS DISTRICT HOSPITAL PHARMACY	ACCUSATION	
13	DOUGLAS LAFFERTY, ADMINISTRATOR DAN CARL LEGRADY, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE		
14 15	1065 Bucks Lake Road Quincy, California 95971		
16	Hospital Pharmacy Permit No. HPE 32553 (Eff. 1/9/86-2/9/16)		
17	Drug Room Permit No. DRE 32553 (Eff. 2/9/16)		
18	DARLENE DANO		
19	7112 Regard Way Sacramento, California 95842	:	
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 35371,		
21	CHERYL ANN MUSSELL P.O. Box 982		
22	Quincy, California 95971		
23	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 135012,		
24	and		
25 26	RAYMOND MIRANDA DURO 3218 Diamond Ridge Drive Reno, Nevada 89523		
27	Pharmacist License No. RPH 61786		
28	Respondents.	,,	
	1	•	

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about January 9, 1986, the Board issued Hospital Pharmacy Permit Number HPE 32553 to Plumas District Hospital ("Respondent PDH"), doing business as Plumas District Hospital Pharmacy. On or about July 18, 2011, Douglas Lafferty became the administrator. The hospital pharmacy permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and was cancelled on or about February 9, 2016. On or about February 9, 2016, the Board issued Drug Room Permit Number DRE 32553 to Respondent PDH, doing business as Plumas District Hospital Pharmacy. On or about February 9, 2016, Jeffrey Monaghan became the consultant pharmacist for the Drug Room Permit. The following licensed pharmacists were the pharmacists-in-charge of record for Respondent PDH during the time periods indicated below.

Pharmacist-in-Charge	Date Associated	Date Disassociated
Mark LeRoy Darlene Dano Viktoria Zaita Karen L. Schad Michael Demetrius Farros Douglas Milton Mclaskey Dan Carl LeGrady Andrew Diesh Richard Foster	November 9, 2012 October 25, 2013 February 11, 2014 September 24, 2014 July 9, 2015 October 12, 2015 October 30, 2015 November 9, 2015 November 29, 2016	September 30, 2013 November 27, 2013 June 24, 2014 July 9, 2015 October 12, 2015 October 30, 2015 November 9, 2015 November 29, 2016 February 9, 2016

- 3. On or about August 11, 1980, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 35371 to Darlene Dano ("Respondent Dano"). The pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2018, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about September 5, 2013, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 135012 to Cheryl Ann Mussell ("Respondent Mussell"). The pharmacy technician registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2016, unless renewed.

- 1			
1	(c) Gross negligence.		
2			
3	(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that		
4	falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.		
5			
6	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.		
7	•		
8	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in		
9	or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing		
10	pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.		
11	••••		
12	(q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an		
13	investigation of the board		
14	10. Code section 4059.5, subdivision (a), states:		
15	Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, dangerous drugs or		
16 17	dangerous devices may only be ordered by an entity licensed by the board and shall be delivered to the licensed premises and signed for and received by a pharmacist. Where a licensee is permitted to operate through a designated representative, the designated representative shall sign for and receive the delivery.		
18	11. Code section 4113 states, in pertinent part:		
19	(a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30		
20.	days thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.		
21	•		
22	(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's		
23	compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.		
24	(d) Every pharmacy shall notify the board in writing, on a form designed		
25	by the board, within 30 days of the date when a pharmacist-in-charge ceases to act as the pharmacist-in-charge, and shall on the same form propose another pharmacist to		
6	take over as the pharmacist-in-charge. The proposed replacement pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board. If disapproved, the pharmacy shall		
7	propose another replacement within 15 days of the date of disapproval and shall continue to name proposed replacements until a pharmacist-in-charge is approved by		
8	the board		

20_.

