BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 5667

JENNIFER HWA-YOUNG LEE

OAH No. 2016100169

761 N. 11th Street

San Jose, CA 39041

Pharmacist License No. RPH 39041,

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL AS TO JENNIFER HWA-YOUNG LEE

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 20, 2017.

It is so ORDERED on March 21, 2017.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D.

Board President

	KATHLEEN A. KENEALY		
1	Acting Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF		
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CARTER OTT		
3	Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 221660 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor	•	
5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephones (510) 870 0770		
6	Telephone: (510) 879-0770 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270		
7	E-mail: Carter.Ott@doj.ca.gov		
′	Attorneys for Complainant		
8		RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10		CALIFORNIA	
10	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5667	
12	SILICON VALLEY PHARMACY	OAH No. 2016100169	
13	14107 S. Winchester Boulevard Los Gatos, CA 95032	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 44228;	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL AS TO JENNIFER HWA-	
15	VIVIAN CHOI MATSUO	YOUNG LEE	
16	14107 S. Winchester Boulevard Los Gatos, CA 95032	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
		[Dus. & 1101. Code § 493]	
17	Pharmacist License No. RPH 36646;		
18	DAVID S. MATSUO	·	
19	14107 S. Winchester Boulevard Los Gatos, CA 95032		
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 36383;		
21	ANABELLA SAI-YAN FOO		
22	931 Amarillo Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94303		
23	Pharmacist License No. RPH 35288; and		
24	·		
25	JENNIFER HWA-YOUNG LEE 761 N. 11 th Street San Jose, CA 95112		
26	Pharmacist License No. RPH 39041		
27	Respondents.		
- 28			
	•	ı	

present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to

compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5667, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Pharmacist License
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respond	ent Jennifer Hwa-Young Lee the terms and
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order
3	for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content	
4	DATED: 1/13/2017	Le Ptelle
5	IVAN PETI Attorney for	RZÉLKA · Respondent
6		
7	ENDORSE	<u>MENT</u>
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disc	ciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby
9	respectfully submitted for consideration by the Boa	rd of Pharmacy of the Department of
10	Consumer Affairs.	
11	D. I	D46-11h
12	Dated:	Respectfully submitted,
13		KATHLEEN A. KENEALY Acting Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF
14		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
15		
16		CARTER OTT
17		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
18		
19		
20	SF2015900835	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
-28-	-	

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5667

Kamala D. Harris	
Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF	
Supervising Deputy Attorney General CARTER OTT	
Deputy Attorney General	
State Bar No. 221660 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor	
P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550	
Telephone: (510) 622-2219	
Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Carter.Ott@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
	DEFODE THE
	BEFORE THE ARD OF PHARMACY
	ENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS ATE OF CALIFORNIA
In the Matter of the Accusation Agains	st: Case No. 5667
SILICON VALLEY PHARMACY 14107 S. Winchester Boulevard	
Los Gatos, CA 95032	ACCUSATION
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 44228;	
VIVIAN CHOI MATSUO	
14107 S. Winchester Boulevard Los Gatos, CA 95032	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 36646;	
DAVID S. MATSUO 14107 S. Winchester Boulevard	·
Los Gatos, CA 95032	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 36383;	
ANABELLA SAI-YAN FOO 931 Amarillo Avenue	
Palo Alto, CA 94303	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 35288;	and
JENNIFER HWA-YOUNG LEE 761 N. 11 th Street San Jose, CA 95112	
Pharmacist License No. RPH 39041	
Resp	ondents.

-28

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about June 8, 1999, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 44228 to Silicon Valley Pharmacy ("Respondent SV Pharmacy"). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on June 1, 2016, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about August 13, 1981, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 36383 to David S. Matsuo ("Respondent David Matsuo"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on January 31, 2017, unless renewed. Respondent David Matsuo has been the President and a 50% shareholder of Respondent SV Pharmacy since June 8, 1999. At all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation against him, Respondent David Matsuo functioned as a compounding pharmacist at Respondent SV Pharmacy.
- 4. On or about August 20, 1981, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 36646 to Vivian Choi Matsuo ("Respondent Vivian Matsuo"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on September 30, 2017, unless renewed. Respondent Vivian Matsuo has been the Treasurer/Chief Financial Officer and a 50% shareholder of Respondent SV Pharmacy since June 8, 1999. At all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation against her, Respondent Vivian Matsuo served as Respondent SV Pharmacy's Pharmacist-in-Charge ("PIC").
- 5. On or about February 21, 1980, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 35288 to Anabella Sai-Yan Foo ("Respondent Foo"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on March 31, 2016, unless renewed. At all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation against her, Respondent Foo was employed as a pharmacist at Respondent SV Pharmacy.

