	ı I	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	,	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5582
12	STEVEN MICHAEL CRAWFORD	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
13	11242 Danbury Street Arcadia, CA 91006	DEFREE DECIDION RED ORDER
14	Thousan, CIT / 1000	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 75843	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	FINDINGS OF FACT	
20	1. On or about February 5, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy, filed Accusation No	
22	5582 against Steven Michael Crawford ("Respondent") before the Board of Pharmacy.	
23	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
24	2. On or about April 25, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 75843 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
26	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5582	
27	and will expire on August 31, 2016, unless renewed.	
28		1
,		1

///

- 3. On or about February 18, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5582, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 11242 Danbury Street, Arcadia, CA 91006.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5582.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5582, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5582, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$5,135.00 as of April 5, 2016.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Steven Michael Crawford has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 75843 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that on or about September 3, 2015, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code sections 504 and 487(a) [embezzlement exceeding \$950 in value], a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another in that on or about and between May 2014 through June 2015, Respondent admitted to theft of controlled substances from his employer.
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent admittedly used controlled substances, to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself in that on or about and between May 2014 through June 2015, Respondent admitted consuming of Tylenol #3, Tylenol #4, Fioricet, Fioricet with Codeine, Promethazine with Codeine, and generic Vicodin, for which Respondent did not have a valid prescription.

28 | ///

///

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, for violating Code section 4060 and Health and Safety Code section 11375, subdivision (b)(1), in that on or about and between May 2014 through June 2015, Respondent stole and possessed Tylenol #3, Tylenol #4, Fioricet, Fioricet with Codeine, Promethazine with Codeine, and generic Vicodin without a valid prescription.
- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent committed acts of unprofessional conduct and violated provisions of the

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 75843, heretofore issued to Respondent Steven Michael Crawford, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 26, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. **Board President**

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

28

Exhibit A

Accusation

(STEVEN MICHAEL CRAWFORD)

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 ELYSE M. DAVIDSON Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 285842 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 5 Telephone: (213) 897-2533 6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5582 12 STEVEN MICHAEL CRAWFORD 11242 Danbury Street 13 Arcadia, CA 91006 ACCUSATION 14 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 75843 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 Virginia K. Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official 21 capacity as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy. 22 2. On or about April 25, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy 23 Technician Registration Number TCH 75843 to Steven Michael Crawford ("Respondent"), The 24 Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges 25 brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2016, unless renewed. 26 111 27 111 28 III

(STEVEN MICHAEL CRAWFORD) ACCUSATION

III

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 490 of the Code states:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code. ..."
- 5. Section 4300 of the Code provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 6. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

|| 7

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer. ..."

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

///

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

/// .

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(i) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency..."
 - 9. Health and Safety Code section 11170, states:

"No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself,"

- "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
- 15. "Hydrocodone/APAP" is a generic name for "Vicodin" and "Norco," is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(I) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022,
- 16. "Acetaminophen with Codeine" is the generic name for "Tylenol #3" and "Tylenol #4." It is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 11056 and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 4022.
- 17. "Butalbital/APAP/Cod/Caff" is the generic name for "Fioricet with Codeine." It is a Schedule III controlled substance according to the United States of America Drug Enforcement Administration, and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 4022.
- 18. "Butalbital/APAP" is the generic name for "Fioricet." It is a Schedule III controlled substance according to the United States of America Drug Enforcement Administration, and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 4022.
- 19. "Promethazine with Codeine" is the generic name for "Phenergan with Codeine." It is a Schedule V controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058(c)(1) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022,

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 20. On and between November 13, 2014 and June 17, 2015, Respondent was employed as a pharmacy technician at CVS/Pharmacy ("Pharmacy"). Respondent first worked at the Pharmacy in Temple City and was transferred to the Pharmacy in Pasadena in January 2015.
- 21. On or about May 29, 2015, the Pharmacy Corporate Loss Prevention Department identified a potential loss of Acetaminophen with Codeine.
- 22. On or about June 8, 2015, a covert CCTV system was installed in the Pharmacy to monitor the inventory of Acetaminophen with Codelne #4.
- 23. On or about June 14, 2015, the CCTV system was removed and viewed by the Pharmacy's Regional Pharmacy Diversion Manager. The video showed Respondent select a bottle of Acetaminophen with Codeine #4 and walk towards the back of the pharmacy and pour

pills from the bottle into his hand and conceal the pills in his pant pocket. The video showed Respondent conduct this activity on June 10, 2015 and June 11, 2015. The video also showed Respondent conceal an unknown drug on June 10, 2015.

- 24. On or about June 16, 2015, the Pharmacy's Regional Pharmacy Diversion Manager and District Manager conducted an interview with Respondent.
- 25. During the interview, Respondent admitted to diverting Tylenol #3, Tylenol #4, Fioricet with Codeine, Fioricet, Promethazine with Codeine, and generic Vicodin on several occasions while employed at the Pharmacy in Temple City and the Pharmacy in Pasadena. Respondent further admitted that he would open the bottle on the back aisle of the Pharmacy and pour a handful of pills into his hand and then place them in his pant pocket. He further admitted that he was self medicating due to having headaches.
- 26. At the conclusion of the interview with the Pharmacy Regional Diversion Manager, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department in Temple City was called to respond to the scene.
- 27. Respondent admitted to the police officer that he stole pills on fifty different occasions from the Pharmacy and that he consumed the controlled substances.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about September 3, 2015, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code sections 504 and 487(a) [embezzlement exceeding \$950 in value], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Steven Michael Crawford* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2015, No. GA096505).

26 | ///

27 | 1/

- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 16, 2015, and from approximately May 2014 through June 2015, Respondent stole controlled substances from his employer.
- c. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 20 through 27, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

- 29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another in that on or about and between May 2014 through June 2015, Respondent admitted to theft of controlled substances from his employer.
- a. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 20 through 28, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Controlled Substances)

- 30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent admittedly used controlled substances, to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself in that on or about and between May 2014 through June 2015, Respondent admitted consuming of Tylenol #3, Tylenol #4, Fioricet, Fioricet with Codeine, Promethazine with Codeine, and generic Vicodin, for which Respondent did not have a valid prescription.
- a. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 20 through 28, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession of Controlled Substances)

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, for violating Code section 4060 and Health and Safety