 $/\!/\!/$

///

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Inspection of January 14, 2014

- 25. On or about January 14, 2014, Board inspectors H. and P. went to Plumas District Hospital ("PDH") to conduct an inspection of the pharmacy. The inspectors knocked on the pharmacy door and were greeted by Respondent Mussell ("Mussell"). The inspectors asked for the pharmacist-in-charge ("PIC"). Mussell stated that the pharmacist would be back in a couple of minutes. Inspector P. asked Mussell where the pharmacist was, and she indicated that he was at another hospital inspecting their drug room. Mussell identified the pharmacist as Raymond Duro (Respondent Duro; "Duro").
- 26. The inspectors observed Mussell checking in a drug order from Cardinal Health, and saw liquid lorazepam, a Schedule III controlled substance, on the counter. The inspectors asked Mussell if she had signed for the order and she said yes.
- 27. Mussell stated she needed to make a call, and shortly thereafter Chief Nursing Officer Dan Schuessler ("Schuessler") arrived at the pharmacy. The inspectors asked him when a pharmacist was last in the pharmacy. Schuessler stated that Douglas Lafferty ("Lafferty") would know, and called him. When Lafferty arrived, he stated he did not think they needed a pharmacist in the pharmacy all of the time because PDH had applied for a drug room license. Mussell said she had called Duro and he would be there soon.
- 28. The inspectors requested and obtained copies of various pharmacy records, including compounding worksheets/logs and a written policy and procedure for controlled substances. The compounding worksheets showed that Mussell had compounded the drug Remicade without pharmacist supervision.
- 29. Inspector P. asked for a copy of the biennial inventory for controlled substances. No biennial inventory was available at the pharmacy. The inspectors then asked for the compounding self-assessments for PIC's Mark LeRoy ("LeRoy") and Respondent Dano ("Dano"). Mussell could not find the documents.

- 30. Duro arrived at the pharmacy approximately two hours after the inspection began. Duro told the inspectors that he was not a staff pharmacist, but "remotely verified" the prescriptions sent to him by the hospital when there was no pharmacist on duty.
- 31. PDH's timesheets for Dano from September 20, 2013 to November 27, 2013, and for Mussell from October 1, 2013 to January 3, 2014 showed that Mussell worked in the pharmacy when there was no pharmacist on duty approximately 11 times in October 2013, 7 times in November 2013, and 22 times in December 2013; and from January 2, 2014 to January 14, 2014. Mussell also worked in the pharmacy approximately 11 times in October 2013 and 12 times in November 2013, when Dano was present for only part of the day.
- 32. The inspectors issued an inspection report and provided a copy to Duro. The report stated that "[u]nder no circumstances is the pharmacy to be operated without a licensed pharmacist. No keys in possession of anyone other than by security for access of the Pharmacist only." Inspector P. asked Mussell if she understood she could never work in the pharmacy without the supervision of a licensed pharmacist. Mussell stated that she understood.
- 33. Respondent Dano was contacted about the inspection, and stated that she quit working in the pharmacy in late November 2013, but had failed to notify the Board of her disassociation.

Inspection of September 23, 2014

- 34. On or about September 23, 2014, Inspector P. returned to the pharmacy to conduct an inspection and found Mussell working without pharmacist supervision. Schuessler came to the pharmacy, and said Karen Schad ("Schad") would be the new PIC and that she had been filling in at the pharmacy working half days.
- 35. Copies of the pharmacy's perpetual inventory log for hydromorphone 2 mg/ml showed that on September 22, 2014, 50 vials of the drug had been sent to the medical/surgical unit. Mussell stated that the nurses had access to the pharmacy after hours. PDH's policies and procedures state that entry into the narcotics cabinet is restricted to registered pharmacists and that Schedule III, IV, and V controlled substances were stored in a locked cabinet in the pharmacy.