6. On or about October 4, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 39041 to Jennifer Hwa-Young Lee ("Respondent Lee"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on March 31, 2016, unless renewed. At all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation against her, Respondent Lee was employed as a pharmacist at Respondent SV Pharmacy.

JURISDICTION

- 7. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 8. Section 118, subdivision (b) states:
- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground."
 - 9. Section 4300 of states, in part:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- "(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - "(1) Suspending judgment.
 - "(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - "(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - "(4) Revoking his or her license.

1	"(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its
2	discretion may deem proper.
3	
4	"(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5
5	(commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board
6	shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of
7	the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of
8	Civil Procedure."
9	RELEVANT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS
10	10. Health and Safety Code section 111335 states:
11	"Any drug or device is misbranded if its labeling or packaging does not conform to the
12	requirements of Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 110290)."
13	11. Health and Safety Code section 111375 states, in part:
14	"Any drug or device is misbranded unless its labeling bears all of the following
15	information:
16	
17	"(c) Adequate warning against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of administration or
18	application.
19	"Warnings shall be in a manner and form as are necessary for the protection of users.
20	"If the department determines that any requirement of subdivision (a), as applied to any
21	drug or device, is not necessary for the protection of the public health, the department may adopt
22	regulations exempting the drug or device from these requirements.
23	Any drug or device exempted under Section 502(f) of the federal act (21 U.S.C. Sec. 352(f)) is
24	exempt from the requirement of this section. The department, however, may adopt any regulation
25	including a drug or device within, or excluding a drug or device from the requirements of this
26	section, whether or not the inclusion or exclusion of the drug or device is in accord with the
27	federal act."
28	-4/1//
-	4

23

25

26

27

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Sale of Misbranded Drug)

(Bus. & Prof. Code § 4169, subd. (a)(3); and Health and Safety Code §§ 111335; 111375, subd. (c); and 111400)

- 25. Respondents SV Pharmacy, David Matsuo, Vivian Matsuo, Foo, and Lee have subjected their Pharmacy Permit and Pharmacist Licenses, respectively, to disciplinary action for their trade, sale, or transfer of a dangerous drug that they knew or reasonably should have known was misbranded, as defined in Health and Safety Code section 111335. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4169, subd. (a)(3); and Health and Safety Code §§ 111335; 111375, subd. (c); and 111400). In particular:
- a. From January 3, 2014 to April 8, 2015, Respondent SV Pharmacy compounded domperidone 10 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, and 40 mg capsules and dispensed to patients approximately 393 domperidone prescriptions (42,060 capsules) which were compounded from domperidone.
- b. From January 3, 2014 to April 8, 2015, Respondent David Matsuo, while working at Respondent SV Pharmacy, compounded domperidone 10 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, and 40 mg capsultes and dispensed to patients approximately 28,913 capsules which were compounded from domperidone.
- c. From January 3, 2014 to April 8, 2015, Respondent Vivian Matsuo, while working at Respondent SV Pharmacy, compounded domperidone 10 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, and 40 mg capsules and dispensed to patients 7,877 capsules which were compounded from domperidone.
- d. From January 3, 2014 to April 8, 2015, Respondent Foo, while working at Respondent SV Pharmacy, compounded domperidone 10 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, and 40 mg capsules and dispensed to patients approximately 4,120 capsules which were compounded from domperidone.
- e. From January 3, 2014 to April 8, 2015, Respondent Lee, while working at Respondent SV Pharmacy, compounded domperidone 10 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, and 40 mg capsules and dispensed to patients approximately 1,150 capsules which were compounded from domperidone.