- 36. Schuessler asked Mussell if she had the keys to the narcotics cabinet. Mussell took keys off of a wall hook and handed them to the inspector. The inspector asked Mussell if the keys on her wrist ring were to the pharmacy. Mussell said yes. The inspector had Mussell give her the keys.
- 37. DEA 222 forms (order form for schedule I and II controlled substances) had been signed by pharmacists Michael Shimoide ("Shimoide"), Viktoria Zaita ("Zaita"), and Duro. Mussell had signed the delivery logs on the line indicated for a pharmacist to sign and had left the pre printed "RPH" on that line intact without indicating that she was not in fact a pharmacist. It is the pharmacist's responsibility to receive the drugs from the delivery driver and to sign the DEA forms and invoices. Mussell claimed that these duties had been delegated to her. However, PDH had no Power of Attorney ("POA") forms.
- 38. The nurses employed at PDH had access to a night locker to obtain needed medications, as well as access to the pharmacy. PDH maintained a Pharmacy Entry Log as well as a night locker list showing the medications stored in the locker. In and between August 2014 and September 2014, nursing staff had entered the pharmacy approximately 21 times to obtain medications that were available in the night locker as well as candy and chocolate.
- 39. The nurses employed at PDH had access to the pharmacy because the key to the pharmacy is locked in the medication cart, to which all nurses have access.
- 40. On or about September 25, 2014, Inspector P. requested documents from Cardinal Health for the time period from January 1, 2014 through September 24, 2014, including power of attorney forms for any pharmacists who were granted authority by the hospital's DEA registrant to order Schedule II controlled substances on behalf of the pharmacy, and all signed delivery logs for deliveries made to PDH. Schuessler was the registrant for PDH, and there were no power of attorney forms.
- 41. On or about September 30, 2014, Inspector P. returned to PDH with a consultant from the California Department of Public Health. The consultant conducted an inspection of the pharmacy. The consultant and the inspector interviewed nurse M. M. who stated that she had access to the pharmacy and that the pharmacy keys were stored in the Emergency Department

(ED). Floor surveys were obtained that had been conducted between May 28, 2014, and August 28, 2014. The surveys had been completed by Mussell who sometimes had a nurse sign off on them. Floor surveys are required to be conducted by a pharmacist.

- 42. On or about August 20, 2015, Duro was interviewed and he stated that he had never worked for or in the pharmacy except for the day of the first inspection on January 14, 2014.
- 43. PDH submitted a change of PIC on August 11, 2014, to remove Zaita and add Shimoide. This change was not approved because the form was signed by unauthorized person. On September 30, 2014, the Board received a change of PIC from PDH to remove Shimoide and add Schad. The change was approved on October 15, 2014. PDH had gaps in PIC coverage from November 28, 2013 to February 10, 2014, and from June 25, 2014 to September 23, 2014.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 44. Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (c), in that Respondent PDH committed acts or omissions constituting gross negligence, as follows:
- a. On and between October 1, 2013 and January 14, 2014, Respondent PDH authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell, a pharmacy technician, to work in the pharmacy without the direct supervision and control of a pharmacist; to perform the duties of a pharmacist, including signing for deliveries of controlled substances and dangerous drugs and compounding the drug Remicade; and/or to take charge of or act as supervisor, manager and/or pharmacist-in-charge of the pharmacy. Further, on and between May 28, 2014 and August 28, 2014, Respondent PDH authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell to conduct monthly inspections of the floor stock (supplies of drugs for use in medical emergencies) at the nursing units and service areas without a pharmacist's license. In addition, on or about September 23, 2014, Respondent PDH authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell to work in the pharmacy without pharmacist supervision and/or perform the duties of a pharmacist despite being admonished on January 14, 2014, that the pharmacy was not to be operated without a licensed pharmacist.

- b. On and between November 28, 2013 and February 10, 2014, and June 25, 2014 and September 23, 2014, Respondent PDH failed to designate a pharmacist-in-charge of the pharmacy.
- c. In and between January 2014 and September 2014, Respondent PDH authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell to sign for additional deliveries of dangerous drugs and controlled substances when, in fact, Respondent Mussell was not a licensed pharmacist.
- d. Respondent PDH failed to maintain the pharmacy and its facilities, space, fixtures and/or equipment so that drugs were safely and properly secured in that Respondent Mussell and the nursing staff were allowed access to the pharmacy without a pharmacist present and had access to the keys to the pharmacy. Further, on or about September 23, 2014, Respondent Mussell had access to the keys to the pharmacy and the locked narcotics cabinet.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify Board of Disassociation of PIC)

- 45. Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent PDH violated Code section 4113, subdivision (d), as follows:
- a. Respondent PDH failed to notify the Board within 30 days of the disassociation of pharmacist-in-charge Viktoria Zaita in that Zaita left her employment at PDH on approximately June 24, 2014, yet the Board was not notified of the disassociation until August 11, 2014.
- b. Respondent PDH failed to notify the Board within 30 days of the disassociation of pharmacist-in-charge Dano in that Dano left her employment at PDH on November 27, 2013, yet the Board was not notified of the disassociation until January 16, 2014.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Receipt of Dangerous Drugs by Unauthorized Person)

46. Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), in that Respondent PDH violated Code section 4059.5, subdivision (a), as follows: Respondent PDH authorized or permitted Respondent ///