1	powder hood had not been certified according to manufacturer's guidelines or certified annually,
2	as required by the manufacturer.
3	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Failure to Maintain Operational Standards) (Cal. Code Regs., title 16, § 1714, subd. (c))
5	28. Respondents SV Pharmacy, David Matsuo, and Vivian Matsuo have subjected their
6	Pharmacy Permit and Pharmacist Licenses, respectively, to disciplinary action for failing to
7	maintain the pharmacy and equipment in a clean and orderly condition. (Cal. Code Regs., title
8	16, § 1714, subd. (c)). In particular, during an inspection of Respondent SV Pharmacy on April
9	8, 2015, two balances located in the powder hood were discovered with a visible amount of
10	powder residue and had not been cleaned. Respondent Vivian Matsuo, as the PIC, and
1	Respondent David Matsuo, as a compounding pharmacist, should have made sure daily cleaning
12	of the equipment was performed.
13 14 15	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Failure to Obtain Drugs from Reliable Suppliers, Acquire and Retain Certificates of Purity or Analysis) (Cal. Code Regs., title 16, § 1735.3, subd. (c))
6	29. Respondents SV Pharmacy, David Matsuo, and Vivian Matsuo have subjected their
7	Pharmacy Permit and Pharmacist Licenses, respectively, to disciplinary action for failing to
8	obtain chemicals, bulk drug substances, drug products, or compounds used to compound drug
9	products from a reliable supplier and/or acquire and retain certificates of purity or analysis. (Cal.
20	Code Regs., title 16, § 1735.3, subd. (c)). In particular, during an inspection of Respondent SV
21	Pharmacy on April 8, 2015, a Board investigator found many bulk chemicals that had no
2	certificate of analysis. Respondent Vivian Matsuo, as the PIC, and Respondent David Matsuo, as
3	a compounding pharmacist, should have overseen the process and ensured each bulk chemical
4	used in the process of compounding complied with the law.
5	
6	
7	
8	•
[]	<u> </u>

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Records of Compounded Drug Products – Identity Personnel who Compounded Drug product) (Cal. Code Regs., title 16, § 1735.3, subd. (a)(3))

- 33. Respondents SV Pharmacy, David Matsuo, and Vivian Matsuo have subjected their Pharmacy Permit and Pharmacist Licenses, respectively, to disciplinary action for failing to record the identity of the pharmacy personnel who compounded drug products. (Cal. Code Regs., title 16, § 1735.3, subd. (a)(3)). In particular, in the course of a Board investigation, it was discovered that the records for the following compounded drug products did not state the identity of the personnel who compounded the drug product:
- a. Domperidone 10 mg on March 20, 2015; December 18, 2013; November 29, 2013; June 30, 2010; August 10, 2011; December 22, 2011; November 9, 2011; December 20, 2010; and October 18, 2010.
- b. Domperidone 20 mg on August 9, 2014; April 2, 2015; December 26, 2012; February 19, 2013; May 20, 2013; June 27, 2014; November 28, 2011; March 7, 2012; December 3, 2012; November 25, 2012; September 4, 2012; March 16, 2010; June 8, 2010; August 4, 2010; October 12, 2010; January 13, 2011; July 8, 2011; and October 5, 2011.
 - c. Domperidone 30 mg on April 2, 2015.
- 34. Respondent Vivian Matsuo, as the PIC, and Respondent David Matsuo, as a pharmacist, were responsible for ensuring this documentation was complete.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Records of Compounded Drug Products –
Date Drug Product Compounded)
(Cal. Code Regs., title 16, § 1735.3, subd. (a)(2))

35. Respondents SV Pharmacy, David Matsuo, and Vivian Matsuo have subjected their Pharmacy Permit and Pharmacist Licenses, respectively, to disciplinary action for failing to record the date a drug product was compounded. (Cal. Code Regs., title 16, § 1735.3, subd. (a)(2)). In particular, in reviewing the domperidone compounding records from January 5, 2010 to April 2, 2015, the following errors were discovered: February 6, 2014 was actually February 6, 2015; November 29, 2013 was actually November 29, 2012; and December 26, 2014 was

15 -