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

III

 $/\!/\!/$

28

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Supervise Sterile Compounding Conducted by Pharmacy Technician)

Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent PDH violated Title 16, CCR, sections 1735.2, subdivision (i), and 1735.3, subdivision (a)(4), as follows: Respondent PDH authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell, a pharmacy technician, to compound Remicade for consumer J. G. on January 6, 2014, for consumer S. J. on September 16, 2013, October 30, 2013, and December 20, 2013, and for consumer L. S. on October 18, 2013, and December 27, 2013, without pharmacist supervision.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Pharmacy, Fixtures, and Equipment

So that Drugs Were Safely and Properly Secured)

51. Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent PDH violated Title 16, CCR, section 1714, subdivision (b), by failing to maintain the pharmacy and its facilities, space, fixtures and/or equipment so that drugs were safely and properly secured, as set forth in paragraph 44(d) above.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Perform Monthly Inspections of Floor Stock)

52. Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that on and between May 28, 2014 and August 28, 2014, in that Respondent PDH violated Title 22, CCR, section 70263, subdivision (f)(3), as follows: Respondent PDH authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell, a pharmacy technician, to conduct monthly inspections of the floor stock at the nursing units and service areas when, in fact, Respondent Mussell was not a licensed pharmacist. Further, on and between June 28, 2013 and March 7, 2014, Respondent PDH failed to ensure that at least one pharmacist took part in or was made a part of the hospital's pharmacy and therapeutics committee.

///

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Access to Hospital Pharmacy after Hours)

53. Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that in and between August 2014 and September 2014, Respondent PDH violated Title 22, CCR, section 70263, subdivision (n), by allowing the nursing staff to access the pharmacy when it was closed.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Complete Compounding Self-Assessment)

54. Respondent PDH is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent PDH violated Title 16, CCR, section 1735.2, subdivision (j), as follows: Respondent PDH failed to ensure that pharmacists-in-charge Mark LeRoy and Respondent Dano had completed compounding self-assessments, as set forth in paragraph 29 above.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify Board of Disassociation as PIC)

55. Respondent Dano is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Dano violated Code section 4113, subdivision (d), by failing to notify the Board within 30 days of disassociating as the pharmacist-in-charge for PDH, as set forth in paragraph 45(b) above.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Receipt of Dangerous Drugs by Unauthorized Person)

56. Respondent Dano is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), in that Respondent Dano violated Code section 4059.5, subdivision (a), as follows: On or about December 31, 2013, Respondent Dano, as pharmacist-in-charge of record for PDH, authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell to receive and/or sign for dangerous drugs and/or controlled substances when, in fact, Respondent Mussell was not a licensed pharmacist.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide Supervision of Pharmacy Technician)

57. Respondent Dano is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Dano violated Code section 4115, as follows: On and between October 25, 2013 and January 14, 2014, Respondent Dano, as pharmacist-in-charge of record for PDH, authorized or permitted Respondent Mussell, a pharmacy technician, to work in the pharmacy without Respondent Dano's direct supervision and control and to perform the duties of a pharmacist, including, but not limited to, signing for deliveries of controlled substances and dangerous drugs from suppliers and compounding the drug Remicade as set forth in paragraph 50, above.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Supervise Sterile Compounding Conducted by Pharmacy Technician)

58. Respondent Dano is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Dano violated Title 16, CCR, sections 1735.2, subdivision (i), and 1735.3, subdivision (a)(4), as follows: Respondent Dano, as pharmacist-in-charge of record for PDH, failed to supervise sterile compounding conducted by Respondent Mussell, a pharmacy technician, as set forth in paragraph 50 above.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Pharmacy, Fixtures, and Equipment So that Drugs Were Safely and Properly Secured)

59. Respondent Dano is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Dano violated Title 16, CCR, section 1714, subdivision (b), as follows: On and between October 25, 2013 and January 14, 2014, Respondent Dano, as pharmacist-in-charge of record for PDH, failed to maintain the pharmacy and its facilities, space, fixtures and/or equipment so that drugs were safely and properly secured in that Respondent Dano knew, or should have known, that Respondent Mussell had access to the keys to the pharmacy and the locked narcotics cabinet.

SEVENTHEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Complete Compounding Self-Assessment)

60. Respondent Dano is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Dano violated Title 16, CCR, section 1735.2, subdivision (j), as follows: Respondent Dano failed to complete a compounding self-assessment within 30 days of becoming the pharmacist-in-charge for Respondent PDH as set forth in paragraph 29, above.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Complete Biennial Inventory)

61. Respondent Dano is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Dano violated Title 21, CFR, section 1304.11, subdivision (c), as follows: On or before January 14, 2014, Respondent Dano failed to complete or have available at the pharmacy a biennial inventory of all stocks of controlled substances on hand at the pharmacy.

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Working as a Pharmacy Technician without Pharmacist Supervision)

62. Respondent Mussell is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Mussell violated Code section 4115 by working as a pharmacy technician at Plumas District Hospital Pharmacy without the direct supervision and control of a pharmacist, as set forth in paragraph 44(a) above.

TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Engaging in Practice as a Pharmacist without a License)

63. Respondent Mussell is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Mussell violated Code section 4329 by taking charge of or acting as supervisor, manager, or pharmacist-in-charge of PDH Pharmacy and compounding or dispensing prescriptions or furnishing dangerous drugs without a pharmacist's license, as follows:

- a. Respondent Mussell signed for deliveries of controlled substances and dangerous drugs from suppliers, compounded the drug Remicade without pharmacist supervision, and conducted monthly inspections of the floor stock at the nursing units and service areas, as set forth in paragraph 44(a) above. Further, on or about September 23, 2014, Respondent Mussell worked in the pharmacy without pharmacist supervision and/or performed the duties of a pharmacist despite having been directly admonished prior to that date that Respondent Mussell could never work in the pharmacy without the supervision of a licensed pharmacist
- b. Respondent Mussell had access to the keys to the pharmacy and the locked narcotics cabinet, as set forth in paragraph 44(d) above.

TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraudulent Representation)

64. Respondent Mussell is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Mussell violated Code section 4322 by fraudulently representing herself to be a licensed pharmacist, as follows: On and between December 31, 2013 and September 23, 2014, Respondent Mussell signed delivery logs as the "Rph" (pharmacist) upon receipt of dangerous drugs and controlled substances when, in fact, Respondent Mussell was not a licensed pharmacist.

TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Knowingly Signing Documents Containing False Representations)

65. Respondent Mussell is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (g), in that Respondent Mussell knowingly made or signed documents that falsely represented the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, as set forth in paragraph 64 above.

TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPINE

(Subverting or Attempting to Subvert an Investigation of the Board)

66. Respondent Duro is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (q), in that Respondent Duro engaged in conduct that subverted or attempted to subvert an investigation of the Board, a follows: On or about August 20, 2015,

Respondent Duro stated that he had never worked for or in the pharmacy except for the day of the inspection on January 14, 2014. In fact, Respondent Duro worked in the capacity as pharmacist for PDH when he signed DEA 222 forms on December 31, 2013 and January 28, 2014, to order Schedule II controlled substances on behalf of the pharmacy. Further, Respondent Duro signed a Cardinal Health delivery log on January 2, 2014, showing that he received a delivery of the controlled substances fentanyl, hydromorphone, and morphine.

TWENTH-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding or Abetting Violations of the Pharmacy Law and State Laws Governing Pharmacy)

67. Respondent Duro is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent Duro assisted in or abetted Respondent Mussell, a pharmacy technician, in violating Code section 4115, as follows: On or about December 31, 2013 and January 2, 2014, Respondent Duro was present in the pharmacy, as set forth in paragraph 66 above. Respondent Duro knew, or should have known, that on those dates during times that he was not in the pharmacy, Respondent Mussell was working in the pharmacy alone without the direct supervision and control of a pharmacist.

TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Signature of DEA 222 Forms by Unauthorized Persons)

68. Respondent Duro is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), in that Respondent Duro violated Title 21, CFR, sections 1305.05, subdivision (a), and 1305.12, subdivision (d), as follows: Respondent Duro signed DEA 222 forms upon delivery or receipt of Schedule II controlled substances when, in fact, he had not been granted power of attorney to sign the DEA forms, as set forth in paragraph 47 above.

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

-///

(PLUMAS DISTRICT HOSPITAL PHARMACY) ACCUSATION